

Jan 7, 79

acc

S 1035-1

**ANNUAL
STATISTICAL
REPORT
OF
THE**

COLORADO JUDICIARY

**JULY 1, 1978
TO
JUNE 30, 1979**



Office of the State Court Administrator



Judicial Department

COLORADO STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING
TWO EAST FOURTEENTH AVENUE
DENVER, COLORADO 80203
(303) 861-1111

JAMES D. THOMAS
STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

E. KEITH STOTT, JR.
DEPUTY STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

September 22, 1979

To the Honorable Members of the Supreme Court:

It is my pleasure to transmit herewith the Annual Statistical Report of the Colorado Judiciary for the 1978-79 fiscal year. The report covers the work of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, district courts, county courts and probation departments. In addition, the report contains a description of the major developments during the year and a brief analysis of judicial department expenditures.

Special recognition in the preparation of this report should be given to the Planning and Analysis Division of this office and to the many district administrators, probation officers, and court clerks who provided the initial information from which the compilations and analyses contained herein were derived.

Sincerely,

James D. Thomas
State Court Administrator

ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT
OF THE
COLORADO JUDICIARY

July 1, 1978
to
June 30, 1979

Office of the State Court Administrator
Two East Fourteenth Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203

Paul V. Hodges
Chief Justice
Colorado Supreme Court

James D. Thomas
State Court Administrator

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITY IN THE COLORADO JUDICIARY	vii
JUDGES OF THE COLORADO JUDICIARY	3
THE COLORADO JUDICIAL SYSTEM	21
THE APPELLATE COURTS	35
THE SUPREME COURT	43
THE COURT OF APPEALS	53
THE DISTRICT COURTS	61
THE WATER COURTS	119
THE COUNTY COURTS	127
PROBATION	157

LIST OF TABLES, MAPS AND CHARTS

Organizational Chart	19
Map of Judicial Districts	20
Judicial Department Accrued Revenue to the State - FY 1978-79	29
Judicial Department Expenditures FY 1971-72 - FY 1978-79	30
Distribution of Judicial Department Expenditures for FY 1978-79	31
Table I. Caseload of the Colorado Appellate Courts, FY 1964-65 - FY 1978-79	37
Table II. Civil and Criminal Appeals from the District Courts, FY 1971-72 - FY 1978-79	38
Table III. Average Number of Filings per Appellate Judge, FY 1964-65 - FY 1978-79	39
Table IV. Average Number of Terminations per Appellate Judge, FY 1964-65 - FY 1978-79	40
Table V. Caseload of the Supreme Court, FY 1964-65 - FY 1978-79	45
Table VI. Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court, FY 1969-70 - FY 1978-79	46
Table VII. Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, FY 1975-76 - FY 1978-79	46
Table VIII. Distribution of Case Terminations in the Supreme Court, FY 1964-65 - FY 1978-79	47
Table IX. Average Number of Written Opinions per Supreme Court Justice, FY 1964-65 - 1978-79	48
Table X. Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue the Final Day of the Fiscal Year, 1978-79	48
Table XI. Distribution of Supreme Court Cases not at Issue the Final Day of the Fiscal Year, 1978-79	48
Table XII. Distribution of Cases Pending in the Supreme Court the Last Day of Fiscal Year, FY 1964-65- FY 1978-79	49
Table XIII. Caseload of the Court of Appeals, FY 1969-70 - FY 1978-79	55

Table XIV.	
Distribution of Case Filings ^a in the Court of Appeals, FY 1969-70 - FY 1978-79	56
Table XV.	
Distribution of Case Terminations in the Court of Appeals, FY 1969-70 - FY 1978-79	56
Table XVI.	
Distribution of Court of Appeals Cases the Final Day of the Fiscal Year, FY 1978-79	57
Table XVII.	
Distribution of Cases Pending in the Court of Appeals the Last Day of the Fiscal Year, FY 1969-70- FY 1978-79	57
Table XVIII.	
District Court Caseload ^a , FY 1975-76 - FY 1978-79	67
Table XIX.	
District Court Filings ^a and Percentage Increase FY 1975-76 - FY 1978-79 By District,	68
Table XX.	
Percent Increase of District Court Filings in FY 1978-79 Over FY 1975-76 by Urban and Rural Counties	69
Table XXI.	
Domestic Relations Caseflow in the District Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	70
Table XXII.	
Civil Caseflow in the District Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	72
Table XXIII.	
Probate Caseflow in the District Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	74
Table XXIV.	
Juvenile Caseflow in the District Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	76
Table XXV.	
Criminal Caseflow in the District Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	78
Table XXVI.	
Criminal Caseflow in the District Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	80
Table XXVII.	
Total Caseflow in the District Courts ^a by District and County, FY 1978-79	82
Table XXVIII.	
Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case, FY 1978-79	84
Table XXIX.	
Civil Case Filings by Type of Case, FY 1978-79	86

Table XXX.	
Probate Filings by Type of Case, FY 1978-79	88
Table XXXI.	
Juvenile Case Filings by Type of Case , FY 1978-79	90
Table XXXII.	
Mental Health Filings by Type of Case, FY 1978-79	92
Table XXXIII.	
Criminal Offense Filings by Type of Offense, FY 1978-79	94
Table XXXIV.	
Offense and Non-Offense Filings Plus Total Criminal Filings, FY 1978-79	96
Table XXXV.	
Domestic Relations* Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1978-79	98
Table XXXVI.	
Civil* Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1978-79	100
Table XXXVII.	
Probate* Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1978-79	102
Table XXXVIII.	
Juvenile* Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1978-79	104
Table XXXIX.	
Mental Health* Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1978-79	106
Table XL.	
Criminal Offense* Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1978-79	108
Table XLI.	
Offense and Non-Offense* Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1978-79	110
Table XLII.	
Average New Filings and Terminations ^a per Judge (and Referee) for FY 1978 and FY 1979	112
Map of Water Districts	121
Table XLIII.	
Caseload of the Water Courts, FY 1975-76-FY 1978-79	123
Table XLIV.	
Number of Filings and Number of New Claims in Colorado Water Courts, FY 1975-76 - FY 1978-79	124
Table XLV.	
County Court ¹ Caseload FY 1972-73 - FY 1978-79	131
Table XLVI.	
Civil Caseflow in the County Courts ¹ by District and County, FY 1978-79	132
Table XLVII.	
Small Claims Caseflow in the County Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	134

Table XLVIII.	
Traffic Caseflow in the County Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	136
Table XLIX.	
Misdemeanor Caseflow in the County Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	138
Table L.	
Total Caseload in the County Courts ¹ by District and County, FY 1978-79	140
Table LI.	
Felony Preliminaries in the County Court by Type, FY 1978-79	142
Table LII.	
County Court Filings ¹ and Percent Change, FY 1974-75 - FY 1978-79	144
Table LIII.	
Court Trials and Jury Trials in the County Court by District and County, FY 1978-79	146
Table LIV.	
Number and Percent Distribution of County Court Civil Case Filings - By Money Amount, FY 1978-79	148
Table LV.	
Number and Percent Distribution of County Court Small Claims Filings - By Money Amount, FY 1978-79	150
Table LVI.	
Traffic Violations Bureau (TVB) Terminations in the County Courts by District and County, FY 1978-79	152
Table LVII.	
Number and Percent Distribution of Felony Complaints Disposed of in County Court ¹ , FY 1978-79	153
Juvenile Delinquency Intake Process	
Model I (Probation Intake)	158
Juvenile Delinquency Intake Process	
Model II (DA Intake)	159
Juvenile Delinquency Intake Process	
Model III (Joint Agency Intake)	160
Juvenile Delinquency Court Process	
Model I (Combined Hearing)	161
Juvenile Delinquency Court Process	
Model II (Separate Hearings)	162
Supervision Model I - Counseling Orientation	164
Supervision Model II - Brokerage Orientation	165
Supervision Model III - Community Orientation	166
Adult Intake Process	168
Adult Court Process	169

Table LVIII. Probation Department Supervision and Investigation Caseloads - State Totals FY 1975-76 to FY 1978-79	173
Table LIX. PROBATION Department Supervision Caseloads by District - FY 1978-79	174
Table LX. Adult Probation Department Investigations by District, FY 1978-79	175
Table LXI. Juvenile Probation Department Investigations by District, FY 1978-79	175
Table LXII. Restitution Paid to Victims by Juvenile and Adult Probationers FY 1978-79	176

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITY IN THE COLORADO JUDICIARY
FY 1978-79

Coloradoans turned to the courts in increasing numbers this year for adjudication and resolution of issues ranging from money disputes to criminal matters to constitutional questions. Civil cases were predominately responsible for caseload increases throughout the system. Complex water cases flowed through the courts, affecting the district court workload. The county courts are dealing with an increasing number of civil cases as a result of the jurisdictional change and the establishment of the small claims divisions.

Even with the caseload increases, the courts exerted every effort to close cases swiftly and justly.

The Supreme Court this year maintained a high level of terminations, closing an average of 125 cases per justice.

In the Court of Appeals, the success of its special programs and the major efforts of the judges resulted in a 12 percent increase in terminations. Nevertheless, 94 more cases were filed than terminated this year.

Despite the slight decrease in mental health and juvenile filings in the district courts, the increases in the other case types meant an overall increase of 5.9 percent. This is due in large part to increases in civil and domestic relations cases.

The dramatic increase in claims in the water courts was due to the Huston case, which claims underground water rights throughout the state. The number of water cases filed went down about 22 percent this year.

The fiscal year saw a general filing increase in all county court case types, with civil and small claims cases showing remarkable growth.

With over a 12 percent increase in new adult probationers, only the fact that officers terminated more cases than they received kept the situation manageable. Officers were also better able to handle the influx of adult offenders due to a stable situation in the number of juveniles entering the system.

The following detailed description of the Colorado Judiciary and its activities and accomplishments during FY 1978-79 is an indication that in Colorado, citizens can be proud of their effective and responsive judicial system.

THE COLORADO JUDICIARY

THE SUPREME COURT

Chief Justice

Paul V. Hodges

Associate Justices

Edward E. Pringle

James K. Groves

Robert B. Lee

William H. Erickson

Jim R. Carrigan

Luis D. Rovira¹

¹Replaced Donald E. Kelley 2/1/79

THE COURT OF APPEALS

Chief Judge

Harry S. Silverstein, Jr.

Associate Judges

Ralph H. Coyte

David W. Enoch*

Charles D. Pierce

Donald P. Smith, Jr.

Edwin G. Ruland

Norman E. Berman

Edwin P. Van Cise

Aurel M. Kelly

Alan L. Sternberg

*Appointed Chief Judge effective 7/1/79

THE DISTRICT COURTS

District	Judge
One	Daniel J. Shannon, Chief Judge Ronald J. Hardesty Joseph P. Lewis Gaspar Franz Perricone ¹ Michael C. Villano Anthony F. Vollack Robert K. Willison Winston W. Wolvington
Two	Joseph N. Lilly, Chief Judge Gilbert A. Alexander Susan Graham Barnes John Brooks, Jr. Roger Cisneros James C. Flanigan Clifton A. Flowers Robert P. Fullerton Raymond D. Jones ² Robert T. Kingsley Howard M. Kirshbaum Alvin D. Lichtenstein George N. McNamara Karen S. Metzger ³ Leonard P. Plank Joseph R. Quinn Harold D. Reed Henry E. Santo Daniel B. Sparr Zita L. Weinshienk
Denver Juvenile	Orrelle R. Weeks, Presiding Judge Morris E. Cole Jon L. Lawritson
Denver Superior	Charles E. Bennett
Denver Probate	James R. Wade

¹Replaced George G. Priest 1/2/79

²Replaced Mitchel B. Johns 1/9/79

³Replaced Luis D. Rovira 2/20/79

District	Judge
Three	Albert J. Tomsic, Chief Judge Harry R. Sayre ⁴
Four	Robert W. Johnson, Chief Judge Bernard R. Baker William M. Calvert Donald E. Campbell Joe A. Cannon John F. Gallagher George M. Gibson Richard V. Hall Hunter D. Hardeman William E. Rhodes
Five	Vasco G. Seavy, Jr., Chief Judge William L. Jones
Six	William S. Eakes, Chief Judge Frederic B. Emigh
Seven	Jerry D. Lincoln, Chief Judge Robert A. Brown ⁵
Eight	J. Robert Miller, Chief Judge William F. Dressel ⁶ John A. Piice John-David Sullivan
Nine	George E. Lohr, Chief Judge Judson E. DeVilbiss Gavin D. Litwiller
Ten	Matt J. Kikel, Chief Judge Donald F. Abram Philip J. Cabibi Thomas F. Phelps Richard D. Robb Jack F. Seavy
Eleven	Max C. Wilson, Chief Judge Howard E. Purdy

⁴ Replaced Dean C. Mabry 1/9/79

⁵ Replaced Fred Calhoun 7/10/78

⁶ Replaced Conrad L. Ball 7/10/78

District	Judge
Twelve	Whitford W. Myers, Chief Judge Robert W. Ogburn
Thirteen	Dean Johnson, Chief Judge Waino Johnson James R. Leh Francis L. Shallenberger
Fourteen	Claus J. Hume, Chief Judge John J. Wilkinson
Fifteen	Robert F. Sanderson, Chief Judge John C. Statler
Sixteen	Lawrence Thulemeyer, Chief Judge Lewis T. Babcock
Seventeen	Jean J. Jacobucci, Chief Judge Dorothy E. Binder Harlan Bockman Abraham Bowling James J. Delaney Oyer G. Leary
Eighteen	Marcus O. Shivers, Jr., Chief Judge Richard L. Eason ⁷ John P. Gately Richard D. Greene Richard L. Kaylor Robert F. Kelley George B. Lee, Jr. William B. Naugle
Nineteen	Robert A. Behrman, Chief Judge John J. Althoff ⁸ Hugh H. Arnold Jonathan W. Hays
Twenty	Rex H. Scott, Chief Judge Richard W. Dana Horace B. Holmes William D. Neighbors Murray Richtel

⁷ Replaced Marvin W. Foote 1/9/79

⁸ Replaced Donald A. Carpenter 9/22/78

District

Judge

Twenty-one

James J. Carter, Chief Judge
Charles A. Buss
William M. Ela

Twenty-two

Willard W. Rusk, Jr., Chief Judge

THE WATER COURTS

Water Division	Judge
One	Robert A. Behrman
Two	John C. Statler
Three	Robert W. Ogburn
Four	Robert A. Brown ¹
Five	George E. Lohr
Six	Claus Hume
Seven	William S. Eakes

¹Replaced Fred Calhoun 7/10/78

THE COUNTY COURTS

District	County	Judge
One	Gilpin Jefferson	Andrew J. Krodshen* Kim H. Goldberger Francis C. Jackson Joseph E. Maker ¹ Henry E. Nieto ¹ James D. Zimmerman
Two	Denver	Edward E. Carelli** Theodore H. Chrysler Robert H. Close** Robert B. Crew, Jr. Dan D. Diamond** Irving Ettenberg Anthony F. Greco Samuel M. Kirbens Larry M. Lopez ² ** George A. Manerbino Gregory A. Mueller John F. Sanchez Edward A. Simons Ted Soja ³ ** James D. Urso L. Paul Weadick**
Three	Huerfano Las Animas	Floyd K. Murr Robert G. Bailey ⁴
Four	El Paso Teller	Marilyn C. Martin Jerry C. Nelson ⁵ David D. Parrish Matt M. Railey Jack L. Roeser Richard C. Webster Margaret Tekavee*

¹ Replaced the late E. A. Howard Baker 7/15/78

² Replaced Raymond Dean Jones 1/9/79

³ Replaced Karen Metzger 4/16/79

⁴ Deceased 5/3/79

⁵ Replaced Richard Hall 7/26/78

* Nonattorney

** These six judges in Denver County Court handle municipal ordinances only and thus their caseloads are not reflected in our statistics.

District	County	Judge
Five	Clear Creek	George R. Gaubatz
	Eagle	Roland L. Gerard*
	Lake	James B. O'Toole+*
	Summit	Joseph A. Fattor
		Leon R. Hetherington
Six	Archuleta	Bert E. Hyde*
	La Plata	David W. Duncan
	San Juan	Cynthia K. S. Francisco*
Seven	Delta	Frederick L. French ⁶
	Gunnison	John M. Levin
	Hinsdale	Larry Everett Vickers ⁷ *
	Montrose	Gordon N. Barrett#*
		Richard J. Brown
	Ouray	Philip F. Icke
	San Miguel	Mary Ellen Inama*
Eight	Jackson	John A. Lustig
	Larimer	Don Leland Nelson
		Ronald L. Schultz
		William E. Smoke
Nine	Garfield	Thomas W. Ossola
		Stephen L. Carter# ⁸
	Pitkin	Robert Paul Grueter ⁸
	Rio Blanco	Keith F. Dunbar*
		Charles T. Lupton, Jr. ⁹ *
Ten	Pueblo	Gordon R. Cooper
		Eugene T. Halaas, Jr.
		John R. Tracey

⁶ Replaced Robert A. Brown 7/10/78

⁷ Replaced Calvin D. Fisher 12/15/78

⁸ Replaced John A. F. Wendt 8/1/78

⁹ Replaced Roberta L. Hoff 1/2/79

* Nonattorney

+ Assistant County Judge

Associate County Judge

District	County	Judge
Eleven	Chaffee Custer Fremont Park	Mack Witty Whitney B. Sullivan* Wallace Lundquist Stanley J. Mayhew
Twelve	Alamosa Conejos Costilla Mineral Rio Grande Saguache	Jean Paul Jones Susan I. Broyles* Henry Leo Lobato* Robert M. Wardell* Gordon H. Rowe, Jr. Michael H. Trujillo
Thirteen	Kit Carson Logan Morgan Phillips Sedgwick Washington Yuma	J. Curt Penny, Jr. Baxter W. Arnold Edgar H. Brandenburg Carl J. Absmeier ¹⁰ Max Eldon Carlson ¹⁰ Royal C. Donnen Kent J. Fennie
Fourteen	Grand Moffat Routt	R. Gordon Hoffman* Hubert J. Mathers* Joann K. Neal+* Roger D. Borland
Fifteen	Baca Cheyenne Kiowa Prowers	Warren E. Schmidt Earl T. Hogan* Keith E. Wissel* John J. Lefferdink
Sixteen	Bent Crowley Otero	Thomas F. Marmon ¹¹ William T. Jones* George Lee Strain
Seventeen	Adams	Thomas R. Ensor Maurine Hallock John F. Horan Michael A. Obermeyer Howard J. Otis

¹⁰ Replaced Max Eldon Reichelt 7/15/78

¹¹ Replaced Oakley Wade 10/28/78

* Nonattorney

+ Assistant County Judge

District	County	Judge
Eighteen	Arapahoe	Richard B. Cossaboom
		Thomas C. Levi
		Chris G. Rallis
		Ralph C. Taylor
		Richard D. Turelli
	Douglas	Donna Marie Kirby ¹² *
	Elbert	G. E. Foster*
	Lincoln	
Nineteen	Weld	Alvin A. Borg, Jr.
		Scott Clugston
		Willis K. Kulp
Twenty	Boulder	Martin I. Steinberg
		David R. Torke
		Marsha B. Yeager
Twenty-one	Mesa	Vance O. Kilmer
		Harold P. Moss
Twenty-two	Dolores	W. Paul Spitzer*
		Montezuma


¹² Replaced Lawrence A. Wright, Jr. 3/1/79

* Nonattorney

THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM

JUDICIAL


SC ART. VI, SEC. 1



THIS IS A COMPOSITE REPRESENTATION OF 22 JUDICIAL DISTRICTS. THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT WHICH INCLUDES THE DENVER DISTRICT JUVENILE, SUPERIOR, COUNTY AND PROBATE COURTS VARIES SUBSTANTIALLY FROM THIS COMPOSITE.

..... AUTONOMOUS OR SEMI-AUTONOMOUS BODIES

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS OF COLORADO



DESCRIPTION OF THE COLORADO JUDICIAL SYSTEM

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. A special probate court and juvenile court exist in the City and County of Denver, along with a separate superior court. The Colorado Constitution authorizes municipal courts, which are not state funded, in home rule cities, with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.

The state judicial system was reorganized as a result of a constitutional amendment adopted in 1962. The county court as it existed prior to the amendment was eliminated, and juvenile, probate and mental health jurisdiction was transferred to district court, except in the City and County of Denver, where separate juvenile and probate courts were created.

A second constitutional amendment approved in 1966 established a system in which vacancies for justices and judges are filled by appointments, and judges run for retention in office on noncompetitive ballots. This amendment also created a Judicial Qualifications Commission with authority to recommend to the Supreme Court the removal or retirement of a justice or judge of a court of record for specific reasons, as delineated in the amendment. The 1966 amendment specified that the Chief Justice is the executive head of the court system.

Effective January 1, 1970, the State of Colorado assumed the full responsibility for funding all courts of record, including juvenile and adult probation, other than the Denver County Court and municipal courts. A statewide public defender system was initiated and became funded by the state at that time.

ACTIVITY IN THE COLORADO
JUDICIAL SYSTEM IN FY 1978-79

Judicial Planning Council

The Judicial Planning Council, established by the Chief Justice in 1977, has the responsibility of setting goals and priorities for the Colorado judicial system. Following is a summary of the goals and priorities for FY 1978-79.

GOAL: To enhance the public understanding of the judicial system.

PRIORITIES:

Develop programs for disseminating information to the public;

Develop visual, printed and oral presentations for both English and non-English speaking groups;

Appoint persons responsible for disseminating information to the public;

Develop innovated methods for developing public interest in our judicial system;

Develop programs in which non-lawyers can participate in the court process and support system.

This year, the Judicial Department has undertaken a survey of court users (jurors, parties, victims and witnesses) to determine their level of satisfaction with the court system. The survey, when complete, should assist the department in developing programs to better inform the public about the system.

The department has also begun organizing and coordinating speakers bureaus in each judicial district.

GOAL: To insure effective and efficient use of judicial resources.

PRIORITIES:

Develop a long range plan with standards for workload and performance staffing, facilities, case processing, forecasting and case reporting;

Develop a communication plan;

Evaluate technological applications.

During FY 1978-79 the Judicial Department developed a cost-model approach to budgeting for the trial courts. The model is based on standards developed by calculating the cost per case for personnel and operating expenses.

Probation officers developed and implemented a weighted classification system for adult probationers. The system takes into consideration the nature of the offense committed; prior criminal record; client stability factors, such as employment history and special problems; availability of community referral resources; and the probation officer's subjective impression of client motivation. The factors were selected and designed to reflect the expectations placed upon probation by community demand for offender supervision and by client needs for support services. Based on a numerical score, each client is placed in a supervision level corresponding to the estimated amount of supervision time needed to meet community demand and client need.

A similar instrument for juvenile offenders is currently being developed.

In the area of communication, the Judicial Department developed a newsletter, which has been well received by the courts.

The "Administration of Justice Task Force", appointed by Governor Lamm in 1977, completed its study of data processing activities and equipment in the executive, legislative and judicial branches of government. Its recommendation, which was accepted by the Governor, Chief Justice and the General Assembly, was to transfer the Judicial Department hardware and operations staff to the General Government Computer Center with the programming and an analysis staff remaining in the judicial branch. This transfer will begin July 1, 1979.

GOAL: To provide for fair and expeditious resolutions of disputes.

PRIORITIES:

Provide the opportunity for judicial personnel to keep up to date in relevant areas;

Develop criteria for evaluating the quality of judicial personnel performances;

Establish staff development plans;

Examine the involvement of judicial personnel in activities not related to direct dispute resolution;

Examine the jurisdiction of various levels of the courts.

During FY 1978-79, 43 judges and justices and 785 administrators, clerks and probation officers received training through the Judicial Department's training programs. The 785 administrators, clerks and probation officers represent 7914 person-hours of training. This was the first year of organized training programs for clerks and the response was very positive.

The remaining objectives are being addressed by various committees of the Supreme Court and the Judicial Planning Council.

Legislation Affecting the Judicial System

The First Regular Session of the 52nd General Assembly was long and prolific, not adjourning until early July, and acting on 1148 bills, 537 introduced in the Senate and 611 in the House. Over one-half (692) were lost or postponed indefinitely, and only 456 passed. The Governor signed 410, vetoed 23 and permitted 23 to become law without his signature. Only one veto was overridden. Legislation enacted which is of significance to the judicial system is outlined briefly:

Criminal Procedure

Senate Bill 32 provides that applications for commutation of sentence or pardon must be submitted to the present district attorney, the prosecutor at the time of conviction, and the sentencing judge, before gubernatorial action can be taken. In Senate Bill 363, procedure for setting bail in capital offenses is provided, with the court empowered to revoke bond or increase bond or bail. The death penalty for class 1 felonies was reinstated by House Bill 1269, responding to recent United States and Colorado Supreme Court decisions. According to provisions of House Bill 1396, the public defender will henceforth be selected by a five member commission established by the Supreme Court. House Bill 1589, the presumptive sentencing act of 1977, scheduled to become effective April 1, 1979, was revised and given a new effective date of July 1, 1979. A sentence which is not within the presumptive range is to be automatically reviewed by the Court of Appeals in a nonadversary proceeding. Parole as an early release mechanism for felons is abolished, and a good time plan including earned time is provided.

Children and Domestic Matters

Senate Bill 26, adopted in the closing days of the session, deals with out-of-home placements of children, specifies criteria and requires specific judicial findings of fact. Placements now made on a voluntary basis will require court action after January 1, 1980. Senate Bill 185 removes presumption based upon sex in determining who should have custody. Several laws were enacted in this field, including one making it a matter of court discretion to permit investigation and report on custodial arrangements (Senate Bill 187); repeal of the prohibition against publication of names and pictures of children involved in juvenile court hearings (Senate Bill 362); technical conforming amendments necessitated by Senate Bill 101 of 1978 and miscellaneous amendments of the Children's Code (House Bills 1283 and 1559); and provision for the expungement of records after two years under certain circumstances (Senate Bill 322).

Crimes

Several changes in classification were enacted, such as amending the law on first degree assault from a class 3 felony to class 5, when a sudden heat of passion is caused by a provoking act of the victim (Senate Bill 189). Senate Bill 201 was enacted to make commercial sexual exploitation of a child a class 3 felony. Other laws designed to protect children included Senate Bill 489 which increased penalties for adults who transfer or dispense cannabis to persons under 15, and House Bill 1574, making solicitation for child prostitution a class 3 felony. A new crime of robbery from the elderly or handicapped was established by Senate Bill 202, which increased the status of such a felony to class 3, instead of the class 4 of ordinary robbery.

Courts

The law providing for small claims divisions of county courts was scheduled to expire October 1, 1979, but by the terms of Senate Bill 130, the system was extended indefinitely. Senate Bill 171 provides that jurors are not required to serve more than once in a three-year period. The only additional judgeship in the state was created by Senate Bill 344, providing for a new district judge in the 18th District. Present employees of the Judicial Department may now purchase retirement benefits for service prior to January 1, 1970, when the court system was funded by the state, according to the provisions of Senate Bill 358. In House Bill 1014, county clerks were given powers beyond their regular duties generally or in specific cases, when authorized by the chief judge, after approval by the Chief Justice. The work of the Judicial

Planning Council and its Judicial Performance Committee contributes toward implementation of House Bill 1033, which requires submission of a plan to the General Assembly by January 1, 1980 to inform the electorate of a judge's performance. Housekeeping amendments to various court-related laws were enacted in House Bill 1206. House Bill 1324 provides that the Supreme Court will now establish rates for reporters preparing transcripts. A retired judge of a court of record is empowered to perform marriages by virtue of House Bill 1333. A time and money saving change in summoning jurors was provided in House Bill 1399, which allows service by regular mail.

Motor Vehicles

An alcohol and drug driving safety program for each judicial district was enacted and financed in House Bill 1467, providing for evaluation. The program, under the Highway Department, is effective October 1, 1979.

Interim Study

Senate Joint Resolution 46 creates an Interim Committee on Judiciary to study the methods of judicial selection; the Commission on Judicial Qualifications; the rule-making authority delegated to the Supreme Court; the system of associate and assistant county judges.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT ACCRUED REVENUE
TO THE STATE - FY 1978-79

Accrued Revenue to the General Fund

The Judicial Department collects revenue from a variety of sources composed essentially of three types - fees, fines, and services, such as the \$1 tax per civil case filed and the \$1 tax for each case affecting vital statistics (birth certificates, adoption, change of name domestic relations, etc.). Cash received is transmitted to the state's general fund. An additional \$51,280 of cash payments by indigents for attorney fees was used to augment the appropriation for court appointed counsel due to a change in the appropriations bill.

Tax - Civil Cases	\$ 127,456
Tax - Vital Statistics	30,481
Copy Work, Certifications, etc.	230,141
Water Case Filings	137,732
Civil Docket Fees	2,649,781
Probate Fees	199,809
Water Case Mailings	5,930
Judgment Fees	11,016
Jury Fees	155,220
Criminal Fees, Court Costs, Bond Forfeits	1,429,549
Probation Fees	237,849
Partial Attorney Fee Paid by Indigent	29,063 ^a
Felony, Misdemeanor Fines	763,123
Game, Fish and Parks (50%)	29,602
Miscellaneous Fees	107,707
Unclaimed Funds	12,202
Bad Debt Expense	(175,249)
Collection Service Fees	(4,498)
TOTAL	<u>\$5,976,914</u>

Accrued Revenue to the Highway Users Fund

Traffic Fines and Forfeits	\$2,252,754
D.U.I. - Outside City Limits	592,995
D.U.I. - Inside City Limits (50%)	336,054
Bad Debt Expense	(40,688)
Collection Service Fees	(7,949)
TOTAL	<u>\$3,133,166</u>

Accrued Revenue to the Game, Fish and Parks Funds

Game, Fish and Parks (50%)	\$ 29,546
Collection Service Fees	(97)
TOTAL	<u>\$ 29,449</u>
GRAND TOTAL	\$9,139,529


^aThe actual total of collections and accrued revenue from indigents in FY 1978-79 is \$80,343 an increase of about \$32,000 over last fiscal year.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT EXPENDITURES
FY 1971-72 THROUGH FY 1978-79


	TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES	JUDICIAL SYSTEM EXPENDITURES FROM THE GENERAL FUND ^a	% OF JUDICIAL TO GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURE
FY 1971-72	\$447,242,508	\$17,093,633	3.82
FY 1972-73	\$509,074,634	\$18,895,926	3.71
FY 1973-74	\$700,389,391	\$20,753,573	2.96
FY 1974-75	\$774,281,729	\$23,985,106	3.10
FY 1975-76	\$863,041,322	\$26,787,411	3.10
FY 1976-77	\$874,528,000	\$31,273,172	3.58
FY 1977-78	\$957,609,000	\$34,534,408	3.61
FY 1978-79	\$1,036,180,000 ^b	\$36,186,896	3.49

^aDoes not include Public Defender or Judicial/Heritage Complex maintenance.

^bEstimated.



DISTRIBUTION OF JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT EXPENDITURES FOR FY 1978-79



	STATE GENERAL FUND	AGUMENTING FUNDS	TOTAL FUNDS
SUPREME COURT	\$ 906,192	\$	\$ 906,192
COURT OF APPEALS			
Administration	996,313	26,415	1,022,728
Printing opinions of both appellate courts	60,312		60,312
TRIAL COURTS			
Direct Services	25,290,859	120,782	25,411,641
ADP Services	1,119,764	177,248	1,297,012
PROBATION	6,901,678	168,115	7,069,793
ADMINISTRATION	911,778	254,941	1,166,719
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT EXPENDITURES	36,186,896	747,501	36,934,397
JUDICIAL/HERITAGE COMPLEX MAINTENANCE (not included above)	177,037		177,037
	STATE GENERAL FUND	AGUMENTING FUNDS	TOTAL FUNDS
PUBLIC DEFENDER (not included above)	\$ 3,303,946	\$254,744	\$3,558,690

THE APPELLATE COURTS

THE APPELLATE COURTS

Activity in FY 1978-79

The combined efforts of the appellate court justices and judges resulted in a 4.5 percent increase in terminations this year. This figure includes an additional 57 written opinions. The judges achieved a remarkable total of 1030 written opinions, an average of more than one per week per judge.

Despite these accomplishments, the 8.4 percent increase in filings caused the appellate pending count to move above 1500 cases as of June 30, 1979.

TABLE I. CASELOAD OF THE COLORADO APPELLATE COURTS
FY 1964-65 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	APPEALS FILED	TOTAL CASELOAD	APPEALS TERM.	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
Supreme Court					
1964-65	565	484	1049	447	602
1965-66	602	581	1183	437	746
1966-67	746	640	1386	542	844
1967-68	844	574	1418	519	899
1968-69	899	620	1519	496	1023
Supreme Court and Court of Appeals					
1969-70	1023	677	1700	645	1055
1970-71	1055	845	1900	1013	887
1971-72	887	926	1813	1035	778
1972-73	778	1051	1829	1046	783
1973-74	783	1022	1805	967	838
1974-75	838	1370	2208	1250	958
1975-76	958	1480	2438	1421 ^a	1017
1976-77	1017	1780	2797	1539	1258
1977-78	1258	1900	3158	1820 ^a	1338
1978-79	1353 ^b	2060	3413	1902	1511

^a Court of Appeals opinion withdrawn in following fiscal year.

^b Revised pending figure, due to Supreme Court audit.




TABLE II. CIVIL AND CRIMINAL APPEALS FROM THE
DISTRICT COURTS, FY 1971-72 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	NO. CIVIL APPEALS ¹	PERCENT CHANGE	NO. CRIMINAL APPEALS ¹	PERCENT CHANGE
1971-72	409		176	
1972-73	446	9.0	230	30.7
1973-74	451	1.1	220	-4.3
1974-75	593	31.5	326	48.2
1975-76	642	8.3	334	2.5
1976-77	714	11.2	405	21.3
1977-78	778	9.0	414	2.2
1978-79	876	12.6	436	5.3

¹ Does not include any other civil or criminal matters handled in the two appellate courts.




TABLE III. AVERAGE NUMBER OF FILINGS PER APPELLATE JUDGE,
FY 1964-65 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER JUDGES	NUMBER FILINGS	NO. FILINGS PER JUDGE
1964-65	7	484	69.1
1965-66	7	581	83.0
1966-67	7	640	91.4
1967-68	7	574	82.0
1968-69	7	620	88.6
1969-70	10	677	67.7
1970-71	13	845	65.0
1971-72	13	926	71.2
1972-73	13	1051	80.8
1973-74	13	1022	78.6
1974-75	17	1370	80.6
1975-76	17	1480	87.1
1976-77	17	1780	104.7
1977-78	17	1900	111.8
1978-79	17	2060	121.2





TABLE IV. AVERAGE NUMBER OF TERMINATIONS PER APPELLATE JUDGE
FY 1964-65 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER JUDGES ^a	NO. WRITTEN OPINIONS ^b	AVERAGE PER JUDGE	TOTAL TERM.	AVERAGE NO. TERM PER JUDGE
1969-70	10.00	369	36.9	645	64.5
1970-71	13.00	736	56.6	1013	77.9
1971-72	13.00	720	55.4	1035	79.6
1972-73	13.00	648	49.8	1046	80.5
1973-74	13.00	640	49.2	967	74.4
1974-75	16.67 ^c	810	48.6	1250	75.0
1975-76	17.00	852	50.1	1421	83.6
1976-77	17.00	878	51.6	1539	90.5
1977-78	17.00	973	57.2	1820	107.1
1978-79	17.00	1030	60.6	1902	111.9

^a Both Chief Judges are counted as full time, although each spends considerable time on administrative duties.

^b Includes Court of Appeals published and unpublished (formerly memorandum) opinions.

^c Not figured as 17 because the four new judges served only 11 months out of the year.



THE SUPREME COURT

THE SUPREME COURT

Jurisdiction

The Colorado Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve 10-year terms. The number of justices may be increased to nine upon request of the court and concurrence of two-thirds of the members of each house of the General Assembly. Justices of the Supreme Court must be qualified electors of the state and licensed to practice law in this state for at least five years prior to their appointment.

The Supreme Court has both appellate and original jurisdiction. The latter is restricted to original and remedial writs as may be provided by rule of court with authority to hear and determine the same.

Appellate review by the Supreme Court of final judgments of the district courts, the Denver Probate Court, and the Denver Juvenile Court is a matter of right; however, the constitution does not prescribe the method of appellate review. The Supreme Court has initial appellate jurisdiction over: 1) cases in which the constitutionality of a statute, a municipal charter provision, or an ordinance is in question; 2) cases concerned with decisions or actions of the Public Utilities Commission; 3) writs of habeas corpus; 4) water cases involving priorities or adjudications; and 5) summary proceedings initiated under Title 1, C.R.S. 1973, as amended (Election Code). The Supreme Court also has certiorari review over appeals which lie initially to the Court of Appeals.

Activity in FY 1978-79

Last fiscal year, the Supreme Court increased dramatically the number of cases it was able to close. This year, the Court maintained that rate, closing an average of 125 cases per justice, or 877 total terminations. The Court issued a record number of dispositions without opinion, a gain of 4 percent over the previous year.

Despite the ability of the justices to continue closing cases expeditiously, the number of new appeals filed led to an increase in both caseload and pending count. The number of appeals increased over 10 percent, from 854 to 941.

Original proceedings account for 284 of those cases and reflect a 13.1 percent increase over last fiscal year. Original proceedings represent about 30 percent of the new filings. These cases must be heard relatively quickly, as their purpose is ordinarily to prevent a lower court from proceeding further with the case in question. Petitions in certiorari increased 6.2 percent, to 375. These petitions continue to account for about 40 percent of the case filings.

The constant increase in filings means that the Supreme Court justices began the new fiscal year (FY 1979-80) with a pending count of over 400 cases.

TABLE V. CASELOAD OF THE SUPREME COURT,
FY 1964-65 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	APPEALS FILED	TOTAL CASELOAD	APPEALS TERMINATED	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
1964-65	565	484	1049	447	602
1965-66	602	581	1183	437	746
1966-67	746	640	1386	542	844
1967-68	844	574	1418	519	899
1968-69	899	620	1519	496	1023
1969-70	1023	568	1591	484 ^a	847
1970-71	847	544	1391	581 ^b	511
1971-72	511	517	1028	605	423
1972-73	423	606	1029	602	427
1973-74	427	611	1038	559	479
1974-75	479	553	1032	666	366
1975-76	366	651	1017	674	343
1976-77	343	735	1078	704	374
1977-78	374	854	1228	893	335
1978-79	350 ^c	941	1291	877	414

^a In addition, 260 backlog cases were transferred to the Court of Appeals.

^b In addition, 299 backlog cases were transferred to the Court of Appeals.

^c Revised pending figure.




TABLE VI. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS IN THE
SUPREME COURT, FY 1969-70 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	DIRECT FILINGS	TRANSFERS FROM COURT OF APPEALS	REOPENED CASES	TOTAL FILINGS
1969-70	564	4	0	568
1970-71	528	16	0	544
1971-72	508	9	0	517
1972-73	582	20	4	606
1973-74	575	30	6	611
1974-75	517	33	3	553
1975-76	569	81	1	651
1976-77	658	75	2	735
1977-78	784	66	4	854
1978-79	852	89	0	941

TABLE VII. DISTRIBUTION BY TYPE OF CASE FILED
IN THE SUPREME COURT, FY 1975-76 TO FY 1978-79

	FY 75-76	FY 76-77	FY 77-78	FY 78-79
Criminal Appeals	75	84	99	96
Original Proceedings	188	238	251	284
Petitions in Certiorari	274	284	353	375
Civil Appeals (including water cases, P.U.C. de- cisions and constitu- tional questions)	76	80	63	81
Interlocutories	16	21	26	25
Statutory Review	0	1	0	3
Habeas Corpus	19	19	26	49
Bail Reduction	0	0	1	0
Interrogatories	2	1	3	0
Reopened Cases	1	2	4	0
Rules 21.1	0	2	0	1
Attorney Registration Suspension	0	1	0	0
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	0	2	0	1
Original Proceedings (in Discipline)	0	0	28	26
T O T A L	651	735	854	941

TABLE VIII. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS
IN THE SUPREME COURT, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	DISPOSED OF BY WRITTEN OPINION	DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	TRANSFERRED TO COURT OF APPEALS	TOTAL TERMS	% OF WRITTEN OPINIONS TO DISPOSITIONS
1964-65	254	193	447		447	56.8
1965-66	251	186	437		437	57.4
1966-67	325	217	542		542	60.0
1967-68	321	198	519		519	61.8
1968-69	299	197	496		496	60.3
1969-70	230	254	484	260	744	47.5
1970-71	346	235	581	299	880	59.6
1971-72	352	245	597	8	605	59.0
1972-73	291	308	599	3	602	48.6
1973-74	303	253	556	3	559	54.5
1974-75	343	315	658	8	666	52.1
1975-76	293	377	670	4	674	43.7
1976-77	285	411	696	8	704	40.9
1977-78	322	564 ^a	886	7	893	36.3
1978-79	284	587 ^a	871	6	877	32.6

^aIncludes 13 cases awaiting formal closing.

TABLE IX. AVERAGE NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS
PER SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS BY JUSTICES ^a	AVERAGE NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS PER JUSTICE
1964-65	254	36.3
1965-66	251	35.9
1966-67	315	45.0
1967-68	305	43.6
1968-69	274	39.1
1969-70	225	32.1
1970-71	314	44.9
1971-72	319	45.6
1972-73	291	41.6
1973-74	303	43.3
1974-75	343	49.0
1975-76	293	41.9
1976-77	285	40.7
1977-78	322	46.0
1978-79	284	40.6

^a Opinions written by visiting judges in FY 1966-67 - 1971-72 not included.

TABLE X. DISTRIBUTION OF SUPREME COURT CASES AT
ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1978-79

	CERT.	APPEALS	GRIEVANCES	TOTAL
Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	20	40	0	60
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	25	45	0	70
Cases submitted without argument	4	39	7	50
Opinion announced awaiting action on rehearing	2	18	0	20
	<u>51</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>200</u>

TABLE XI. DISTRIBUTION OF SUPREME COURT CASES NOT
AT ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1978-79

	TOTAL
PENDING CASES	
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	33
Appeals pending	101
Appeals on Limited Remand	3
Appeals Held in Abeyance	1
GRIEVANCE MATTERS	
Pending and Miscellaneous Grievance Matters	13
Referred to Grievance Committee	7
	<u>158</u>

TABLE XII. DISTRIBUTION OF CASES PENDING IN
THE SUPREME COURT THE LAST DAY OF FISCAL YEAR, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	CASES AT ISSUE ^a	CASES AWAITING DECISION ON CERTIORARI ACCEPTANCE	CASES NOT YET AT ISSUE	TOTAL PENDING	PERCENT AT ISSUE OR AWAITING DECISION ON CERTIORARI
1964-65	379		223	602	63.0
1965-66	477		269	746	63.9
1966-67	474		370	844	56.2
1967-68	565		334	899	62.8
1968-69	643		380	1023	62.9
1969-70	562		285	847	66.4
1970-71	337		174	511	65.9
1971-72	278		145	423	65.7
1972-73	194		233	427	45.4
1973-74	230		249	479	48.0
1974-75	304		62	366	83.1
1975-76	282		61	343	82.2
1976-77	295		79	374	78.9
1977-78	244		91	335	72.8
1978-79	200	56	158	414	61.8

a Prior to FY 1978-79, cases at issue and those awaiting decision on certiorari acceptance were combined.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

THE COURT OF APPEALS

Jurisdiction

The Court of Appeals is composed of ten judges who serve eight-year terms and who must have the same qualifications as Supreme Court justices. The Court of Appeals sits in divisions composed of three judges to hear and determine all matters before the court. The chief judge, who is appointed by the Chief Justice, assigns the judges to the three divisions and rotates these assignments from time to time. Besides handling administrative duties, the chief judge provides backup coverage for all of the divisions by substituting during vacations, illnesses, and disqualifications. The divisions of the Court of Appeals are located in Denver, but a division may sit in any county seat to hear oral argument.

The Court of Appeals has initial appellate jurisdiction over appeals from final judgments of the district courts and the Denver Probate, Juvenile, and Superior Courts, except for those matters which lie directly to the Supreme Court. The Court of Appeals does not have any original jurisdiction as such, but has the authority to issue any writs, directives, orders, and mandates necessary to the determination of cases within its jurisdiction.

The Court of Appeals also has initial jurisdiction over appeals from awards or actions of the Industrial Commission in workmen's compensation and unemployment compensation cases and appeals regarding charters for new state banks granted or denied by the Banking Board. In addition the Court has jurisdiction to review actions of the state boards of medical examiners and dental examiners in refusing to grant, or in revoking or suspending a license, or in placing a holder of a license on probation. The court also has initial jurisdiction to review actions of the Civil Rights Commission, Insurance Commissioner, and actions of school boards under the Teacher Tenure Act.

Activity in FY 1978-79

The Court of Appeals has an impressive history of implementing innovative management programs to deal with the constantly increasing caseload with maximum efficiency. The

accelerated docket, preargument conferences, and the addition of the staff attorney screening unit are examples of such programs. As a result of these programs and the constantly increasing efforts on the part of each judge, the annual number of terminated cases has steadily increased. The court disposed of 1120 cases in FY 1978-79, which is a 12 percent increase over FY 1977-78; a 22 percent increase over FY 1976-77; and a 34 percent increase over FY 1975-76. The increase in terminations is remarkable in that the number of judgeships has remained constant.

In FY 1978-79, the court issued 493 written opinions in civil cases as opposed to 385 in FY 1977-78. The accelerated docket program, which is aimed at expediting certain civil appeals, is a major factor in this 28 percent increase. Of those cases which were placed on the accelerated docket, 82 percent were decided within six months of the date the Notice of Appeal was filed in the trial court. This compares to the previous average of over one year. Further, this program has reduced the expense to the litigant in cases in which no transcript or only a partial transcript is necessary to resolve the issues on appeal.

The creation of the staff attorney screening unit in August 1977 has further assisted the court in increasing its termination rate. The screening unit provided a preliminary analysis of the merits of 157 appeals which were then decided by opinion this fiscal year.

The court's preargument conference procedure has also increased the termination rate by affording litigants the opportunity to meet with one of the judges to explore the possibility of settlement and to reduce the issues on appeal. A successful preargument conference reduces the time and expense of an appeal to all involved parties.

The Colorado Court of Appeals has surpassed what is considered to be the maximum number of dispositions per appellate judge on an intermediate appellate court. According to the thoughtful views expressed in Justice on Appeal¹, the annual maximum number of dispositions (opinions, dismissals, and transfers) that can reasonably be expected per judge is 100. The average number of such dispositions per judge in the Colorado Court of Appeals in FY 1978-79 was 112.

Despite the success of the special programs and the extremely productive efforts of the judges, the fact remains that in FY 1978-79 there were 94 more cases filed than terminated. The court's backlog is now increasing at an alarming pace. At the close of FY 1978-79, the court was facing 1097 pending cases.

¹P. Carrington, D. Meador, and M. Rosenberg. Justice on Appeal, pg. 143 (West 1976).

TABLE XIII. CASELOAD OF THE COURT OF APPEALS,
FY 1969-70 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	NEW CASES ^b	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI- NATIONS	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
1969-70 ^a	0	373	373	165	208
1970-71	208	616	824	448	376
1971-72	376	426	802	447	355
1972-73	355	468	823	467	356
1973-74	356	444	800	441	359
1974-75	359	858	1217	625	592
1975-76	592	915	1507	833 ^c	674
1976-77	674	1128	1802	918	884
1977-78	884	1119	2003	1000 ^d	1003
1978-79	1003	1214	2217	1120	1097

^a Since the Court opened January 1, 1970, FY 1969-70 figures are for six months.

^b Includes reopened cases and cases remanded from the U.S. and the Colorado Supreme Courts.

^c One FY 1975-76 opinion was withdrawn in FY 1976-77.

^d One FY 1977-78 opinion was withdrawn in FY 1978-79.




TABLE XIV. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS^a IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FY 1969-70 TO FY 1978-79

	69-70 ^b	70-71	71-72	72-73	73-74	74-75	75-76	76-77	77-78	78-79
Civil Appeals ^c	93	279	363	390	386	536	566	634	715	795
Transfers from Supreme Court	260	299	8	3	3	3	3	6	3	2
Industrial Comm.	20	38	55	75	55	36	85	165	82	73
Criminal Appeals						278	259	321	315	340
Transfers from Supreme Court	373	616	426	468	444	5	2	2	4	4
TOTAL						858	915	1128	1119	1214

TABLE XV. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FY 1969-70 TO FY 1978-79

	69-70 ^b	70-71	71-72	72-73	73-74	74-75	75-76	76-77	77-78	78-79
Closed by published opinion ^d	139	390	368	357	337	467	559 ^f	445	554	308
Closed by unpublished opinion ^e								148	97 ^f	438
Closed without opinion	22	42	70	90	74	125	193	250	283	285
Transferred to Supreme Court	4	16	9	20	30	33	81 ^f	75	66 ^f	89
TOTAL	165	448	447	467	441	625	833 ^f	918	1000 ^f	1120

^aIncludes reopened cases and cases remanded from the U.S. and the Colorado Supreme Courts.

^bSince the Court opened January 1, 1970, FY 1969-70 figures are for six months.

^cIncludes appeals from courts, and administrative boards and agencies within jurisdiction except Industrial Commission.

^dPrior to December 8, 1977, referred to as written opinion.

^eFrom July 1, 1976 - December 8, 1977, referred to as memorandum opinion.

^fOpinion withdrawn in the following fiscal year.

TABLE XVI. DISTRIBUTION OF COURT OF APPEALS CASES
THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1978-79

Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	
Criminal appeals	103
Civil appeals	97
Industrial Commission appeals	10
TOTAL	<u>210</u>
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	
Criminal appeals	16
Civil appeals	86
Industrial Commission appeals	2
TOTAL	<u>104</u>
Cases submitted without oral argument	81
TOTAL cases at issue	395 ^a
TOTAL cases not yet at issue	<u>702</u>
TOTAL cases open	1097

^a42 cases not included: opinions written but awaiting action on rehearing.

TABLE XVII. DISTRIBUTION OF CASES PENDING IN THE COURT OF APPEALS THE LAST DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1969-70 TO FY 1978-79

FISCAL YEAR	CASE AT ISSUE ^a	CASES NOT YET AT ISSUE	TOTAL PENDING ^a	PERCENT AT ISSUE TO TOTAL
1969-70	119	89	208	57.2
1970-71	240	136	376	63.8
1971-72	183	172	355	51.5
1972-73	148	208	356	41.6
1973-74	175	184	359	48.7
1974-75	169	423	592	28.5
1975-76	252	421	673 ^b	37.4
1976-77	257	627	884	29.1
1977-78	261	741	1002 ^c	26.0
1978-79	395	702	1097	36.0

^a Cases in which opinions were written but were awaiting action on rehearing were not included.

^b One FY 1975-76 opinion was withdrawn in FY 1976-77, changing the pending count to 674.

^c One FY 1977-78 opinion was withdrawn in FY 1978-79, changing the pending count to 1003.

THE DISTRICT COURTS

THE DISTRICT COURTS

Jurisdiction

The district court is Colorado's trial court of general jurisdiction. It has original jurisdiction in domestic relations, civil, juvenile, probate, mental health, and criminal cases, except in the City and County of Denver, where probate and mental health matters are heard by the Probate Court and all juvenile matters by the Juvenile Court.

Except in the City and County of Denver where the appellate court is Denver Superior Court, district courts have appellate jurisdiction over all final judgments in county court. The district court reviews such cases on the record, except that, in its discretion, it may remand the case for a new trial with such instructions as it may deem necessary, or it may direct that the case be tried de novo before the district court. If a municipal court is a court of record, appeals lie to the district court in the same manner as county court appeals.

The Probate Court of the City and County of Denver has exclusive jurisdiction within the city and county over all matters of probate and the adjudication of the mentally ill.

The Juvenile Court of the City and County of Denver has exclusive jurisdiction over juvenile matters arising in the city and county. These include the following proceedings: delinquency, children in need of supervision, dependency and neglect, relinquishment, adoption, and paternity and support.

The Superior Court of the City and County of Denver was created by statute. Its original jurisdiction is concurrent with the district court in civil actions where the amount involved is not less than \$1,000 nor more than \$5,000. The Denver Superior Court also has appellate jurisdiction over cases appealed from the county court of the City and County of Denver.

District Court Activity in FY 1978-79

Although mental health filings dropped 5.0 percent and juvenile filings went down .3 percent in FY 1978-79, the increases in the other case types resulted in an overall filing increase of 5.9 percent. The significant increases in civil and domestic relations cases for the second year in a row were

the major cause of the overall filing increase. Denver District Court increased for the first time since FY 1974-75. The increase amounted to 11.2 percent.

District court activity changed in the following amounts this year.

<u>Case Type</u>	<u>Filings</u>	<u>Terminations</u>
Domestic Relations	7.0%	4.5%
Civil	11.1%	4.1%
Probate	6.0%	73.3% ^a
Juvenile	-.3%	-2.8%
Mental Health	-5.0%	-6.0%
Criminal	1.8%	3.9%
TOTAL	5.9%	7.4% ^b

Almost 30 percent of the district court caseload is now composed of civil cases, with another 30 percent being domestic relations cases. These two case types often require many additional court appearances to resolve supplemental matters.

An overview of the district court activity since FY 1975-76 through the current fiscal year shows that while the state as a whole and the urban counties have shown small filing fluctuations, both upward and downward, the smaller, rural courts have experienced major filing increases. One probable explanation for the discrepancy in growth pattern is the impact of the civil jurisdictional change in the county court. In 1975, the county court's civil jurisdiction was increased from \$500 to \$1,000, leading to a significant number of civil cases in the urban counties being filed in the county court, rather than the district court. The jurisdictional change had less effect in the less populated counties.

Using FY 1975-76 as a base year, the rural counties have experienced a 20.1 percent growth in new filings. The urban counties have seen a 6.2 percent increase in new filings. Because of the impact of the large, urban counties on the statewide total, the increase in new filings statewide is 8.2 percent. During this period of significant growth in the outlying areas, only one additional district court judgeship was authorized in a rural district.

^aWithout the 4369 statistical terminations of old cases in Denver, Probate experienced a 6.1 percent decrease in terminations.

^bWithout the 4369 statistical terminations of cases in Denver, the state experienced a 2.1 percent increase in terminations.

Domestic Relations

The number of domestic relations filings grew by 7 percent this year. Dissolution of marriage filings were almost totally responsible for the upward movement in this case type. Reciprocal non-support filings did increase by almost six percent again this year. This is probably related to the federal government's financial encouragement of state prosecution of non-support cases.

For the first time in three years, domestic relations filings increased in Denver, a court that felt the impact of a 20 percent increase in dissolution of marriage filings and a 13.7 percent increase in domestic relations filings. The suburban counties of Adams, Arapahoe, Jefferson, and Boulder generally stabilized.

Despite a 4.5 percent increase in terminations, the number of new filings surpassed the ability of judges to keep up. There were 4920 more filings than terminations this year statewide.

Civil

For the second year in a row, the number of civil cases filed in the district courts increased, this time a substantial 11 percent. The growth in district court civil cases is only part of a systemwide trend. While the change in county court civil jurisdiction from \$500 to \$1000 in October 1975 resulted in an increase in county court civil cases and a reduction in the number of those cases filed in the district court, it is clear that the relief provided the district court was only temporary. While the growth of the civil workload in the county court is continuing to impact that system, the district court civil workload is now edging back up to where it was prior to the jurisdictional change.

Fortunately, terminations also increased by almost 1000 cases. Even this, however, was not enough to keep pace with the addition of 3043 filings.

Probate

Last year's 12 percent increase in probate filings was followed by an additional 6 percent increase this year. The trend is statewide; almost every district experienced an increase in this type of activity this year.

The increase in probate terminations this year, from 5504 to 9539, is in large part due to a special termination effort undertaken in Denver Probate Court, which led to the administrative and statistical termination of 4369 cases filed prior to 1973. The decision to handle these cases in this fashion will help the court deal with the remaining cases in a more efficient manner.

Juvenile

The caseflow in the juvenile courts this year remained relatively stable with a .3 percent decrease in filings. Delinquency filings increased slightly this year, as they have tended to do over the past several years.

Petitions referring to juveniles as CHINS (Children in Need of Supervision) decreased statewide this year, from 924 to 764, or 17.3 percent. This may be in anticipation of Senate Bill 101, enacted in last year's session, and due to become effective July 1, 1979. This law redefined CHINS and provides that children needing oversight and dependent and neglected children cannot be committed to the Department of Institutions and runaway children cannot be detained more than 48 hours.

A most encouraging note is the fact that for the first time in four years the number of dependency and neglect actions has stabilized.

A trend which began last fiscal year continued this year, in that adoptions and relinquishments are beginning to move up again, while paternity and support cases are now slowing down.

An on-going audit of the statistical system brought a data collection problem to light this year. It was found that while adoption, relinquishment, paternity and support, and dependency-neglect cases are reported and counted on the basis of the number of children involved in each case in the smaller counties, these juvenile case types in eight of the front-range counties have only been counted as one case, regardless of the number of children involved. In order to be consistent with other counties and the juvenile based counting system used to account for delinquency and CHINS cases throughout the state, plans are being made to count juveniles, rather than cases, in these eight counties, beginning next fiscal year. The counties involved are: Adams, Boulder, Denver, El Paso, Jefferson, Larimer, Pueblo, and Weld.

Mental Health

The slight drop of 110 mental health filings statewide is in large part related to the fact that mental health filings in El Paso County decreased by 180 cases this year. In the same manner, El Paso's terminations dropped from 439 to 274, impacting the statewide termination rate, which went from 2120 last year to 1993 this year. Much of the change in the caseload in El Paso is due to procedural changes in the district attorney's office.

Arapahoe county shows substantially more petitions for evaluation than the other suburban counties due to a procedural difference in the processing of voluntary commitments.

Criminal

While the number of criminal cases filed was only 210 more than last year, examination of the distribution of filings indicates that there has been no slowdown in the number of informations filed for offenses against persons. Of concern to the public is the rise in the number of these offenses, up from 1868 last year to 1931 this year.

Drug and narcotic offense filings decreased again this year, as they have for the past three years.

The urban counties of Denver, El Paso, and Pueblo breathed sighs of relief this year, as there were slight decreases in criminal filings in these three counties. No such relief was provided in the suburban courts of Jefferson, Adams, Arapahoe, Weld, and Boulder.

Criminal terminations increased by 365 cases this year, alleviating some of the problems caused by the increase of 210 filings.

TABLE XVIII. DISTRICT COURT CASELOAD^a - FY 1975-76 to FY 1978-79

	FY 75-76 ^b	FY 76-77 ^b	FY 77-78	FY 78-79
DOMESTIC RELATIONS				
Cases Pending July 1	24,588	17,840	19,850	23,122
New Cases Filed	30,361	30,406	31,677	33,888
Post Judgment Actions	7,619	8,914	7,713	7,924
TOTAL Caseload	62,568	57,160	59,240	64,934
Cases Terminated	44,728	37,310	27,720	28,968
Post Judgment Terminations			8,398	10,144
Cases Pending June 30	17,840	19,850	23,122	25,822
CIVIL^c				
Cases Pending July 1	29,383	27,825	24,843	27,501
New Cases Filed	27,843	25,771	27,523	30,566
Post Judgment Actions	7,992	9,465	7,111	6,932
TOTAL Caseload	65,218	63,061	59,477	64,999
Cases Terminated	37,393	38,218	24,080	25,071
Post Judgment Terminations			7,896	7,884
Cases Pending June 30	27,825	24,843	27,501	32,044
PROBATE				
Cases Pending July 1	18,722	19,053	19,205	20,439
New Cases Filed	6,015	5,862 ^d	6,570	6,963
Post Judgment Actions	282	551	697	638
TOTAL Caseload	25,019	25,466	26,472	28,040
Cases Terminated	5,966	6,261	5,504	9,539 ^e
Post Judgment Terminations			529	793
Cases Pending June 30	19,053	19,205	20,439	17,708
JUVENILE				
Cases Pending July 1	8,795	7,618	11,564	14,038
New Cases Filed	16,405	16,777	16,550	16,497
Post Judgment Actions	6,433	6,060	6,317	7,162
TOTAL Caseload	31,633	30,455	34,431	37,697
Cases Terminated	24,015	18,891	13,751	13,361
Post Judgment Terminations			6,642	7,603
Cases Pending June 30	7,618	11,564	14,038	16,733
MENTAL HEALTH				
Cases Pending July 1	731	807	1,169	1,268
New Cases Filed	1,674	1,931	2,183	2,073
Post Judgment Actions	249	539	750	970
TOTAL Caseload	2,654	3,277	4,102	4,311
Cases Terminated	1,847	2,108	2,120	1,993
Post Judgment Terminations			774	1,038
Cases Pending June 30	807	1,169	1,268	1,280
CRIMINAL				
Cases Pending July 1	10,031	10,605	12,415	11,603
New Cases Filed	11,641	11,661	11,404	11,614
Post Judgment Actions	2,693	4,029	3,621	3,673
TOTAL Caseload	24,365	26,295	27,440	26,890
Cases Terminated	13,760	13,880	9,296	9,661
Post Judgment Terminations			6,541	4,667
Cases Pending June 30	10,605	12,415	11,603	12,562
TOTAL				
Cases Pending July 1	92,250	83,748	89,046	97,971
New Cases Filed	93,939	92,408 ^d	95,907	101,601
Post Judgment Actions	25,268	29,558 ^d	26,209	27,299
TOTAL Caseload	211,457	205,714	211,162	226,871 ^e
Cases Terminated	127,709	116,668	82,471	88,593 ^e
Post Judgment Terminations			30,720	32,129
Cases Pending June 30	83,748	89,046	97,971	106,149

^aAll district courts plus Denver Superior, Denver Juvenile, and Denver Probate.^bTerminations and post judgment terminations are combined.^cDoes not include water cases.^dIncludes only nine months in Denver Probate Court due to data processing failure.^eIncludes 4369 statistical terminations of old cases in Denver.


TABLE XIX.^a
DISTRICT COURT FILINGS AND PERCENTAGE INCREASE
FY 1975-76 - FY 1978-79, BY DISTRICT

DISTRICT	-----FILINGS-----				Percent Increase 77-78- 78-79	Percent Increase 75-76- 78-79
	1975-76	1976-77	1977-78	1978-79		
1	8,556	9,001	9,913	10,321	4.1	20.6
2						
District	19,107	16,618	15,896	17,673	11.2	-7.5
Superior	2,551	1,883	2,065	2,265	9.7	-11.2
Juvenile	4,234	3,901	3,794	3,674	-3.2	-13.2
Probate	1,739	1,798	2,087	2,161	3.5	24.3
3	824	719	806	896	11.2	8.7
4	11,550	11,511	11,937	12,368	3.6	7.1
5	1,244	1,219	1,495	1,696	13.4	36.3
6	914	1,072	1,211	1,289	6.4	41.0
7	1,365	1,417	1,688	1,671	-1.0	22.4
8	3,434	3,510	3,453	3,817	10.5	11.2
9	1,277	1,169	1,323	1,551	17.2	21.5
10	4,365	4,497	4,370	4,750	8.7	8.8
11	1,341	1,631	1,921	1,992	3.7	48.5
12	1,049	1,170	1,343	1,274	-5.1	21.4
13	2,009	1,784	2,116	1,895	-10.4	-5.7
14	1,050	1,076	1,226	1,387	13.1	32.1
15	728	690	824	807	-2.1	10.9
16	956	974	1,006	902	-10.3	-5.6
17	7,230	7,658	7,444	7,493	.7	3.6
18	7,181	7,644	8,507	9,151	7.6	27.4
19	3,363	3,219	3,367	3,851	14.4	14.5
20	4,940	5,204	4,759	5,250	10.3	6.3
21	2,419	2,539	2,782	2,896	4.1	19.7
22	513	504	574	571	-.5	11.3
STATE TOTAL	93,939	92,408	95,907	101,601	5.9	8.2

^aWater cases not included.

TABLE XX. PERCENT INCREASE OF DISTRICT COURT FILINGS IN
FY 1978-79 OVER FY 1975-76 BY URBAN AND RURAL COUNTIES *

	URBAN ^a		RURAL ^b		STATE	
	No. filings ^c	% Change over FY 1975-76	No. filings ^c	% Change over FY 1975-76	No. filings ^c	% Change over FY 1975-76
Base Year FY 1975-76	80669		13270		93939	
FY 1976-77	78983	-2.1	13425	1.2	92408	-1.6
FY 1977-78	80374	-1.4	15533	17.1	95907	2.1
FY 1978-79	85670	6.2	15931	20.1	101601	8.2



*See page 113 for footnotes.

TABLE XXI. DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST							
Gilpin	19	20	1	40	17	2	21
Jefferson	1978	4117	1232	7327	3416	1061	2850
TOTAL	1997	4137	1233	7367	3433	1063	2871
2ND							
Denver	6978	7130	1856	15964	5534	3416	7014
3RD							
Huerfano	22	69	13	104	70	4	30
Las Animas	98	141	37	296	146	59	91
TOTAL	120	210	70	400	316	63	121
4TH							
El Paso	2961	4571	1070	8602	4204	1175	3223
Teller	31	56	5	92	65	2	25
TOTAL	2992	4627	1075	8694	4269	1177	3248
5TH							
Clear Creek	35	74	8	117	71	8	38
Eagle	73	132	15	220	125	16	79
Lake	49	118	13	180	119	18	43
Summit	101	100	24	225	97	50	78
TOTAL	258	424	60	742	412	92	238
6TH							
Archuleta	40	54	7	101	45	3	53
La Plata	143	311	149	603	309	143	151
San Juan	5	6	0	11	7	0	4
TOTAL	188	371	156	715	361	146	208
7TH							
Delta	85	209	21	315	203	9	103
Gunnison	50	102	4	156	85	1	70
Hinsdale	4	2	5	11	2	5	4
Montrose	167	321	20	508	300	43	145
Ouray	9	14	3	26	17	4	5
San Miguel	42	21	2	65	21	2	42
TOTAL	357	669	55	1081	628	84	369
8TH							
Jackson	7	15	1	23	7	0	16
Larimer	675	1417	328	2420	1247	410	763
TOTAL	682	1432	329	2443	1254	410	779
9TH							
Garfield	248	297	40	585	250	37	298
Pitkin	319	117	0	436	122	192	122
Rio Blanco	40	90	19	149	75	28	46
TOTAL	607	504	59	1170	447	257	466
10TH							
Pueblo	822	1347	413	2582	1206	444	932
11TH							
Chaffee	96	181	29	306	190	0	116
Custer	3	1	0	4	3	1	0
Freemont	226	416	56	698	354	44	300
Park	19	27	1	47	27	0	38
TOTAL	344	625	86	1055	574	45	436

TABLE XXI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH							
Alamosa	98	203	58	359	207	59	93
Conejos	14	29	7	50	24	5	21
Costilla	6	11	1	18	10	2	6
Mineral	0	3	0	3	1	0	2
Rio Grande	42	90	30	162	103 ^a	27	32
Saguache	14	31	16	61	29	19	13
TOTAL	174	367	112	653	374 ^a	112	167
13TH							
Kit Carson	22	45	2	69	51	3	15
Logan	86	147	34	267	131	43	93
Morgan	97	231	15	343	212	18	113
Phillips	19	34	6	59	31	9	19
Sedgwick	19	11	1	31	17	1	13
Washington	12	28	3	43	23	3	17
Yuma	38	58	8	104	56	1	47
TOTAL	293	554	69	916	521	78	317
14TH							
Grand	38	85	3	126	65	15	46
Moffat	74	203	19	296	153	17	126
Routt	70	135	2	207	121	1	85
TOTAL	182	423	24	629	339	33	257
15TH							
Baca	31	34	3	68	39	10	19
Cheyenne	8	9	1	18	9	4	5
Kiowa	4	5	0	9	2	0	7
Prowers	96	143	31	270	159	33	78
TOTAL	139	191	35	345	209	47	109
16TH							
Bent	22	43	3	68	38	0	30
Crowley	4	16	3	23	9	3	11
Otero	138	227	32	397	254	20	123
TOTAL	164	286	38	488	301	23	164
17TH							
Adams	1752	2776	886	5414	2342	1055	2017
18TH							
Arapahoe	1598	2953	119	4670	2687	175	1808
Douglas	56	136	13	205	119	14	72
Elbert	18	34	21	73	26	24	23
Lincoln	22	35	1	58	44	1	13
TOTAL	1694	3158	154	5006	2876	214	1916
19TH							
Weld	737	1162	279	2178	750	394	1034
20TH							
Boulder	1892	2097	514	4503	1718	508	2277
21ST							
Mea	659	1182	414	2255	1006	463	786
22ND							
Dolores	9	18	1	28	18	2	8
Montezuma	82	198	6	286	180	18	88
TOTAL	91	216	7	314	198	20	96
STATE TOTAL	23122	33888	7924	64934	28968 ^a	10146	25822

^aIncludes 8 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

TABLE XXII. CIVIL^a CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST							
Gilpin	32	42	2	76	24	1	51
Jefferson	2358	2887	950	5713	2143	609	2963
TOTAL	2390	2849	952	5791	2167	610	3014
2ND							
Denver							
District	8176	8276	2749	19201	4971	3077	9553
Superior	2412	2144	476	5032	1871	365	2796
TOTAL	10588	10420	3225	24233	6842	3442	12349
3RD							
Huerfano	70	75	9	154	49	1	104
Las Animas	93	98	21	212	95	18	99
TOTAL	163	173	30	366	144	19	203
4TH							
El Paso	1905	2463	567	4935	2111	726	2098
Teller	59	105	5	169	99	2	68
TOTAL	1964	2568	572	5104	2210	728	2166
5TH							
Clear Creek	85	85	5	175	68	3	104
Eagle	261	274	23	558	251	46	261
Lake	65	89	8	162	88	8	66
Summit	296	285	57	638	184	53	401
TOTAL	707	733	93	1533	591	110	832
6TH							
Archuleta	67	89	2	158	41	1	116
La Plata	194	373	72	639	303	52	284
San Juan	17	18	1	36	18	2	16
TOTAL	278	480	75	833	362	55	416
7TH							
Delta	79	123	12	214	114	5	95
Gunnison	83	92	4	179	70	1	108
Hinsdale	12	13	4	29	8	2	19
Montrose	154	213	44	411	171	51	189
Ouray	19	40	2	61	26	2	33
San Miguel	109	45	2	156	35	2	119
TOTAL	456	526	68	1050	424	63	563
8TH							
Jackson	12	13	0	25	5	0	20
Larimer	815	1010	260	2085	934	312	839
TOTAL	827	1023	260	2110	939	312	859
9TH							
Garfield	341	218	16	575	185	25	365
Pitkin	608	308	0	916	145	220	551
Rio Blanco	59	47	9	115	40	11	64
TOTAL	1008	573	25	1606	370	256	980
10TH							
Pueblo	589	983	547	2119	798	635	686
11TH							
Chaffee	86	123	16	225	120	20	85
Custer	21	28	1	50	21	5	24
Freemont	263	281	32	576	206	43	327
Park	74	119	8	201	94	10	97
TOTAL	444	551	57	1052	441	78	533

^aWater cases not included.

TABLE XXII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH							
Alamosa	97	134	18	249	131	33	85
Conejos	42	58	9	109	59	3	47
Costilla	29	25	1	55	31	1	23
Mineral	7	10	0	17	7	0	10
Rio Grande	101	107	22	230	100 ^b	48	82
Saguache	41	32	4	77	27	9	41
TOTAL	317	366	54	737	355 ^b	94	288
13TH							
Kit Carson	34	34	2	70	42	2	26
Logan	116	115	24	255	118	30	107
Morgan	117	170	6	293	161	8	124
Phillips	62	35	1	98	39	4	55
Sedgwick	19	6	3	28	10	0	18
Washington	32	23	2	57	21	5	31
Yuma	207	98	3	308	89	21	198
TOTAL	587	481	41	1109	480	70	559
14TH							
Grand	119	98	4	221	105	3	113
Moffat	99	127	7	233	90	1	142
Routt	213	253	35	501	208	29	264
TOTAL	431	478	46	955	403	33	519
15TH							
Baca	94	57	6	157	65	11	81
Cheyenne	29	15	1	45	12	1	32
Kiowa	15	21	0	36	17	0	19
Prowers	120	126	19	265	131	8	126
TOTAL	258	219	26	503	225	20	258
16TH							
Bent	27	32	0	59	23	1	35
Crowley	8	11	0	19	10	1	8
Otero	104	133	4	241	129	8	104
TOTAL	139	176	4	319	162	10	147
17TH							
Adams	1591	2133	521	4245	1867	436	1942
18TH							
Arapahoe	1884	2217	85	4186	1805	196	2185
Douglas	178	263	26	467	207	9	251
Elbert	26	71	17	114	44	13	57
Lincoln	14	16	3	33	8	3	22
TOTAL	2102	2567	131	4800	2064	221	2515
19TH							
Weld	841	797	77	1715	499	51	1165
20TH							
Boulder	1328	1673	203	3204	1464	273	1467
21ST							
Meade	420	626	311	1357	526	351	480
22ND							
Dolores	6	8	1	15	4	2	9
Montezuma	67	163	13	243	134	15	94
TOTAL	73	171	14	258	138	17	103
STATE TOTAL	27501	30566	6932	64999	25071 ^b	7884	32044

^b Includes 15 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

TABLE XXIII. PROBATE CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST							
Gilpin	16	13	0	29	3	3	23
Jefferson	1525	618	17	2160	354	22	1782
TOTAL	1541	631	17	2189	359	25	1805
2ND							
Denver							
Probate	8420	1776	320	10516	5510 ^a	254	4752
3RD							
Huerfano	73	16	0	89	10	3	76
Las Animas	386	54	1	441	26	0	415
TOTAL	459	70	1	530	36	3	491
4TH							
El Paso	1442	651	27	2120	486	118	1516
Teller	37	22	0	59	16	0	43
TOTAL	1479	673	27	2179	502	118	1559
5TH							
Clear Creek	89	19	2	110	23	0	87
Eagle	58	16	2	76	23	5	48
Lake	34	38	2	74	38	5	31
Summit	51	14	3	68	6	0	62
TOTAL	232	87	9	328	90	10	228
6TH							
Archuleta	29	14	1	44	8	0	36
La Plata	199	85	4	288	67	0	221
San Juan	5	4	0	9	4	0	5
TOTAL	233	103	5	341	79	0	262
7TH							
Delta	172	51	2	225	31	1	193
Gunnison	73	23	0	96	13	0	83
Hinsdale	8	4	0	12	1	1	10
Montrose	167	60	5	232	42	38	152
Ouray	14	9	3	26	7	0	19
San Miguel	37	2	0	39	1	0	38
TOTAL	471	149	10	630	95	40	495
8TH							
Jackson	16	6	0	22	2	0	20
Larimer	580	237	46	863	217	47	599
TOTAL	596	243	46	885	219	47	619
9TH							
Garfield	194	74	1	269	33	0	236
Pitkin	120	32	0	152	0	0	152
Rio Blanco	47	20	4	71	8	14	49
TOTAL	361	126	5	492	41	14	437
10TH							
Pueblo	593	399	27	1019	318	28	673
11TH							
Chaffee	169	55	15	239	43	2	194
Custer	14	1	1	16	6	0	10
Fremont	190	107	18	315	68	13	234
Park	43	26	0	69	8	4	57
TOTAL	416	189	34	639	125	19	495

^aIncludes 4369 statistical terminations of old cases.

TABLE XXIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH							
Alamosa	69	32	1	102	42	1	59
Conejos	35	35	5	75	36	4	35
Costilla	27	8	0	35	19	3	13
Mineral	7	4	1	12	2	1	9
Rio Grande	65	32	2	99	39 ^b	3	57
Saguache	41	10	2	53	20	9	24
TOTAL	244	121	11	376	158 ^b	21	197
13TH							
Kit Carson	88	35	1	124	35	0	89
Logan	169	83	3	255	74	6	175
Morgan	156	83	0	239	79	5	155
Phillips	48	38	2	88	28	10	50
Sedgwick	42	9	4	55	22	3	30
Washington	112	39	1	152	40	8	104
Yuma	159	49	1	209	22	0	187
TOTAL	774	336	12	1122	300	32	790
14TH							
Grand	35	19	0	54	13	0	41
Moffat	122	51	0	173	20	2	151
Routt	98	38	9	145	25	6	114
TOTAL	255	108	9	372	58	8	306
15TH							
Baca	279	43	0	322	109	16	197
Cheyenne	35	25	0	60	12	0	48
Kiowa	60	18	0	78	37	0	41
Prowers	156	97	9	262	142	14	106
TOTAL	530	183	9	722	300	30	392
16TH							
Bent	54	25	0	79	25	5	49
Crowley	33	20	0	54	14	0	40
Otero	185	71	4	260	80	5	175
TOTAL	272	116	5	393	119	10	264
17TH							
Adams	453	330	4	787	257	22	508
18TH							
Arapahoe	717	359	16	1092	267	3	822
Douglas	80	39	5	124	19	7	98
Elbert	46	16	2	64	27	2	35
Lincoln	49	40	2	91	33	2	56
TOTAL	892	454	25	1371	346	14	1011
19TH							
Weld	648	262	8	918	218	15	685
20TH							
Boulder	993	361	24	1378	190	8	1180
21ST							
Mesa	464	170	25	659	151	48	460
22ND							
Dolores	21	13	1	35	16	2	17
Montezuma	92	63	4	159	52	25	82
TOTAL	113	76	5	194	68	27	99
STATE TOTAL	20439	6963	638	28040	9539 ^c	793	17708

^b Includes 11 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

^c Includes 4380 terminations as explained in footnotes a and b.

TABLE XXIV. JUVENILE CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST							
Gilpin	15	27	5	47	13	12	22
Jefferson	1098	1618	239	2955	1882	243	910
TOTAL	1113	1645	244	3002	1815	255	932
2ND							
Denver							
Juvenile	5595	3674	967	10236	2449	952	6835
3RD							
Huerfano	38	55	13	106	48	8	50
Las Animas	450	180	34	664	124	63	477
TOTAL	488	235	47	770	172	71	527
4TH							
El Paso	1054	1982	625	3661	1572	772	1317
Teller	13	32	13	58	28	10	20
TOTAL	1067	2014	638	3719	1600	782	1337
5TH							
Clear Creek	26	28	6	60	36	2	22
Eagle	23	74	9	106	45	9	52
Lake	27	61	19	107	46	12	49
Summit	39	39	24	102	33	20	49
TOTAL	115	202	58	375	160	43	172
6TH							
Archuleta	29	9	7	45	10	15	20
La Plata	70	107	38	215	89	46	80
San Juan	2	4	0	6	0	1	5
TOTAL	101	120	45	266	99	62	105
7TH							
Delta	70	64	10	144	60	8	76
Gunnison	9	12	3	24	10	2	12
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	60	97	42	199	92	40	67
Ouray	13	2	0	15	13	0	2
San Miguel	12	4	0	16	3	0	13
TOTAL	164	179	55	398	178	50	170
8TH							
Jackson	7	10	0	17	4	0	13
Larimer	77	440	72	589	398	106	85
TOTAL	84	450	72	606	402	106	98
9TH							
Garfield	54	124	32	210	73	31	106
Pitkin	40	26	0	66	22	12	32
Rio Blanco	13	10	3	26	8	8	10
TOTAL	107	160	35	302	103	51	148
10TH							
Pueblo	637	1301	1156	3094	1121	1280	693
11TH							
Chaffee	63	100	21	184	118	14	52
Custer	1	3	0	4	3	0	1
Fremont	31	172	88	291	136	104	51
Park	2	19	0	21	7	0	14
TOTAL	97	294	109	500	264	118	118

TABLE XXIV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH							
Alamosa	44	78	43	165	79	55	31
Conejos	42	57	7	106	46	11	49
Costilla	15	12	0	27	11	1	15
Mineral	1	2	0	3	1	0	2
Rio Grande	146	91	38	275	162 ^a	47	66
Saguache	36	25	23	84	32	22	30
TOTAL	284	265	111	660	331 ^a	136	193
13TH							
Kit Carson	6	31	1	38	19	0	19
Logan	54	79	53	186	101	56	29
Morgan	68	141	46	255	147	55	53
Phillips	4	8	0	12	6	1	5
Sedgwick	9	6	0	15	4	2	9
Washington	8	37	8	53	24	8	21
Yuma	19	15	4	38	15	2	21
TOTAL	168	317	112	597	316	124	157
14TH							
Grand	21	54	15	90	43	15	32
Moffat	72	98	39	209	78	31	100
Routt	22	61	15	98	43	18	37
TOTAL	115	213	69	397	164	64	169
15TH							
Baca	30	9	2	41	13	16	12
Cheyenne	10	4	1	15	5	0	10
Kiowa	0	4	0	4	4	0	0
Prowers	82	82	25	189	105	16	68
TOTAL	122	99	28	249	127	32	90
16TH							
Bent	16	9	0	25	12	2	11
Crowley	16	9	5	30	19	4	7
Otero	79	140	66	285	139	74	72
TOTAL	111	158	71	340	170	80	90
17TH							
Adams	1296	1469	732	3497	947	575	1975
18TH							
Arapahoe	572	1471	1835	3878	1300	1885	693
Douglas	65	87	45	197	94	50	53
Elbert	22	22	21	65	15	22	28
Lincoln	0	32	1	33	23	5	5
TOTAL	659	1612	1902	4173	1432	1962	779
19TH							
Weld	842	837	66	1745	438	172	1135
20TH							
Boulder	547	579	231	1357	456	260	641
21ST							
Mesa	301	611	413	1325	550	425	350
22ND							
Dolores	2	1	0	3	2	1	0
Montezuma	23	62	1	86	65	2	19
TOTAL	25	63	1	89	67	3	19
STATE TOTAL	14038	16497	7162	37697	13361 ^a	7603	16733

^aIncludes 30 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

TABLE XXV. MENTAL HEALTH CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST							
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	153	131	14	298	85	19	194
TOTAL	153	131	14	298	85	19	194
2ND							
Denver							
Probate	311	385	610	1306	489	528	289
3RD							
Huerfano	17	12	0	29	7	6	16
Las Animas	16	54	3	73	64	1	8
TOTAL	33	66	3	102	71	7	24
4TH							
El Paso	133	291	187	611	274	219	118
Teller	4	4	0	8	4	3	1
TOTAL	137	295	187	619	278	222	119
5TH							
Clear Creek	3	0	0	3	0	3	0
Eagle	4	2	0	6	3	3	0
Lake	1	8	2	11	8	2	1
Summit	3	1	0	4	0	0	4
TOTAL	11	11	2	24	11	8	5
6TH							
Archuleta	3	5	0	8	1	0	7
La Plata	6	50	2	58	46	5	7
San Juan	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
TOTAL	9	56	2	67	48	5	14
7TH							
Delta	7	8	2	17	9	0	8
Gunnison	3	2	0	5	4	0	1
Hinsdale	0	2	0	2	1	0	1
Montrose	4	16	1	21	11	6	4
Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	14	28	3	45	25	6	14
8TH							
Jackson	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
Larimer	11	23	3	37	28	2	7
TOTAL	12	23	3	38	28	2	8
9TH							
Garfield	45	6	0	51	2	4	45
Pitkin	13	0	0	13	0	10	3
Rio Blanco	3	2	1	6	2	4	0
TOTAL	61	8	1	70	4	18	48
10TH							
Pueblo	200	377	50	627	335	69	223
11TH							
Chaffee	4	10	3	17	14	0	3
Custer	0	3	0	3	3	0	0
Fremont	4	26	2	32	18	0	14
Park	0	2	0	2	1	0	1
TOTAL	8	41	5	54	36	0	18

TABLE XXV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH							
Alamosa	6	14	4	24	20	1	3
Conejos	3	5	0	8	7	1	0
Costilla	2	6	0	8	5	1	2
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	5	5	0	10	7 ^a	0	3
Saguache	0	2	1	3	3	0	0
TOTAL	16	32	5	53	42 ^a	3	8
13TH							
Kit Carson	1	4	0	5	2	0	3
Logan	3	9	0	12	10	1	1
Morgan	1	13	1	15	14	0	1
Phillips	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
Sedgwick	0	3	0	3	3	0	0
Washington	0	3	2	5	2	0	3
Yuma	1	1	0	2	1	0	1
TOTAL	8	33	3	44	32	1	11
14TH							
Grand	0	5	1	6	5	1	0
Moffat	5	8	1	14	11	1	2
Routt	0	4	0	4	1	0	3
TOTAL	5	17	2	24	17	2	5
15TH							
Baca	2	10	0	12	5	1	6
Cheyenne	0	3	0	3	3	0	0
Kiowa	1	1	0	2	1	0	1
Prowers	7	17	3	27	21	3	3
TOTAL	10	31	3	44	30	4	10
16TH							
Bent	22	45	1	68	30	11	27
Crowley	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Otero	11	20	0	31	25	2	4
TOTAL	33	66	1	100	56	13	31
17TH							
Adams	24	94	20	138	81	39	18
18TH							
Arapahoe	42	183	28	253	184	30	39
Douglas	1	3	0	4	1	0	3
Elbert	0	2	1	3	2	1	0
Lincoln	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	43	189	29	261	187	31	43
19TH							
Weld	7	35	0	42	1	34	7
20TH							
Boulder	138	84	13	235	57	9	169
21ST							
Mesa	26	71	14	111	80	18	13
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	9	0	0	9	0	0	9
TOTAL	9	0	0	9	0	0	9
STATE TOTAL	1268	2073	970	4311	1993 ^a	1038	1280

^aIncludes 3 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

TABLE XXVI. CRIMINAL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST							
Gilpin	12	10	4	26	10	3	13
Jefferson	664	918	244	1826	799	275	752
TOTAL	676	928	248	1852	809	278	765
2ND							
Denver							
District	2597	2267	855	5719	1615	1366	2738
Superior	134	121	7	262	67	0	195
TOTAL	2731	2388	862	5981	1682	1366	2933
3RD							
Huerfano	49	44	10	103	34	17	52
Las Animas	121	98	36	255	76	50	121
TOTAL	170	142	46	358	110	75	173
4TH							
El Paso	1899	2137	971	5007	2122	1016	1869
Teller	50	54	12	116	32	15	69
TOTAL	1949	2191	983	5123	2154	1031	1938
5TH							
Clear Creek	21	50	9	80	45	9	26
Eagle	32	79	20	131	57	18	56
Lake	46	45	5	96	55	0	41
Summit	73	65	18	156	55	35	66
TOTAL	172	239	52	463	212	62	189
6TH							
Archuleta	36	15	3	54	17	0	37
La Plata	152	143	63	358	119	58	181
San Juan	3	1	0	4	0	0	4
TOTAL	191	159	66	416	136	58	222
7TH							
Delta	32	45	9	86	50	5	31
Gunnison	4	17	0	21	7	2	12
Hinsdale	3	2	1	6	4	1	1
Montrose	40	39	15	94	36	15	43
Ouray	10	6	0	16	7	5	4
San Miguel	7	11	1	19	6	0	13
TOTAL	96	120	26	242	110	28	104
8TH							
Jackson	11	5	0	16	5	0	11
Larimer	1018	641	218	1877	466	256	1155
TOTAL	1029	646	218	1893	471	256	1166
9TH							
Garfield	68	106	21	195	67	23	105
Pitkin	121	63	1	185	44	53	88
Rio Blanco	10	11	2	23	11	5	7
TOTAL	199	180	24	403	122	81	200
10TH							
Pueblo	399	343	156	898	371	213	314
11TH							
Chaffee	75	66	27	168	114	0	54
Custer	2	6	0	8	6	0	2
Fremont	111	204	27	342	162	12	168
Park	8	16	3	27	12	0	15
TOTAL	196	292	57	545	294	12	239

TABLE XXVI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH							
Alamosa	26	33	13	74	24	17	33
Conejos	20	11	5	36	18	4	14
Costilla	18	5	0	23	13	0	10
Mineral	3	5	0	8	4	1	3
Rio Grande	55	58	17	130	83 ^a	4	43
Saguache	10	11	1	22	5	1	16
TOTAL	132	123	38	293	147 ^a	27	119
13TH							
Rit Carson	29	12	4	45	27	4	14
Logan	51	59	7	117	48	19	50
Morgan	99	72	32	203	107	30	66
Phillips	9	4	1	14	6	1	7
Sedgwick	7	11	3	21	7	2	12
Washington	7	10	2	19	9	2	8
Yuma	9	6	0	15	7	1	7
TOTAL	211	174	49	434	211	59	164
14TH							
Grand	33	40	6	79	35	6	38
Noffat	51	65	11	127	46	8	73
Routt	37	43	1	81	23	2	56
TOTAL	121	148	18	287	104	16	167
15TH							
Baca	67	21	4	92	24	39	29
Cheyenne	13	13	1	27	12	1	14
Kiowa	4	8	0	12	5	0	7
Provers	54	42	15	111	44	15	52
TOTAL	138	84	20	242	85	55	102
16TH							
Bent	19	12	4	35	12	6	17
Crowley	5	12	0	17	9	2	6
Otero	73	76	25	174	101	24	49
TOTAL	97	100	29	226	122	32	72
17TH							
Adams	507	691	237	1435	617	201	617
18TH							
Arapahoe	999	1070	55	2124	649	225	1250
Douglas	55	77	16	148	54	17	77
Elbert	8	12	5	25	11	3	11
Lincoln	18	12	9	39	12	14	13
TOTAL	1080	1171	85	2336	726	259	1351
19TH							
Weld	780	758	135	1673	606	247	820
20TH							
Boulder	517	456	77	1050	259	87	704
21ST							
Mesa	199	236	243	678	270	224	184
22ND							
Dolores	0	4	1	5	2	0	3
Montezuma	13	41	3	57	41	0	16
TOTAL	13	45	4	62	43	0	19
STATE TOTAL	11603	11614	3673	26890	9661 ^a	4667	12562

^aIncludes 21 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

TABLE XXVII. TOTAL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS^a
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST							130
Gilpin	94	112	12	218	67	21	9451
Jefferson	7776	10209	2296	20281	8601	2229	
TOTAL	7870	10321	2308	20499	8668	2250	9581
2ND							19305
Den. Dist.	17751	17673	5460	40884	13720	7859	2991
Den. Sup.	2546	2265	483	5294	1938	365	6835
Den. Juv.	5595	3674	967	10236	2449	952	5041
Den. Pro.	8711	2161	930	11822	5999 ^b	782	
TOTAL	34623	25773	7840	68236	24106 ^b	9958	34172
3RD							328
Huerfano	269	271	45	585	218	39	1211
Las Animas	1164	625	152	1941	531	199	
TOTAL	1433	896	197	2526	749	238	1539
4TH							10141
El Paso	9394	12095	3447	24936	10769	4026	226
Teller	194	273	35	502	244	32	
TOTAL	9588	12368	3482	25438	11013	4058	10367
5TH							277
Clear Creek	259	256	30	545	243	25	496
Eagle	451	577	69	1097	504	97	231
Lake	222	359	49	630	354	45	660
Summit	563	504	126	1193	375	158	
TOTAL	1495	1696	274	3465	1476	325	1664
6TH							269
Archuleta	204	186	20	410	122	19	924
La Plata	764	1069	328	2161	933	304	34
San Juan	32	34	1	67	30	3	
TOTAL	1000	1289	349	2638	1085	326	1227
7TH							506
Delta	445	500	56	1001	467	28	286
Gunnison	222	248	11	481	189	6	35
Hinsdale	27	23	10	60	16	9	600
Montrose	592	746	127	1465	652	213	63
Ouray	65	71	8	144	70	11	225
San Miguel	207	83	5	295	66	4	
TOTAL	1558	1671	217	3446	1460	271	1715
8TH							81
Jackson	54	49	1	104	23	0	3448
Larimer	3176	3768	927	7871	3290	1133	
TOTAL	3230	3817	928	7975	3313	1133	3529
9TH							1155
Garfield	950	825	110	1885	610	120	948
Pitkin	1221	546	1	1768	333	487	176
Rio Blanco	172	180	38	390	144	70	
TOTAL	2343	1551	149	4043	1087	677	2279
10TH							3521
Pueblo	3240	4750	2349	10339	4149	2669	
11TH							504
Chaffee	493	535	111	1139	599	36	37
Custer	41	42	2	85	42	6	1094
Fremont	825	1206	223	2254	944	216	204
Park	146	209	12	367	149	14	
TOTAL	1505	1992	348	3845	1734	272	1839

^aWater cases not included.

^bIncludes 4369 statistical terminations of old cases.

TABLE XXVII.(Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH							
Alamosa	340	494	139	973	503	166	304
Conejos	156	195	33	384	190	28	166
Costilla	97	67	2	166	89	8	69
Mineral	18	24	1	43	15	2	26
Rio Grande	414	383	109	906	494 ^c	129	283
Saguache	142	111	47	300	116	60	124
TOTAL	1167	1274	331	2772	1407 ^c	393	972
13TH							
Kit Carson	180	161	10	351	176	9	166
Logan	479	492	121	1092	482	155	455
Morgan	538	710	100	1348	720	116	512
Phillips	144	119	10	273	110	25	138
Sedgwick	96	46	11	153	63	8	82
Washington	171	140	18	329	119	26	184
Yuma	433	227	16	676	190	25	461
TOTAL	2041	1895	286	4222	1860	364	1998
14TH							
Grand	246	301	29	576	266	40	270
Moffat	423	552	77	1052	398	60	594
Routt	440	534	62	1036	421	56	559
TOTAL	1109	1387	168	2664	1085	156	1423
15TH							
Baca	503	174	15	692	255	93	344
Cheyenne	95	69	4	168	53	6	109
Kiowa	84	57	0	141	66	0	75
Prowers	515	507	102	1124	602	89	433
TOTAL	1197	807	121	2125	976	188	961
16TH							
Bent	160	166	8	334	140	25	169
Crowley	66	69	9	144	62	10	72
Otero	590	667	131	1388	728	133	527
TOTAL	816	902	148	1866	930	168	768
17TH							
Adams	5623	7493	2400	15516	6111	2328	7077
18TH							
Arapahoe	5812	8253	2138	16203	6892	2514	6797
Douglas	435	605	105	1145	494	97	554
Elbert	120	157	67	344	125	65	154
Lincoln	103	136	16	255	120	25	110
TOTAL	6470	9151	2326	17947	7631	2701	7615
19TH							
Weld	3855	3851	565	8271	2512	913	4846
20TH							
Boulder	5415	5250	1062	11727	4144	1145	6438
21ST							
Mesa	2069	2896	1420	6385	2583	1529	2273
22ND							
Dolores	38	44	4	86	42	7	37
Montezuma	286	527	27	840	472	60	308
TOTAL	324	571	31	926	514	67	345
STATE TOTAL	97971	101601	27299	226871	88593 ^d	32129	106149

^c Includes 88 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.^d Includes 4457 terminations as explained in footnotes b and c.

TABLE XXVIII. DOMESTIC RELATIONS FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1978-79*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION ¹ OF MARRIAGE	LEGAL SEPARA- TION ²	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE ³	CHILD CUSTODY ⁴	RECIPROCAL INTER-STATE	NON-SUPPORT ⁵ INTRA-STATE	MISC. ⁶	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	11	1	0	0	6	2	0	20
Jefferson	3140	89	17	27	787	51	6	4117
TOTAL	3151	90	17	27	793	53	6	4137
2ND								
Denver District	5626	64	24	33	1328	55	0	7130
3RD								
Huerfano	35	0	0	0	15	5	14	69
Las Animas	82	1	1	2	31	21	3	141
TOTAL	117	1	1	2	46	26	17	210
4TH								
El Paso	3142	56	19	27	1282	36	29	4571
Teller	32	2	0	0	30	2	0	56
TOTAL	3174	58	19	27	1282	38	29	4627
5TH								
Clear Creek	52	0	0	1	14	4	3	74
Eagle	89	1	0	0	34	7	1	132
Lake	77	1	1	1	23	13	2	118
Summit	75	1	0	0	16	8	0	100
TOTAL	293	3	1	2	87	32	6	424
6TH								
Archuleta	37	0	1	0	14	2	0	54
La Plata	225	5	2	5	54	20	0	311
San Juan	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
TOTAL	267	5	3	5	69	22	0	371
7TH								
Delta	135	3	0	1	56	13	1	209
Gunnison	77	0	0	2	15	7	1	102
Hinsdale	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Montrose	237	0	0	0	75	6	3	321
Ouray	11	0	0	0	2	0	1	14
San Miguel	15	0	0	0	5	1	0	21
TOTAL	477	3	0	3	153	27	6	669
8TH								
Jackson	9	2	0	1	3	0	0	15
Larimer	1106	20	2	12	222	50	5	1417
TOTAL	1115	22	2	13	225	50	5	1432
9TH								
Garfield	216	1	0	1	57	10	12	297
Pitkin	105	3	0	0	9	0	0	117
Rio Blanco	62	1	0	0	24	2	1	90
TOTAL	383	5	0	1	90	12	13	504
10TH								
Pueblo	1013	13	9	25	240	42	0	1347
11TH								
Chaffee	131	3	1	1	28	17	0	181
Custer	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Fremont	296	0	1	3	96	19	1	416
Park	20	0	0	0	7	0	0	27
TOTAL	448	3	2	4	131	36	1	625

*See page 113 for footnotes.

TABLE XXVIII. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION ¹ OF MARRIAGE	LEGAL SEPARA- TION ²	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE ³	CHILD CUSTODY ⁴	RECIPROCAL INTER-STATE	NON-SUPPORT ⁵ INTRA-STATE	MISC. ⁶	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	168	1	2	3	19	9	1	203
Conejos	17	1	0	0	9	2	0	29
Costilla	4	0	0	0	4	3	0	11
Mineral	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Rio Grande	59	0	0	2	28	1	0	90
Saguache	14	1	0	0	7	0	1	31
TOTAL	264	3	2	5	67	24	2	367
13TH								
Kit Carson	28	0	0	0	17	0	0	45
Logan	109	0	2	0	27	9	0	147
Morgan	158	6	2	1	57	7	0	231
Phillips	22	0	0	0	8	2	2	34
Sedgwick	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Washington	23	3	0	0	2	0	0	28
Yuma	41	0	0	0	13	4	0	58
TOTAL	392	9	4	1	124	22	2	554
14TH								
Grand	52	0	0	1	13	7	12	85
Moffat	122	1	1	3	58	13	5	203
Routt	99	1	0	4	25	3	3	135
TOTAL	273	2	1	8	96	23	20	423
15TH								
Baca	25	0	0	1	5	2	1	34
Cheyenne	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	9
Kiowa	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
Prowers	106	0	4	0	21	12	0	143
TOTAL	142	0	4	1	28	14	2	191
16TH								
Bent	25	0	0	0	10	7	1	43
Crowley	5	1	0	0	4	6	0	16
Otero	159	0	2	1	36	28	1	227
TOTAL	189	1	2	1	50	41	2	286
17TH								
Adams	1984	38	5	23	695	21	10	2776
18TH								
Arapahoe	2321	76	6	4	508	38	0	2953
Douglas	104	2	0	1	27	0	2	136
Elbert	20	1	0	1	7	2	3	34
Lincoln	22	0	0	0	7	6	0	35
TOTAL	2467	79	6	6	549	46	5	3153
19TH								
Weld	777	9	7	16	166	21	166	1162
20TH								
Boulder	1655	43	13	17	251	40	78	2097
21ST								
Mesa	829	6	4	8	240	91	4	1182
22ND								
Dolores	13	0	0	1	3	1	0	18
Montezuma	145	0	0	3	32	10	8	198
TOTAL	158	0	0	4	35	11	8	216
STATE TOTAL	25199	457	126	232	6745	747	182	33888
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	74.7	1.4	.4	.7	19.9	2.2	.7	100.0

*See page 113 for footnotes.

TABLE XXIX. CIVIL CASE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1978-79*

DISTRICT & COUNTY	-----NEGLIGENCE-----				REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY ²	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT. ³	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISC. ⁴	TOTAL ⁵
	-PERSONAL INJURY- MOTOR ¹ VEHICLE	OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH						
1ST										
Gilpin	0	2	0	0	21	1	9	1	8	42
Jefferson	182	117	75	14	392	76	1565	16	170	2807
TOTAL	182	119	75	14	413	77	1574	17	178	2849
2ND										
Den. Dist.	609	468	228	35	1134	169	4610	0	1023	8276
Den. Sup.	2	15	174	0	3	0	1914	18	18	2144
TOTAL	611	483	402	35	1137	169	6524	18	1041	10420
3RD										
Huerfano	1	2	1	0	38	1	23	0	9	75
Las Animas	2	2	5	0	28	2	39	0	20	98
TOTAL	3	4	6	0	66	3	62	0	29	173
4TH										
El Paso	136	92	104	10	469	30	1267	15	340	2463
Teller	0	2	3	0	47	2	33	3	15	105
TOTAL	136	94	107	10	516	32	1300	18	355	2568
5TH										
Clear Creek	0	3	2	0	24	5	31	0	20	85
Eagle	5	10	4	1	73	8	137	2	34	274
Lake	1	4	1	0	48	2	25	0	8	89
Summit	3	5	9	1	63	9	129	0	66	285
TOTAL	9	22	16	2	208	24	322	2	128	733
6TH										
Archuleta	3	2	1	0	22	0	45	0	16	89
La Plata	18	11	14	2	122	9	151	2	44	373
San Juan	0	0	0	0	13	0	5	0	0	18
TOTAL	21	13	15	2	157	9	201	2	60	480
7TH										
Delta	0	3	2	0	37	0	63	0	19	123
Gunnison	4	2	1	0	29	1	41	2	12	92
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	6	1	6	0	0	13
Montrose	1	2	0	0	49	2	136	0	23	213
Ouray	2	0	0	0	19	0	15	0	4	40
San Miguel	1	0	0	0	17	0	22	0	5	45
TOTAL	8	7	3	0	157	4	282	2	63	526
8TH										
Jackson	0	0	0	0	7	0	4	0	2	13
Larimer	30	43	22	4	199	29	537	3	143	1017
TOTAL	30	43	22	4	206	29	541	3	145	1023
9TH										
Garfield	10	10	4	1	37	7	123	0	26	216
Pitkin	10	24	4	1	50	7	168	1	43	308
Rio Blanco	0	0	2	0	13	2	20	0	10	47
TOTAL	20	34	10	2	100	16	311	1	79	573
10TH										
Pueblo	56	63	40	7	171	22	511	5	109	983
11TH										
Chaffee	2	1	3	0	39	2	34	1	41	123
Custer	0	0	0	0	22	0	3	0	3	28
Fremont	3	10	0	1	55	25	83	2	102	281
Park	1	2	1	0	71	3	32	0	9	119
TOTAL	6	13	4	1	187	30	152	3	155	551

*See page 113 for footnotes.

TABLE XXIX. (Continued)

DISTRICT & COUNTY	-----NEGLIGENCE-----				REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY ²	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT. ³	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISC. ⁴	TOTAL ⁵
	-PERSONAL INJURY- MOTOR VEHICLE ¹	OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH						
12TH										
Alamosa	2	1	8	0	35	3	74	0	11	134
Conejos	2	0	0	0	19	0	23	0	14	58
Costilla	1	1	0	0	13	0	6	0	4	25
Mineral	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	2	10
Rio Grande	1	3	1	1	18	0	52	0	31	107
Saguache	0	1	0	0	12	1	12	0	6	32
TOTAL	6	6	9	1	105	4	167	0	68	366
13TH										
Kit Carson	2	0	2	0	14	1	11	0	4	34
Logan	2	1	5	2	31	3	49	1	21	115
Morgan	3	2	6	2	33	13	91	0	20	170
Phillips	0	0	0	0	10	0	13	1	11	35
Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	6
Washington	2	1	0	0	6	0	7	0	7	23
Yuma	1	1	2	0	18	0	42	0	14	98
TOTAL	10	6	15	4	114	17	216	2	37	481
14TH										
Grand	6	0	2	1	25	0	17	1	26	98
Moffat	2	0	2	0	26	6	84	1	6	147
Routt	1	9	3	0	103	3	69	0	45	253
TOTAL	9	9	7	1	154	9	210	2	77	478
15TH										
Baca	0	2	2	1	20	0	27	0	5	57
Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	0	5	15
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	8	0	12	0	1	21
Prowers	0	7	9	0	35	2	72	1	0	126
TOTAL	0	9	11	1	67	2	117	1	11	219
16TH										
Bent	0	0	1	0	9	0	18	0	4	32
Crowley	0	0	0	0	8	0	2	0	1	11
Otero	4	6	5	0	41	1	57	1	17	133
TOTAL	4	6	6	0	58	2	77	1	22	176
17TH										
Adams	82	127	52	12	243	2	967	11	637	2133
18TH										
Arapahoe	138	85	76	8	340	13	1219	8	330	2217
Douglas	10	3	0	1	95	6	121	1	26	263
Elbert	3	1	0	0	33	0	32	0	2	71
Lincoln	1	0	0	0	10	0	5	0	0	16
TOTAL	152	89	76	9	478	19	1377	9	358	2567
19TH										
Weld	39	23	33	6	138	10	417	1	130	797
20TH										
Boulder	121	76	41	9	289	48	885	18	186	1673
21ST										
Mesa	9	18	16	0	105	2	418	1	57	626
22ND										
Dolores	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	2	8
Montezuma	2	5	2	0	79	1	57	0	17	163
TOTAL	2	5	2	0	84	1	58	0	19	171
STATE TOTAL	1516	1269	948	120	5153	531	16689	117	4203	30566
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	5.0	4.1	3.2	.4	16.9	1.7	54.6	.4	13.7	100.0

*See page 113 for footnotes.

TABLE XXX. PROBATE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1978-79*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS ¹	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC. ²	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	5	1	0	0	0	7	13
Jefferson	112	340	31	112	8	15	618
TOTAL	117	341	31	112	8	22	631
2ND							
Denver Probate	333	946	79	195	12	211	1776
3RD							
Huerfano	11	2	0	0	0	3	16
Las Animas	21	21	6	3	0	3	54
TOTAL	32	23	6	3	0	6	70
4TH							
El Paso	99	373	23	71	26	59	651
Teller	0	17	0	2	0	3	22
TOTAL	99	390	23	73	26	62	673
5TH							
Clear Creek	5	9	3	2	0	0	19
Eagle	3	7	0	3	0	3	16
Lake	6	6	4	2	15	5	38
Summit	4	3	2	1	0	4	14
TOTAL	18	25	9	8	15	12	87
6TH							
Archuleta	2	6	1	2	0	3	14
La Plata	30	30	10	8	6	1	85
San Juan	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
TOTAL	36	36	11	10	6	4	103
7TH							
Delta	6	36	3	5	0	1	51
Gunnison	3	10	5	1	0	4	23
Hinsdale	2	1	0	0	0	1	4
Montrose	20	26	5	8	0	1	60
Ouray	3	4	1	1	0	0	9
San Miguel	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	35	78	14	15	0	7	149
8TH							
Jackson	1	5	0	0	0	0	6
Larimer	44	157	9	26	0	1	237
TOTAL	45	162	9	26	0	1	243
9TH							
Garfield	14	45	3	8	0	4	74
Pitkin	9	19	1	1	0	2	32
Rio Blanco	10	4	0	0	1	5	20
TOTAL	33	68	4	9	1	11	126
10TH							
Pueblo	78	160	93	55	2	11	399
11TH							
Chaffee	15	29	2	8	0	1	55
Custer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Fremont	25	59	6	13	0	4	107
Park	10	10	2	1	0	3	26
TOTAL	50	99	10	22	0	8	189

*See page 114 for footnotes.

TABLE XXX. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS ¹	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC. ²	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	10	15	4	2	0	1	32
Conejos	5	7	0	3	15	5	35
Costilla	2	5	0	0	0	1	8
Mineral	2	1	0	1	0	0	4
Rio Grande	8	21	1	2	0	0	32
Saguache	2	6	0	1	0	1	10
TOTAL	29	55	5	9	15	8	121
13TH							
Kit Carson	13	10	0	1	5	6	35
Logan	14	55	3	8	0	3	83
Morgan	14	41	15	2	1	10	83
Phillips	13	20	0	2	0	3	38
Sedgwick	3	5	0	0	0	1	9
Washington	10	22	0	7	0	0	39
Yuma	19	24	1	1	0	4	49
TOTAL	86	177	19	21	6	27	336
14TH							
Grand	4	12	0	0	0	3	19
Moffat	14	18	1	0	1	17	51
Routt	8	23	3	1	1	2	38
TOTAL	26	53	4	1	2	22	108
15TH							
Baca	19	18	0	3	1	2	43
Cheyenne	5	18	0	1	0	1	25
Kiowa	9	6	0	0	3	0	18
Prowers	23	58	10	6	0	0	97
TOTAL	56	100	10	10	4	3	183
16TH							
Bent	8	10	0	4	0	3	25
Crowley	5	4	0	3	3	5	20
Otero	22	40	1	2	0	6	71
TOTAL	35	54	1	9	3	14	116
17TH							
Adams	85	135	22	46	39	3	330
18TH							
Arapahoe	62	209	22	46	20	0	359
Douglas	7	20	5	3	3	1	39
Elbert	4	7	1	1	2	1	16
Lincoln	6	18	2	1	13	0	40
TOTAL	79	254	30	51	38	2	454
19TH							
Weld	39	137	11	18	23	29	262
20TH							
Boulder	57	196	33	44	1	30	361
21ST							
Mesa	43	87	12	16	2	10	170
22ND							
Dolores	5	5	1	1	0	1	13
Montezuma	19	20	3	6	1	14	63
TOTAL	24	25	4	7	1	15	76
STATE TOTAL	1435	3601	440	760	209	518	6963
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	20.6	51.7	6.3	10.9	3.0	7.5	100.0

*See page 114 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXI. JUVENILE CASE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE¹ - FY 1978-79*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN- QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINS PETI- ² TIONS	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT ³ PETITIONS	RELINQUISH- MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT ⁴	MISC. ⁵	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	14	1	12	0	0	0	0	27
Jefferson	941	54	102	33	246	171	71	1618
TOTAL	955	55	114	33	246	171	71	1645
2ND								
Denver Juv.	1229	30	448	157	300	1510	0	3674
3RD								
Huerfano	20	5	10	2	1	14	3	55
Las Animas	33	13	17	0	13	56	48	180
TOTAL	53	18	27	2	14	70	51	235
4TH								
El Paso	646	107	453	87	296	296	97	1982
Teller	2	4	17	0	3	6	0	32
TOTAL	648	111	470	87	299	302	97	2014
5TH								
Clear Creek	20	1	4	0	3	0	0	28
Eagle	57	0	7	1	5	0	4	74
Lake	40	3	11	0	1	4	2	61
Summit	30	0	2	0	5	0	2	39
TOTAL	147	4	24	1	14	4	8	202
6TH								
Archuleta	1	0	2	2	0	3	1	9
La Plata	19	17	16	4	17	28	6	107
San Juan	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	4
TOTAL	21	18	19	6	17	31	8	120
7TH								
Delta	22	5	4	1	15	14	3	64
Gunnison	2	0	0	1	9	0	0	12
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	32	5	21	1	18	11	9	97
Ouray	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
San Miguel	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	4
TOTAL	56	11	26	3	44	25	14	179
8TH								
Jackson	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
Larimer	154	34	37	11	110	63	31	440
TOTAL	163	35	37	11	110	63	31	450
9TH								
Garfield	51	3	9	1	31	7	22	124
Pitkin	17	0	1	3	4	0	1	26
Rio Blanco	2	0	3	0	3	0	2	10
TOTAL	70	3	13	4	38	7	25	160
10TH								
Pueblo	445	105	123	25	85	485	33	1301
11TH								
Chaffee	44	1	12	3	20	20	0	100
Custer	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3
Fremont	41	8	50	6	36	24	7	172
Park	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	19
TOTAL	103	9	63	10	56	46	7	294

*See page 114 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXI. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN- QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINS PETI- ² TIONS	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS ³	RELINQUISH- MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT ⁴	MISC. ⁵	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	18	4	6	5	8	24	13	78
Conejos	18	7	6	5	8	11	2	57
Costilla	1	4	1	0	0	6	0	12
Mineral	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Rio Grande	20	5	8	0	10	38	10	91
Saguache	8	2	2	0	3	7	3	25
TOTAL	67	22	23	10	29	86	28	265
13TH								
Kit Carson	5	0	2	0	10	14	0	31
Logan	10	7	34	2	14	7	5	79
Morgan	39	0	51	2	27	17	5	141
Phillips	1	0	2	1	2	2	0	8
Sedgwick	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	6
Washington	3	9	6	0	4	15	0	37
Yuma	0	0	2	2	8	1	2	15
TOTAL	59	16	98	7	67	56	14	317
14TH								
Grand	43	6	0	0	5	0	0	54
Moffat	19	1	2	3	13	9	51	98
Routt	23	1	6	3	21	1	6	61
TOTAL	85	8	8	6	39	10	57	213
15TH								
Baca	1	2	1	1	3	0	1	9
Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4
Kiowa	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	4
Prowers	24	7	24	2	18	5	2	82
TOTAL	27	9	25	4	24	5	5	99
16TH								
Bent	2	0	3	1	1	1	1	9
Crowley	1	0	0	1	2	5	0	9
Otero	33	3	22	3	32	46	1	140
TOTAL	36	3	25	5	35	52	2	158
17TH								
Adams	579	37	220	29	241	345	18	1469
18TH								
Arapahoe	561	76	144	41	219	226	204	1471
Douglas	36	1	0	1	22	5	22	87
Elbert	9	1	2	0	2	4	4	22
Lincoln	6	0	16	2	3	2	3	32
TOTAL	612	78	162	44	246	237	233	1612
19TH								
Weld	370	49	90	23	89	214	2	837
20TH								
Boulder	243	88	102	17	111	17	1	579
21ST								
Mesa	303	55	59	20	75	92	7	611
22ND								
Dolores	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Montezuma	32	0	5	2	14	7	2	62
TOTAL	33	0	5	2	14	7	2	63
STATE TOTAL	6304	764	2181	506	2193	3835	714	16497
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	38.2	4.6	13.2	3.1	13.3	23.3	4.3	100.0

*See page 114 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXII. MENTAL HEALTH FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1978-79*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	-----MENTAL ILLNESS-----			DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS ⁴	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS ⁵	MISC. ⁶	TOTAL
	EVALUATION ¹	SHORT ² TERM	LONG ³ TERM				
1ST							
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	29	57	0	18	22	5	131
TOTAL	29	57	0	18	22	5	131
2ND							
Denver Probate	77	270	0	0	21	17	385
3RD							
Huerfano	8	4	0	0	0	0	12
Las Animas	31	9	0	0	0	14	54
TOTAL	39	13	0	0	0	14	66
4TH							
El Paso	233	1	1	2	42	12	292
Teller	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
TOTAL	233	5	1	2	42	12	295
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eagle	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Lake	1	6	0	0	0	1	8
Summit	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	1	9	0	0	0	1	11
6TH							
Archuleta	3	1	0	0	1	0	5
La Plata	34	2	0	0	11	3	50
San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	38	3	0	0	12	3	56
7TH							
Delta	5	2	0	0	1	0	8
Gunnison	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Hinsdale	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Montrose	1	13	1	0	0	1	16
Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	6	19	1	0	1	1	28
8TH							
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer	7	10	1	0	4	1	23
TOTAL	7	10	1	0	4	1	23
9TH							
Garfield	0	0	0	0	2	4	6
Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	3	5	8
10TH							
Pueblo	109	173	41	2	44	8	377
11TH							
Chaffee	1	8	0	0	1	0	10
Custer	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Fremont	0	26	0	0	0	0	26
Park	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	1	38	0	0	2	0	41

*See page 114 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXII. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	-----MENTAL ILLNESS----- EVALUATION ¹	SHORT TERM ²	LONG ³ TERM	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS ⁴	ALCOHOLISM ⁵ COMMITMENTS	MISC. ⁶	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	0	11	0	0	3	0	14
Conejos	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Costilla	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	0	4	0	0	1	0	5
Saguache	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
TOTAL	0	27	0	0	4	1	32
13TH							
Kit Carson	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
Logan	4	3	0	0	1	1	9
Morgan	6	5	1	0	1	0	13
Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sedgwick	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
Washington	1	1	0	0	1	0	3
Yuma	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	14	14	1	0	3	1	33
14TH							
Grand	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Moffat	0	1	0	0	7	0	8
Routt	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
TOTAL	4	6	0	0	7	0	17
15TH							
Baca	8	2	0	0	0	0	10
Cheyenne	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Prowers	0	11	0	0	6	0	17
TOTAL	8	17	0	0	6	0	31
16TH							
Bent	1	43	1	0	0	0	45
Crowley	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Otero	0	19	0	0	1	0	20
TOTAL	1	63	1	0	1	0	66
17TH							
Adams	21	44	2	2	12	13	94
18TH							
Arapahoe	157	22	0	0	4	0	183
Douglas	1	1	0	0	1	0	3
Elbert	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Lincoln	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	159	24	1	0	5	0	189
19TH							
Weld	0	35	0	0	0	0	35
20TH							
Boulder	18	61	0	0	5	0	84
21ST							
Mesa	10	30	4	9	18	0	71
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATE TOTAL	775	918	53	33	212	82	2073
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	37.4	44.3	2.6	1.6	10.2	3.9	100.0

*See page 114 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXIII. CRIMINAL OFFENSE FILINGS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE - FY 1978-79*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON ¹	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY ²	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD ³	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS ⁴	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC. ⁵ OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
1ST							
Gilpin	5	2	0	0	1	2	10
Jefferson	118	411	59	13	77	175	853
TOTAL	123	413	59	13	78	177	863
2ND							
Denver Dist.	252	1165	179	0	237	192	2025
3RD							
Huerfano	7	10	3	3	1	16	40
Las Animas	28	27	8	0	2	30	95
TOTAL	35	37	11	3	3	46	135
4TH							
El Paso	449	1028	191	53	153	168	2042
Teller	10	30	3	1	3	7	54
TOTAL	459	1058	194	54	156	175	2096
5TH							
Clear Creek	9	19	4	3	3	12	50
Eagle	9	20	5	0	1	30	65
Lake	10	16	1	0	2	13	42
Summit	7	9	2	1	7	24	50
TOTAL	35	64	12	4	13	79	207
6TH							
Archuleta	2	8	1	0	0	3	14
La Plata	22	68	12	3	5	30	140
San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	24	76	13	4	5	33	155
7TH							
Delta	11	13	2	4	1	11	42
Gunnison	1	8	0	4	0	3	16
Hinsdale	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Montrose	12	12	2	3	2	8	39
Ouray	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
San Miguel	0	2	0	0	0	9	11
TOTAL	26	39	4	11	3	31	114
8TH							
Jackson	1	1	0	0	3	0	5
Larimer	127	359	44	15	30	26	601
TOTAL	128	360	44	15	33	26	606
9TH							
Garfield	25	41	9	5	0	17	97
Pitkin	8	23	3	2	9	15	60
Rio Blanco	0	2	0	0	1	7	10
TOTAL	33	66	12	7	10	39	167
10TH							
Pueblo	37	144	19	21	8	89	318
11TH							
Chaffee	9	17	5	14	0	0	45
Custer	0	4	1	0	0	0	5
Fremont	52	51	24	51	0	21	199
Park	5	4	4	0	1	2	16
TOTAL	66	76	34	65	1	23	265

*See page 114 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXIII. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON ¹	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY ²	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD ³	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS ⁴	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC. ⁵ OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
12TH							
Alamosa	13	8	1	2	0	9	33
Conejos	4	2	2	0	0	2	10
Costilla	1	0	0	0	1	3	5
Mineral	0	3	0	0	1	1	5
San Juan	16	11	3	0	2	18	50
Saguache	3	2	1	0	0	2	8
TOTAL	37	26	7	2	4	35	111
13TH							
Kit Carson	1	7	2	0	1	1	12
Logan	5	27	1	1	0	7	41
Morgan	9	22	7	0	4	11	53
Phillips	0	0	1	0	0	3	4
Sedgwick	0	7	0	0	0	4	11
Washington	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
Yuma	3	0	0	0	0	3	6
TOTAL	20	64	11	1	5	29	130
14TH							
Grand	10	16	2	1	4	4	37
Moffat	10	11	4	1	1	8	35
Routt	4	6	8	4	2	9	33
TOTAL	24	33	14	6	7	21	105
15TH							
Baca	5	13	0	0	0	1	19
Cheyenne	1	1	3	1	4	1	11
Kiowa	1	2	2	0	0	0	5
Prowers	11	14	5	1	2	0	33
TOTAL	19	30	10	2	6	2	68
16TH							
Bent	5	2	1	1	0	2	11
Crowley	0	7	3	0	0	2	12
Otero	19	30	4	1	0	14	68
TOTAL	24	39	8	2	0	18	91
17TH							
Adams	103	298	49	6	35	151	642
18TH							
Arapahoe	120	577	91	8	44	193	1033
Douglas	17	29	4	0	3	15	68
Elbert	5	2	2	0	0	0	9
Lincoln	4	2	0	0	0	0	6
TOTAL	146	610	97	8	47	208	1116
19TH							
Weld	210	334	33	6	27	108	718
20TH							
Boulder	90	246	31	10	31	16	424
21ST							
Mesa	31	136	9	5	9	33	223
22ND							
Dolores	3	0	0	0	0	2	4
Montezuma	7	16	4	0	2	10	39
TOTAL	10	16	4	0	2	11	43
STATE TOTAL	1931	5330	854	245	720	1542	10622
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	18.2	50.2	8.0	2.3	6.8	14.5	100.0

*See page 114 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXIV. OFFENSE AND NON-OFFENSE FILINGS PLUS
TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS - FY 1978-79*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON- OFFENSE FILINGS ¹	TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS
1ST				
Gilpin	10	0	0	10
Jefferson	853	64	1	918
TOTAL	863	64	1	928
2ND				
Denver District	2025	0	242	2267
Denver Superior	0	121	0	121
TOTAL	2025	121	242	2388
3RD				
Huerfano	40	3	1	44
Las Animas	95	0	3	98
TOTAL	135	3	4	142
4TH				
El Paso	2042	34	61	2137
Teller	54	0	0	54
TOTAL	2096	34	61	2191
5TH				
Clear Creek	50	0	0	50
Eagle	65	2	12	79
Lake	42	2	1	45
Summit	50	4	11	65
TOTAL	207	8	24	239
6TH				
Archuleta	14	0	1	15
La Plata	140	2	1	143
San Juan	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	155	2	2	159
7TH				
Delta	42	0	3	45
Gunnison	16	1	0	17
Hinsdale	2	0	0	2
Montrose	39	0	0	39
Ouray	4	2	0	6
San Miguel	11	0	0	11
TOTAL	114	3	3	120
8TH				
Jackson	5	0	0	5
Larimer	601	19	21	641
TOTAL	606	19	21	646
9TH				
Garfield	97	6	3	106
Pitkin	60	1	2	63
Rio Blanco	10	1	0	11
TOTAL	167	8	5	180
10TH				
Pueblo	318	25	0	343
11TH				
Chaffee	45	2	19	66
Custer	5	0	1	6
Fremont	199	2	3	204
Park	16	0	0	16
TOTAL	265	4	23	292

*See page 115 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXIV. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON- OFFENSE FILINGS ¹	TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS
12TH				
Alamosa	33	0	0	33
Conejos	10	0	1	11
Costilla	5	0	0	5
Mineral	5	0	0	5
Rio Grande	50	7	1	58
Saguache	8	3	0	11
TOTAL	111	10	2	123
13TH				
Kit Carson	12	0	0	12
Logan	41	17	1	59
Morgan	53	7	12	72
Phillips	4	0	0	4
Sedgwick	11	0	0	11
Washington	3	7	0	10
Yuma	6	0	0	6
TOTAL	130	31	13	174
14TH				
Grand	37	3	0	40
Moffat	35	13	17	65
Route	33	10	0	43
TOTAL	105	26	17	148
15TH				
Baca	19	0	2	21
Cheyenne	11	1	1	13
Kiowa	5	3	0	8
Prowers	33	4	5	42
TOTAL	68	8	8	84
16TH				
Bent	11	1	0	12
Crowley	12	0	0	12
Otero	68	2	6	76
TOTAL	91	3	6	100
17TH				
Adams	642	49	0	691
18TH				
Arapahoe	1033	37	0	1070
Douglas	68	6	3	77
Elbert	9	3	0	12
Lincoln	6	4	2	12
TOTAL	1116	50	5	1171
19TH				
Weld	718	25	15	758
20TH				
Boulder	424	32	0	456
21ST				
Meusa	223	13	0	236
22ND				
Dolores	4	0	0	4
Montezuma	39	2	0	41
TOTAL	43	2	0	45
STATE TOTAL	10622	540	452	11614
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	91.4	4.7	3.9	100.0

*See page 115 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXV. DOMESTIC RELATIONS* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE	LEGAL SEPARA- TION	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE	CHILD CUSTODY	RECIPROCAL INTER-STATE	NON-SUPPORT INTRA-STATE	MISC.	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	10	1	0	0	4	2	0	17
Jefferson	2538	61	12	15	729	44	17	3416
TOTAL	2548	62	12	15	733	46	17	3433
2ND								
Denver Dist.	4209	60	18	8	1183	40	16	5534
3RD								
Huerfano	23	0	0	0	30	17	0	70
Las Animas	91	1	0	1	29	24	0	146
TOTAL	114	1	0	1	59	41	0	216
4TH								
El Paso	2966	81	18	20	1048	50	21	4204
Teller	36	1	0	0	27	1	0	65
TOTAL	3002	82	18	20	1075	51	21	4269
5TH								
Clear Creek	49	0	0	0	17	3	2	71
Eagle	92	1	0	0	27	5	0	125
Lake	82	1	1	0	25	9	1	119
Summit	73	0	0	0	16	7	1	97
TOTAL	296	2	1	0	85	24	4	412
6TH								
Archuleta	26	0	1	0	14	2	2	45
La Plata	219	5	2	6	55	22	0	309
San Juan	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
TOTAL	251	5	3	6	70	24	2	361
7TH								
Delta	122	1	0	4	57	18	1	203
Gunnison	66	0	0	1	9	9	0	85
Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Monte Vista	278	0	0	1	71	14	0	300
Ouray	11	0	0	0	3	2	1	17
San Miguel	16	0	0	0	3	2	0	21
TOTAL	430	1	0	6	143	46	2	628
8TH								
Jackson	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
Larimer	962	10	1	7	212	46	9	1247
TOTAL	968	10	1	7	213	46	9	1254
9TH								
Garfield	178	1	0	1	60	10	0	250
Pitkin	102	1	0	1	17	1	0	122
Rio Blanco	50	1	0	0	21	3	0	75
TOTAL	330	3	0	2	98	14	0	447
10TH								
Pueblo	931	9	8	10	223	24	1	1266
11TH								
Chaffee	139	3	3	2	25	18	0	190
Custer	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Fremont	265	0	1	0	72	16	0	354
Park	19	0	0	1	5	2	0	27
TOTAL	425	3	4	3	102	37	0	574

*See Domestic Relations filings footnotes (Page 113).

TABLE XXXV.

(Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE	LEGAL SEPARA- TION	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE	CHILD CUSTODY	RECIPROCAL INTER-STATE	NON-SUPPORT INTRA-STATE	MISC.	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	175	1	1	3	18	8	1	207
Conejos	14	0	1	0	5	3	1	24
Costilla	2	0	0	0	4	4	0	10
Mineral	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rio Grande	60	0	0	1	39	2	1	103 ^a
Saguache	12	0	0	0	10	6	1	29
TOTAL	264	1	2	4	76	23	4	374 ^a
13TH								
Kit Carson	33	0	0	1	17	0	0	51
Logan	93	2	1	0	22	13	0	131
Morgan	145	1	2	0	56	7	1	212
Phillips	22	0	0	0	6	3	0	31
Sedgwick	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	17
Washington	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	23
Yuma	46	0	1	0	7	2	0	56
TOTAL	377	3	4	1	109	26	1	521
14TH								
Grand	47	0	0	0	11	6	1	65
Moffat	96	0	1	2	45	7	2	153
Routt	92	3	0	2	19	3	2	121
TOTAL	235	3	1	4	75	16	5	339
15TH								
Baca	29	0	0	0	7	3	0	39
Cheyenne	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	9
Kiowa	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Prowers	120	3	4	1	20	11	0	159
TOTAL	159	4	4	1	27	14	0	209
16TH								
Bent	24	0	0	0	7	7	0	38
Crowley	4	0	0	0	1	4	0	9
Otero	178	3	1	2	43	27	0	254
TOTAL	206	3	1	2	51	38	0	301
17TH								
Adams	1719	22	6	9	547	25	14	2342
18TH								
Arapahoe	2109	91	9	6	434	31	7	2687
Douglas	91	1	0	0	26	0	1	119
Elbert	17	1	0	0	6	1	1	26
Lincoln	34	1	0	0	6	3	0	44
TOTAL	2251	94	9	6	472	35	9	2876
19TH								
Weld	618	9	9	4	97	11	2	750
20TH								
Boulder	1403	36	11	11	183	26	48	1718
21ST								
Mesa	751	3	3	2	185	60	2	1006
22ND								
Dolores	15	0	0	0	2	1	0	18
Montezuma	143	0	0	0	27	9	1	180
TOTAL	158	0	0	0	29	10	1	198
STATE TOTAL	21645	416	115	122	5835	677	158	28968 ^a
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	74.7	1.5	.4	.4	20.2	2.3	.5	100.0

^aIncludes 8 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.^{*}See Domestic Relations filings footnotes (Page 113).

TABLE XXXVI. CIVIL* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED

DISTRICT & COUNTY	-----NEGLIGENCE-----				REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT.	MONI DEMAN
	-PERSONAL MOTOR VEHICLE	INJURY- OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH			
1ST							
Gilpin	0	1	1	0	13	0	1
Jefferson	149	98	71	11	285	60	1227
TOTAL	149	99	72	11	298	60	1228
2ND							
Den. Dist.	508	349	180	29	846	184	3654
Den. Sup.	1	11	145	0	7	6	1444
TOTAL	509	360	325	29	853	190	5122
3RD							
Huerfano	1	0	0	0	31	0	0
Las Animas	2	2	2	0	26	3	34
TOTAL	3	2	2	0	57	3	44
4TH							
El Paso	106	63	66	6	421	24	1164
Teller	0	1	1	0	51	1	21
TOTAL	106	64	67	6	472	25	1185
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	3	3	1	18	7	29
Eagle	7	10	1	2	63	3	12
Lake	1	6	2	0	44	1	27
Summit	6	4	5	0	45	5	7
TOTAL	14	23	12	3	170	16	260
6TH							
Archuleta	2	2	1	0	10	0	20
La Plata	7	9	8	2	120	12	103
San Juan	0	0	0	0	14	0	1
TOTAL	9	11	9	2	144	12	124
7TH							
Delta	1	1	3	0	39	1	60
Gunnison	3	1	3	0	14	0	20
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	5	1	7
Montrose	1	0	1	0	29	5	140
Ouray	1	0	0	0	15	0	15
San Miguel	0	1	0	0	15	0	10
TOTAL	6	3	7	0	117	8	220
8TH							
Jackson	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
Larimer	45	28	21	5	187	57	447
TOTAL	45	28	21	5	191	57	451
9TH							
Garfield	4	11	7	0	42	1	75
Pitkin	1	3	0	0	27	2	30
Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	11	1	12
TOTAL	5	14	8	0	80	4	117
10TH							
Pueblo	42	44	35	10	151	20	402
11TH							
Chaffee	4	1	1	4	35	2	47
Custer	0	0	0	0	15	0	15
Fremont	3	6	3	2	40	17	71
Park	0	2	0	0	60	1	63
TOTAL	7	9	4	6	150	20	246

*See Civil filings footnotes (Page 113).

TABLE XXXVII. PROBATE* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC.	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
Jefferson	76	212	15	38	6	9	356
TOTAL	78	212	15	38	6	10	359
2ND							
Denver Probate	954	1870	1592	710	18	366	5510 ^a
3RD							
Huerfano	5	3	0	0	0	2	10
Las Animas	11	13	0	1	0	1	26
TOTAL	16	16	0	1	0	3	36
4TH							
El Paso	63	272	50	48	11	42	486
Teller	6	5	0	2	0	3	16
TOTAL	69	277	50	50	11	45	502
5TH							
Clear Creek	8	9	0	1	4	1	23
Eagle	6	11	0	3	2	1	23
Lake	4	10	2	0	15	7	38
Summit	0	3	0	1	0	2	6
TOTAL	18	33	2	5	21	11	90
6TH							
Archuleta	1	5	1	0	0	1	8
La Plata	29	21	3	0	9	5	67
San Juan	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
TOTAL	34	26	4	0	9	6	79
7TH							
Delta	10	18	2	1	0	0	31
Gunnison	6	6	0	1	0	0	13
Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Montrose	21	15	3	2	0	1	42
Ouray	1	5	1	0	0	0	7
San Miguel	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	40	44	6	4	0	1	95
8TH							
Jackson	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Larimer	48	147	12	9	0	1	217
TOTAL	48	149	12	9	0	1	219
9TH							
Garfield	9	16	4	3	0	1	33
Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Blanco	3	5	0	0	0	0	8
TOTAL	12	21	4	3	0	1	41
10TH							
Pueblo	85	159	17	42	4	11	318
11TH							
Chaffee	13	23	1	6	0	0	43
Custer	1	4	0	1	0	0	6
Fremont	15	39	3	8	0	3	68
Park	4	4	0	0	0	0	8
TOTAL	32	70	4	15	0	3	125

*See Probate filings footnotes (Page 114).

^aincludes 4369 statistical terminations of old cases.

TABLE XXXVII. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC.	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	8	25	5	4	0	0	42
Conejos	8	9	2	0	14	3	36
Costilla	8	7	1	1	1	1	19
Mineral	0	2	0	0	0	0	2 ^b
Rio Grande	13	24	1	0	0	1	39 ^b
Saguache	6	12	1	0	0	1	20
TOTAL	43	79	10	5	15	6	158 ^b
13TH							
Kit Carson	11	11	0	1	5	7	35
Logan	22	45	2	3	0	2	74
Morgan	21	37	9	2	4	6	79
Phillips	11	15	0	1	0	1	28
Sedgwick	5	13	2	0	0	2	22
Washington	10	26	2	2	0	0	40
Yuma	12	10	0	0	0	0	22
TOTAL	92	157	15	9	9	18	300
14TH							
Grand	4	8	1	0	0	0	13
Moffat	4	14	0	2	0	0	20
Routt	7	16	1	1	0	0	25
TOTAL	15	38	2	3	0	0	58
15TH							
Baca	40	30	33	5	1	0	109
Cheyenne	5	6	0	0	0	1	12
Kiowa	18	16	1	2	0	0	37
Prowers	37	82	10	4	0	9	142
TOTAL	100	134	44	11	1	10	300
16TH							
Bent	7	10	2	2	1	3	25
Crowley	3	4	0	0	3	4	14
Otero	22	40	8	5	0	5	80
TOTAL	32	54	10	7	4	12	119
17TH							
Adams	57	112	29	23	36	0	257
18TH							
Arapahoe	52	150	21	21	16	7	267
Douglas	4	9	2	0	3	1	19
Elbert	11	10	1	2	2	1	27
Lincoln	5	12	2	1	13	0	33
TOTAL	72	181	26	24	34	9	346
19TH							
Weld	38	115	0	25	27	13	218
20TH							
Boulder	34	139	2	11	2	2	190
21ST							
Mesa	47	76	3	15	1	9	151
22ND							
Dolores	6	9	0	1	0	0	16
Montezuma	19	18	6	3	1	5	52
TOTAL	25	27	6	4	1	5	68
STATE TOTAL	1942	3989	1853	1014	199	542	9539 ^c
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	20.4	41.8	19.4	10.6	2.1	5.7	100.0

*See Probate filings footnotes (Page 114).

^bIncludes 11 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

^cIncludes 4380 terminations as explained in footnotes a and b.

TABLE XXXVIII. JUVENILE*TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN- QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINS PETI- TIONS	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS	RELINQUISH- MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT	MISC.	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	13
Jefferson	1166	95	89	37	231	138	46	1802
TOTAL	1178	95	89	37	232	138	46	1815
2ND								
Denver Juv.	808	34	320	159	270	858	0	2449
3RD								
Huerfano	18	5	7	2	2	14	0	48
Las Animas	33	9	15	0	9	43	15	124
TOTAL	51	14	22	2	11	57	15	172
4TH								
El Paso	530	89	319	75	267	255	37	1572
Teller	0	2	16	0	2	8	0	28
TOTAL	530	91	335	75	269	263	37	1600
5TH								
Clear Creek	22	1	8	0	2	1	2	36
Eagle	24	0	10	0	6	0	5	45
Lake	29	3	9	0	0	4	1	46
Summit	27	0	1	0	3	0	2	33
TOTAL	102	4	28	0	11	5	10	160
6TH								
Archuleta	4	0	2	2	0	1	1	10
La Plata	22	12	10	5	17	18	5	89
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	26	12	12	7	17	19	6	99
7TH								
Delta	18	4	1	0	11	21	5	60
Gunnison	1	0	1	3	4	0	1	10
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	31	13	24	0	10	10	4	92
Ouray	1	5	7	0	0	0	0	13
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3
TOTAL	51	22	33	3	26	31	12	178
8TH								
Jackson	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Larimer	145	45	23	10	97	57	21	398
TOTAL	149	45	23	10	97	57	21	402
9TH								
Garfield	19	2	8	1	28	3	12	73
Pitkin	17	0	0	1	4	0	0	22
Rio Blanco	1	0	1	1	4	0	1	8
TOTAL	37	2	9	3	36	3	13	103
10TH								
Pueblo	425	94	106	25	80	344	47	1121
11TH								
Chaffee	51	3	9	2	18	14	21	118
Custer	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
Fremont	38	10	42	5	13	23	5	136
Park	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	7
TOTAL	95	13	51	8	32	39	26	264

*See Juvenile filings footnotes (Page 114).

TABLE XXXVIII. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN- QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINS PETI- TIONS	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS	RELINQUISH- MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT	MISC.	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	16	5	5	10	10	27	6	79
Conejos	10	5	3	3	6	17	2	46
Costilla	1	3	0	1	1	5	0	11
Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Rio Grande	52	18	16	2	14	52	1	162 ^a
Saguache	7	2	0	1	4	17	1	32
TOTAL	86	33	24	17	36	118	17	331 ^a
13TH								
Kit Carson	2	0	0	0	5	12	0	19
Logan	13	9	46	3	16	12	2	101
Morgan	40	0	53	5	27	17	5	147
Phillips	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	6
Sedgwick	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	4
Washington	3	4	7	0	2	8	0	24
Yuma	0	0	4	2	7	2	0	15
TOTAL	58	13	112	11	61	53	8	316
14TH								
Grand	33	4	0	0	5	0	1	43
Moffat	16	2	5	3	14	10	28	78
Routt	23	0	2	3	13	1	1	43
TOTAL	72	6	7	6	32	11	30	164
15TH								
Baca	2	2	6	0	3	0	0	13
Cheyenne	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	5
Kiowa	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	4
Prowers	36	4	28	2	21	14	0	105
TOTAL	40	7	34	3	27	14	2	127
16TH								
Bent	3	0	3	1	3	2	0	12
Crowley	10	1	2	1	1	3	1	19
Otero	37	3	20	4	28	46	1	139
TOTAL	50	4	25	6	32	51	2	170
17TH								
Adams	345	37	173	15	204	148	25	947
18TH								
Arapahoe	501	73	136	42	210	35	203	1300
Douglas	45	2	0	0	20	5	22	94
Elbert	4	0	2	0	1	5	3	15
Lincoln	5	0	10	1	2	2	3	23
TOTAL	555	75	148	43	233	147	231	1432
19TH								
Weld	168	22	52	18	77	100	1	438
20TH								
Boulder	221	54	57	13	103	7	1	456
21ST								
Mesa	292	48	58	20	64	57	11	550
22ND								
Dolores	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Montezuma	37	0	5	0	12	10	1	65
TOTAL	39	0	5	0	12	10	1	67
STATE TOTAL	5378	725	1723	481	1962	2530	562	11361 ^a
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	40.2	5.4	13.0	3.6	14.7	18.9	4.2	100.0

*See Juvenile filings footnotes (Page 114).

^a Includes 30 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

TABLE XXXIX.

MENTAL HEALTH*TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED FY-1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	----MENTAL ILLNESS----			DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS	MISC.	TOTAL
	EVALUATION	SHORT TERM	LONG TERM				
1ST							
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	20	50	0	0	15	0	85
TOTAL	20	50	0	0	15	0	85
2ND							
Denver Probate	104	345	2	0	28	10	489
3RD							
Huerfano	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
Las Animas	41	7	0	0	1	15	64
TOTAL	47	8	0	0	1	15	71
4TH							
El Paso	216	2	2	0	47	7	274
Teller	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
TOTAL	216	5	2	0	47	8	278
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eagle	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Lake	1	6	0	0	0	1	8
Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	9	0	0	0	1	11
6TH							
Archuleta	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
La Plata	34	2	0	0	10	0	46
San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	35	2	0	0	11	0	48
7TH							
Delta	5	2	0	0	2	0	9
Gunnison	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Montrose	0	10	1	0	0	0	11
Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	5	17	1	0	2	0	25
8TH							
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer	7	16	0	0	4	1	28
TOTAL	7	16	0	0	4	1	28
9TH							
Garfield	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
10TH							
Pueblo	95	148	26	16	48	2	335
11TH							
Chaffee	1	11	0	0	2	0	14
Custer	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Fremont	0	18	0	0	0	0	18
Park	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	1	32	0	0	3	0	16

*See Mental Health filings footnotes (Page 114).

TABLE XXXIX. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	-----MENTAL ILLNESS-----		LONG TERM	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS	MISC.	TOTAL
	EVALUATION	SHORT TERM					
12TH							
Alamosa	0	16	1	0	3	0	20
Conejos	0	6	0	0	1	0	7
Costilla	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	0	7	0	0	0	0	7 ^a
Saguache	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
TOTAL	0	36	1	0	4	1	42 ^a
13TH							
Kit Carson	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Logan	3	6	0	0	1	0	10
Morgan	7	5	1	0	1	0	14
Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sedgwick	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
Washington	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Yuma	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	13	15	1	0	3	0	32
14TH							
Grand	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Moffat	0	4	0	0	7	0	11
Routt	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	1	9	0	0	7	0	17
15TH							
Baca	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Cheyenne	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Prowers	1	14	0	0	6	0	21
TOTAL	6	18	0	0	6	0	30
16TH							
Bent	1	28	1	0	0	0	30
Crowley	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Otero	1	23	0	0	1	0	25
TOTAL	2	52	1	0	1	0	56
17TH							
Adams	21	44	3	2	11	0	81
18TH							
Arapahoe	158	22	0	0	4	0	184
Douglas	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Elbert	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	159	23	0	0	5	0	187
19TH							
Weld	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
20TH							
Boulder	10	39	0	0	7	1	57
21ST							
Mesa	12	35	8	7	18	0	80
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL							
STATE TOTAL	755	904	45	25	225	39	1993 ^a
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	37.9	45.4	2.2	1.2	11.3	2.0	100.0

*See Mental Health filings footnotes (Page 114).

^aIncludes 3 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

TABLE XL. CRIMINAL OFFENSE* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC. OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.
1ST							
Gilpin	4	3	0	0	0	3	10
Jefferson	101	421	43	11	70	124	770
TOTAL	105	424	43	11	70	127	780
2ND							
Denver Dist.	193	803	111	2	199	182	1490
3RD							
Huerfano	6	14	6	2	1	4	33
Las Animas	20	32	4	0	1	12	69
TOTAL	26	46	10	2	2	16	102
4TH							
El Paso	409	1075	187	38	143	190	2042
Teller	7	12	2	2	2	7	32
TOTAL	416	1087	189	40	145	197	2074
5TH							
Clear Creek	5	18	4	4	5	9	45
Eagle	10	21	3	1	1	7	43
Lake	17	19	3	0	2	9	50
Summit	8	15	2	0	4	11	40
TOTAL	40	73	12	5	12	36	178
6TH							
Archuleta	0	12	1	0	1	2	16
La Plata	18	52	9	3	9	24	115
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	18	64	10	3	10	26	131
7TH							
Delta	13	22	2	4	1	6	48
Gunnison	0	3	0	3	0	0	6
Hinsdale	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
Montrose	8	15	0	3	0	7	33
Ouray	1	4	0	0	0	1	6
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	1	5	6
TOTAL	23	47	2	10	2	19	103
8TH							
Jackson	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
Larimer	77	249	59	9	24	39	457
TOTAL	77	253	59	9	24	40	462
9TH							
Garfield	17	26	6	6	0	6	61
Pitkin	3	15	5	2	5	12	42
Rio Blanco	0	1	1	0	0	7	9
TOTAL	20	42	12	8	5	25	112
10TH							
Pueblo	43	180	34	15	10	69	351
11TH							
Chaffee	14	28	6	32	4	7	91
Custer	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Fremont	41	43	16	29	8	19	156
Park	3	3	0	0	1	1	8
TOTAL	58	79	22	61	13	27	260

*See Criminal Offense filings footnotes (Page 114).

TABLE XL. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC. OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.
12TH							
Alamosa	3	11	2	1	0	6	23
Conejos	2	12	0	0	0	3	17
Costilla	3	6	0	0	1	3	13
Mineral	2	1	0	0	1	0	4
Rio Grande	22	27	0	0	0	27	76
Saguache	0	2	0	1	0	0	3
TOTAL	32	59	2	2	2	39	136
13TH							
Kit Carson	4	17	1	2	1	2	27
Logan	4	30	0	1	1	7	43
Morgan	20	35	8	1	8	8	80
Phillips	1	1	0	0	1	2	5
Sedgwick	1	4	0	0	0	2	7
Washington	2	2	0	0	0	1	5
Yuma	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
TOTAL	34	89	9	4	11	23	170
14TH							
Grand	9	15	2	1	4	4	35
Moffat	6	10	1	2	0	3	22
Routt	3	8	2	2	1	2	18
TOTAL	18	33	5	5	5	9	75
15TH							
Baca	6	11	1	1	0	2	21
Cheyenne	1	1	2	1	4	0	9
Kiowa	0	1	2	0	1	0	4
Prowers	8	21	4	0	4	0	37
TOTAL	15	34	9	2	9	2	71
16TH							
Bent	5	3	1	0	0	2	11
Crowley	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
Otero	25	43	10	1	0	12	91
TOTAL	30	52	11	1	0	14	108
17TH							
Adams	91	268	29	5	41	136	570
18TH							
Arapahoe	86	308	57	3	26	146	626
Douglas	15	17	3	0	0	10	45
Elbert	3	4	0	0	0	2	9
Lincoln	2	1	2	0	0	2	7
TOTAL	106	330	62	3	26	160	687
19TH							
Weld	164	271	25	12	39	63	574
20TH							
Boulder	53	130	13	3	15	23	237
21ST							
Mesa	34	153	10	10	21	30	258
22ND							
Dolores	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Montezuma	10	16	2	0	0	13	41
TOTAL	11	16	2	0	0	13	42
STATE TOTAL	1607	4533	681	213	661	1276	8971
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	17.9	50.5	7.6	2.4	7.4	14.2	100.0

*See Criminal Offense filings footnotes (Page 114).

TABLE XL1. OFFENSE AND NON-OFFENSE* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED
FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON- OFFENSE TERM.	TOTAL CRIMINAL TERM.
1ST				
Gilpin	10	0	0	10
Jefferson	770	29	0	799
TOTAL	780	29	0	809
2ND				
Denver District	1490	0	125	1615
Denver Superior	0	67	0	67
TOTAL	1490	67	125	1682
3RD				
Huerfano	33	1	0	34
Las Animas	69	4	3	76
TOTAL	102	5	3	110
4TH				
El Paso	2042	28	52	2122
Teller	32	0	0	32
TOTAL	2074	28	52	2154
5TH				
Clear Creek	45	0	0	45
Eagle	43	2	12	57
Lake	50	4	1	55
Summit	40	6	9	55
TOTAL	178	12	22	212
6TH				
Archuleta	16	0	1	17
La Plata	115	1	3	119
San Juan	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	131	1	4	136
7TH				
Delta	48	0	2	50
Gunnison	6	1	0	7
Hinsdale	4	0	0	4
Montrose	33	2	1	36
Ouray	6	1	0	7
San Miguel	6	0	0	6
TOTAL	103	4	3	110
8TH				
Jackson	5	0	0	5
Larimer	457	4	5	466
TOTAL	462	4	5	471
9TH				
Garfield	61	2	4	67
Pitkin	42	1	1	44
Rio Blanco	9	2	0	11
TOTAL	112	5	5	122
10TH				
Pueblo	351	17	3	371
11TH				
Chaffee	91	3	20	114
Custer	5	0	1	6
Fremont	156	3	3	162
Park	8	4	0	12
TOTAL	260	10	24	294

*See Offense and Non-Offense filings footnotes (Page 115).

TABLE XLI. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON- OFFENSE TERM.	TOTAL CRIMINAL TERM.
12TH				
Alamosa	23	1	0	24
Conejos	17	0	1	18
Costilla	13	0	0	13
Mineral	4	0	0	4
Rio Grande	76	6	1	83 ^a
Saguache	3	2	0	5
TOTAL	136	9	2	147 ^a
13TH				
Kit Carson	27	0	0	27
Logan	43	4	1	48
Morgan	80	8	19	107
Phillips	5	1	0	6
Sedgwick	7	0	0	7
Washington	5	3	1	9
Yuma	3	0	4	7
TOTAL	170	16	25	211
14TH				
Grand	35	0	0	35
Moffat	22	8	16	46
Routt	18	4	1	23
TOTAL	75	12	17	104
15TH				
Baca	21	1	2	24
Cheyenne	9	2	1	12
Kiowa	4	1	0	5
Prowers	37	3	4	44
TOTAL	71	7	7	85
16TH				
Bent	11	1	0	12
Crowley	6	0	3	9
Otero	91	5	5	101
TOTAL	108	6	8	122
17TH				
Adams	570	44	3	617
18TH				
Arapahoe	626	18	5	649
Douglas	45	5	4	54
Elbert	9	2	0	11
Lincoln	7	2	3	12
TOTAL	687	27	12	726
19TH				
Weld	574	20	12	606
20TH				
Boulder	237	20	2	259
21ST				
Mesa	258	10	2	270
22ND				
Dolores	1	1	0	2
Montezuma	41	0	0	41
TOTAL	42	1	0	43
STATE TOTAL	8971	354	336	9661 ^a
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	92.8	3.7	3.5	100.0

*See Offense and Non-Offense filings footnotes (Page 115).

^aIncludes 21 previously uncounted terminations from prior years.

TABLE XLII. AVERAGE NEW FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS^a
PER JUDGE (AND REFEREE) FOR FY 1978 AND FY 1979

DISTRICT	NEW FILINGS		TERMINATIONS		NO. OF JUDGES AND REFEREES ^b	
	FY '78	FY '79	FY '78	FY '79	FY '78	FY '79
1	1016.7	1032.1	837.0	866.8	9.75	10.00
2						
District	739.4	803.3	621.5	623.6	21.50	22.00
Superior	2065.0	2265.0	1784.0	1938.0	1.00	1.00
Juvenile	758.8	734.8	545.0	489.8	5.00	5.00
Probate	2087.0	2161.0	2098.0	5999.0 ^c	1.00	1.00
3	403.0	448.0	357.0	374.5	2.00	2.00
4	994.8	1030.7	900.8	917.8	12.00	12.00
5	747.5	848.0	673.5	738.0	2.00	2.00
6	605.5	644.5	551.0	542.5	2.00	2.00
7	844.0	835.5	715.5	730.0	2.00	2.00
8	863.3	954.3	713.3	828.3	4.00	4.00
9	441.0	517.0	215.3	362.3	3.00	3.00
10	728.3	791.7	677.5	691.5	6.00	6.00
11	960.5	996.0	856.5	867.0	2.00	2.00
12	671.5	637.0	637.5	703.5	2.00	2.00
13	529.0	473.8	489.3	465.0	4.00	4.00
14	613.0	693.5	610.5	542.5	2.00	2.00
15	412.0	403.5	345.0	488.0	2.00	2.00
16	503.0	451.0	494.0	465.0	2.00	2.00
17	992.5	936.6	870.7	763.9	7.50	8.00
18	1063.4	1143.9	922.9	953.9	8.00	8.00
19	841.8	962.8	606.5	628.0	4.00	4.00
20	827.7	875.0	716.5	690.7	5.75	6.00
21	927.3	965.3	841.7	861.0	3.00	3.00
22	574.0	571.0	544.0	514.0	1.00	1.00
STATE						
AVERAGE	837.6	875.9	720.3	763.7 ^c	114.50	116.00
PERCENT CHANGE		4.6		6.0		

^aFigures do not include water cases or water referees.

^bFractions represent judges appointed during the fiscal year.

^cIncludes 4369 statistical terminations of old cases.

Footnotes for

THE DISTRICT COURTS

Table XX. Percent Increase of District Court Filings in FY 1978-79 over FY 1975-76 by Urban and Rural Counties

- ^aUrban Counties include: Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Denver, El Paso, Jefferson, Larimer, Mesa, Pueblo, and Weld.
^bRural Counties include: All counties other than the above.
^cDoes not include water filings.

Table XXVIII. Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case - FY 1978-79

- ¹Prior to January 1, 1972, known as Divorce.
²Prior to January 1, 1972, known as Separate Maintenance.
³Prior to January 1, 1972, known as Annulment.
⁴Separate petitions for custody proceedings, not to be confused with custody hearings within dissolution actions.
⁵Petitions under the Uniform Reciprocal Support Act.
⁶Includes determination of status and other miscellaneous cases.

Table XXIX. Civil Case Filings by Type of Case - FY 1978-79

- ¹Includes related property damage.
²Includes Quiet Titles, Condemnations, Replevins, Foreclosures, Mechanics' Liens, etc.
³Includes reviews of Workmen's and Unemployment Compensation, P.U.C. and other administrative or regulatory agencies, cases involving city and county government, etc.
⁴Includes Habeas Corpus, Mandamus and other Remedial Writs, Injunctions, etc.
⁵Does not include water cases filed in water courts.

Table XXX. Probate Filings by Type of Case
FY 1978-79

- ¹Includes both juvenile and adult guardianships.
- ²Includes foreign wills, separate trusteeships, etc.

Table XXXI. Juvenile Case Filings by Type of Case FY 1978-79

- ¹Does not include Informal Adjustment cases handled by the probation department and not by the court.
- ²Children in Need of Supervision petitions.
- ³Includes Child Abuse cases.
- ⁴Includes Paternity only, Support only, and Paternity Support cases.
- ⁵Includes Guardianships, Contributing to Delinquency, Requests for Marriage, etc.

Table XXXII. Mental Health Filings by Type of Case FY 1978-79

- ¹Petition for Evaluation.
- ²Certification for Short-term Treatment.
- ³Petitions for Long-term Treatment.
- ⁴Includes Short and Long-term Treatment Admissions.
- ⁵Petition for Involuntary Commitment of Alcoholics.
- ⁶Includes Other, Petition for Sterilization, and Petition to Admission or Retention.

Table XXXIII. Criminal Offense Filings by Type of Offense FY 1978-79

- ¹Includes murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, etc.
- ²Includes arson, burglary, theft, robbery, etc.
- ³Includes forgery, fraud by credit card, bad checks, etc.
- ⁴Includes escape and offenses relating to custody, and corrupt influences, perjury, and other Art. 170 offenses.
- ⁵Includes offenses involving the Family Relations Act, Relating to Morals; Offenses Relating to Public Peace, Decency; Criminal Non-Support, etc.

THE WATER COURTS

THE WATER COURTS

Jurisdiction

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 set up seven water divisions according to drainage patterns of the rivers in the state. Each was assigned a water engineer and in each the location of a water court was designated to investigate and rule on all water matters in its jurisdiction. The water judges are appointed by the Supreme Court from among the district court judges. The water judges and the water records of the seven divisions are located in the following cities and counties:

Division 1	Greeley	Weld County
Division 2	Pueblo	Pueblo County
Division 3	Alamosa	Alamosa County
Division 4	Montrose	Montrose County
Division 5	Glenwood Springs	Garfield County
Division 6	Steamboat Springs	Routt County
Division 7	Durango	La Plata County

The water judges have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, uses and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction.

In 1973, the General Assembly changed findings of reasonable diligence from a biennial to a quadrennial requirement and provided for a tabulation of water rights by the water engineer in each division, with provisions for protests to be filed in the courts. The deadlines originally provided in the statutes proved difficult to meet, and the 1975 General Assembly recognized the difficulty by allowing for another tabulation to be prepared in 1978. Objections must be filed with the division engineer by July 1, 1980, and protests of his decision must be filed in the water court by January 1, 1982.

Water Court Activity in FY 1978-79


The number of water claims increased an astounding 90.5 percent this year, in large part due to the underground water filings associated with the landmark Huston water rights case.

In order to simplify the proceedings under the Huston case, the Supreme Court appointed Judge Marcus O. Shivers of the Eighteenth Judicial District as an additional water judge in each of the seven divisions to act as special water judge for this matter. All cases filed in the various water divisions were transferred to the special division for the determination of the controlling legal issues and rights. Once this process is complete, the individual cases will be returned to the various water divisions for final decrees in accordance with the major rulings.

While new filings were down 22.2 percent for the year, terminations increased 24.8 percent over the previous year. Forty-three more water cases were terminated than filed this year.

During the year, administrative and organizational training sessions were provided for water court personnel. On November 16 and 17, 1978, the Supreme Court, under the chairmanship of Justice James K. Groves, conducted a seminar for the seven water judges. With faculty comprised of several outstanding members of the Colorado water bar, the group studied topics concerning the foundations of and modifications to the 1969 Act; jurisdiction and procedure; administrative and procedural aspects of the water court; and future problems.

On May 11, 1979, a seminar for all water clerks was conducted by the Office of the State Court Administrator. With several staff members participating, the group discussed and approved plans for modification and standardization of water court case processing; the use of standard forms; and the development and use of standard case definitions and statistical counting procedures.



WATER DISTRICTS of COLORADO


TABLE XLIII. CASELOAD OF THE WATER COURTS - FY 1975-76 to FY 1978-79

	FY 75-76 ^a	FY 76-77 ^a	FY 77-78	FY 78-79
DIVISION ONE				
Cases Pending July 1	3,134	1,885	1,181	1,511
New Cases Filed	329	363	716	450
Post Judgment Actions	33	43	49	98
TOTAL Caseload	<u>3,496</u>	<u>2,291</u>	<u>1,946</u>	<u>2,059</u>
Cases Terminated	<u>1,611</u>	<u>1,110</u>	352	515
Post Judgment Terminations			83	12
Cases Pending June 30	1,885	1,181	<u>1,511</u>	<u>1,532</u>
DIVISION TWO				
Cases Pending July 1	304	280	198	249
New Cases Filed	212	217	268	229
Post Judgment Actions	30	33	16	34
TOTAL Caseload	<u>546</u>	<u>530</u>	<u>482</u>	<u>512</u>
Cases Terminated	<u>266</u>	<u>332</u>	211	193
Post Judgment Terminations			22	39
Cases Pending June 30	280	198	<u>249</u>	<u>280</u>
DIVISION THREE				
Cases Pending July 1	1,403	658	576	542
New Cases Filed	141	172	176	137
Post Judgment Actions	11	23	234	38
TOTAL Caseload	<u>1,555</u>	<u>853</u>	<u>986</u>	<u>717</u>
Cases Terminated	<u>897</u>	<u>277</u>	194	253
Post Judgment Terminations			250	19
Cases Pending June 30	658	576	<u>542</u>	<u>445</u>
DIVISION FOUR				
Cases Pending July 1	239	213	203	368
New Cases Filed	188	291	330	380
Post Judgment Actions	74	72	81	0
TOTAL Caseload	<u>501</u>	<u>576</u>	<u>614</u>	<u>748</u>
Cases Terminated	<u>288</u>	<u>373</u>	234	414
Post Judgment Terminations			12	0
Cases Pending June 30	213	203	<u>368</u>	<u>334</u>
DIVISION FIVE				
Cases Pending July 1	318	473	522	676
New Cases Filed	486	278	478	377
Post Judgment Actions	16	4	30	37
TOTAL Caseload	<u>820</u>	<u>755</u>	<u>1,030</u>	<u>1,090</u>
Cases Terminated	<u>347</u>	<u>233</u>	353	326
Post Judgment Terminations			1	14
Cases Pending June 30	473	522	<u>676</u>	<u>750</u>
DIVISION SIX				
Cases Pending July 1	159	153	184	402
New Cases Filed	170	145	305	161
Post Judgment Actions	30	24	0	24
TOTAL Caseload	<u>359</u>	<u>322</u>	<u>489</u>	<u>587</u>
Cases Terminated	<u>206</u>	<u>138</u>	87	139
Post Judgment Terminations			0	80
Cases Pending June 30	153	184	<u>402</u>	<u>368</u>
DIVISION SEVEN				
Cases Pending July 1	115	102	226	247
New Cases Filed	114	216	135	140
Post Judgment Actions	10	159	50	29
TOTAL Caseload	<u>239</u>	<u>477</u>	<u>411</u>	<u>416</u>
Cases Terminated	<u>137</u>	<u>251</u>	105	77
Post Judgment Terminations			59	31
Cases Pending June 30	102	226	<u>247</u>	<u>308</u>
TOTAL				
Cases Pending July 1	5,672	3,764	3,090	3,995
New Cases Filed	1,640	1,682	2,408	1,874
Post Judgment Actions	204	358	460	260
TOTAL Caseload	<u>7,516</u>	<u>5,804</u>	<u>5,958</u>	<u>6,129</u>
Cases Terminated	<u>3,752</u>	<u>2,714</u>	1,536	1,917
Post Judgment Terminations			427	195
Cases Pending June 30	3,764	3,090	<u>3,995</u>	<u>4,017</u>

^aTerminations and post judgment terminations are combined.

TABLE XLIV, NUMBER OF FILINGS AND NUMBER OF NEW CLAIMS IN
COLORADO WATER COURTS, FY 1975-76 THROUGH FY 1978-79

DIVISION	FY 1975-76		FY 1976-77		FY 1977-78		FY 1978-79	
	FILINGS	CLAIMS	FILINGS	CLAIMS	FILINGS	CLAIMS	FILINGS	CLAIMS
1	329	885	363	1782	716	1579	450	6006
2	212	908	217	825	268	1483	229	3771
3	141	231	172	367	176	1126	137	240
4	188	215	291	395	330	681	380	582
5	486	317	278	603	478	789	377	673
6	170	259	145	296	305	368	161	246
7	114	249	216	291	135	201	140	343
TOTAL	1640	3064	1682	4559	2408	6227	1874	11861
PERCENT CHANGE			+2.6	+48.8	+43.2	+36.6	-22.2	+90.5



THE COUNTY COURTS

THE COUNTY COURTS¹

Jurisdiction

The county court is a court of limited jurisdiction, as provided by law. It has concurrent original jurisdiction with the district court in civil actions (including torts) in which the debt, damage, or the value of the personal property claimed does not exceed \$1,000. This jurisdiction does not include cases involving the boundaries of or title to real property. It also has concurrent original jurisdiction with the district court in petitions for change of name; in cases of forcible detainer or unlawful detainer, if the value of the monthly rental or the total damages claimed is less than \$1,000; and in requiring peace bonds pursuant to 16-13-401, C.R.S. 1973.

In the small claims division of the county court, parties may file civil actions in which the debt, damage, tort, injury, or value of personal property does not exceed \$500. Neither party may be represented by an attorney, and the judge or referee hearing the dispute shall not be bound by formal rules or statutes or procedure other than those adopted by the Supreme Court specifically for the small claims court.

The county court has concurrent original jurisdiction with the district court over misdemeanors and in the issuance of warrants, conduct of preliminary examination, issuance of bind over orders, and the admission to bail in felonies and misdemeanors.

The county court also has appellate jurisdiction over municipal court judgments, if the municipal court is not a court of record. The case is then tried de novo in the county court. Qualified lawyer county court judges may serve as substitute district court judges in their own districts if so appointed by the chief judge, or may serve outside their districts if so appointed by the Chief Justice.

County judges serve four-year terms, and their qualifications are set by statute. Counties are classified for this purpose. In Class A and B counties, county judges are required to be attorneys, licensed to practice in Colorado, and must serve full time. These counties include: Denver (City and County), Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Clear Creek,

¹Unless noted, statistics do not include Denver County Court.

Douglas, El Paso, Jefferson, La Plata, Larimer, and Weld.

In all other counties, designated as Class D, county judges are not required to be lawyers, but high school graduates. If they are attorneys, in practice in Colorado, they may engage in the practice in courts other than the county court.

County Court Activity in FY 1978-79

A substantial filing increase occurred in all types during FY 1978-79, with an overall growth of 6.9 percent. Case terminations also increased, as many courts put extra effort into meeting the filing increase by discontinuing the post judgment records conversion and by conducting special programs to close out old cases. Approximately 5500 of the county court terminations completed this year are attributable to special programs taken by the courts aimed at dismissing old cases. Because of these efforts, filings exceeded terminations by 6.9 percent this year.

Filings and terminations increased in the following amounts this year:

<u>Case Type</u>	<u>Filing Increase</u>	<u>Termination Increase</u>
Civil	10.6%	12.1%
Small Claims	19.5%	12.1%
Traffic	5.4%	4.1%
Misdemeanor	6.9%	10.1%
Felony*		
Total	6.9%	8.1%

*Felony activity was reported differently this year and is not comparable to previous years.

Case Audit

All county courts (except Denver) completed a case audit at the end of the fiscal year. For the first time this was the first inventory of open cases in five years. The pending count for July 1, 1978, has been used to reflect any discrepancy due to the end of the fiscal year. The number may or may not coincide with the June 30, 1978, figures reported in the previous Annual Report. It should not be used for tracking purposes. It is a statistical derived figure based upon the actual pending count as of June 30, 1979.

Felony

The data collected for felony matters was revised this year to more accurately reflect court activity. Therefore, the data is not comparable to figures for previous years.

Felony workload varies considerably with local court and district attorney practice, and cannot be validly compared on a court by court basis.

Explanatory Note to County Court Tables

The county court figures are supplied by the clerks of court under a standard monthly manual reporting system. The Denver County Court, although not a part of the state funded system, supplied figures which are included in some of the tables. The Denver County Court did not participate in this year's audit. Denver is not included in percentages or totals unless specifically noted.

TABLE XLV. COUNTY COURT¹ CASELOAD
FY 1972-73 TO FY 1978-79

	FY 72-73	FY 73-74	FY 74-75	FY 75-76	FY 76-77	FY 77-78	FY 78-79
CIVIL							
Cases Pending July 1	4,252	5,370	6,438	8,248	8,695	9,486	8,906 ³
New Cases Filed	15,815	19,256	24,281	30,643	32,268	32,508	35,952
Total Caseload	20,067	24,626	30,719	38,891	40,963	41,994	44,858
Cases Terminated	14,697	18,188	22,471	30,196	31,477	30,691	34,295
Cases Pending June 30	5,370	6,438	8,248	8,695	9,486	11,303	10,563
SMALL CLAIMS²							
Cases Pending July 1					0	1,324	1,657 ³
New Cases Filed					5,181	7,955	9,502
Total Caseload					5,181	9,279	11,159
Cases Terminated					3,857	6,987	8,616
Cases Pending June 30					1,324	2,292	2,543
TPAFFIC							
Cases Pending July 1	20,127	25,517	30,273	35,982	34,759	41,419	43,802 ³
New Cases Filed	118,430	126,472	139,311	132,768	138,792	144,990	152,805
Total Caseload	138,557	151,989	169,584	168,750	173,551	186,409	196,607
Cases Terminated	113,040	121,716	133,602	133,991	132,132	140,912	147,653
Cases Pending June 30	25,517	30,273	35,982	34,759	41,419	45,497	48,954
MISDEMEANOR							
Cases Pending July 1	4,941	7,188	9,030	11,022	11,226	12,208	11,152 ³
New Cases Filed	16,289	19,247	22,308	23,823	24,169	25,340	27,081
Total Caseload	21,230	26,435	31,338	34,845	35,395	37,548	38,233
Cases Terminated	14,042	17,405	20,316	23,619	23,187	23,561	25,963
Cases Pending June 30	7,188	9,030	11,022	11,226	12,208	13,987	12,270
TOTAL							
Cases Pending July 1	29,320	38,075	45,741	55,252	54,680	64,437	65,517 ³
New Cases Filed	150,534	164,975	185,900	187,234	200,410	210,793	225,340
Total Caseload	179,854	203,050	231,641	242,486	255,090	275,230	290,857
Cases Terminated	141,779	157,309	176,389	187,806	190,653	202,151	216,527
Cases Pending June 30	38,075	45,741	55,252	54,680	64,437	73,079	74,330

¹ All County Courts with the exception of Denver County Court.

² Since the Small Claims division was created October 1, 1976, FY 1976-77 figures are for nine months.

³ Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978 pending count is a mathematically derived figure.

TABLE XLVI. CIVIL CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS¹
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED PENDING ² 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST					
Gilpin	14	10	24	10	14
Jefferson	629	4845	5474	5165	309
TOTAL	643	4855	5498	5175	323
2ND					
Denver	2293	21324	23617	20682	2935
3RD					
Huerfano	65	83	148	84	64
Las Animas	212	155	367	117	250
TOTAL	277	238	515	201	314
4TH					
El Paso	381	4602	4983	4303	680
Teller	7	41	48	36	12
TOTAL	388	4643	5031	4339	692
5TH					
Clear Creek	12	32	44	39	5
Eagle (Eagle)	137	116	253	123	130
Eagle (Basalt)	0	20	20	17	3
Lake	69	153	222	204	18
Summit	103	182	285	189	96
TOTAL	321	503	824	572	252
6TH					
Archuleta	46	89	135	60	75
La Plata	80	335	415	309	106
San Juan	0	16	16	11	5
TOTAL	126	440	566	380	186
7TH					
Delta	42	216	258	210	48
Gunnison	65	244	309	215	94
Hinsdale	3	3	6	3	3
Montrose (Montrose)	38	181	219	195	24
Montrose (Nucla)	0	62	62	55	7
Ouray	4	9	13	6	7
San Miguel	16	26	42	29	13
TOTAL	168	741	909	713	196
8TH					
Jackson	0	12	12	10	2
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	297	1061	1358	1104	254
Larimer (Estes Park)	9	39	48	46	2
Larimer (Loveland)	51	407	458	381	77
TOTAL	357	1519	1876	1541	335
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	27	140	167	119	48
Garfield (Rifle)	30	69	99	57	42
Pitkin	4	128	132	91	41
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	19	45	64	40	24
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	22	41	63	57	6
TOTAL	102	423	575	364	161
10TH					
Pueblo	384	2808	3192	2750	442
11TH					
Chaffee	9	93	102	90	12
Custer	5	7	12	10	2
Fremont	64	115	179	108	71
Park	1	45	46	21	25
TOTAL	79	260	339	229	110

¹ Small claims cases not included.

² Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE XLVI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED PENDING ² 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH					
Alamosa	55	143	198	166	32
Conejos	25	49	74	56	18
Costilla	4	7	11	2	9
Mineral	0	3	3	2	1
Rio Grande	6	169	175	153	22
Saguache	5	54	59	45	14
TOTAL	95	425	520	424	96
13TH					
Kit Carson	36	62	98	89	9
Logan	26	162	188	113	75
Morgan	126	381	507	327	180
Phillips	5	24	29	20	9
Sedgwick	0	5	5	3	2
Washington	15	47	62	43	19
Yuma	21	79	100	63	37
TOTAL	229	760	989	658	331
14TH					
Grand	41	82	123	83	40
Moffat (Craig)	77	217	294	211	83
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	2	2	2	0
Routt	77	186	263	158	105
TOTAL	195	487	682	454	228
15TH					
Baca	14	32	46	34	12
Cheyenne	9	10	19	8	11
Kiowa	1	19	20	13	7
Prowers	139	231	370	293	77
TOTAL	163	292	455	348	107
16TH					
Bent	8	16	24	16	8
Crowley	3	7	10	7	3
Otero	79	149	228	136	92
TOTAL	90	172	262	159	103
17TH					
Adams	2292	6667	8959	6193	2766
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	433	1110	1543	1308	235
Arapahoe (Aurora)	253	3405	3658	2904	754
Douglas	42	145	187	138	49
Elbert	13	26	39	17	22
Lincoln	29	47	76	62	14
TOTAL	770	4733	5503	4429	1074
19TH					
Weld	602	1745	2347	1534	813
20TH					
Boulder	231	2436	2667	2169	498
21ST					
Mesa	1383	1657	3040	1529	1511
22ND					
Dolores	1	2	3	3	0
Montezuma	10	146	156	131	25
TOTAL	11	148	159	134	25
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	8906	35952	44858	34295	10563
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	11199	57276	68475	54977	13498

¹ Small claims cases not included.

² Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE XIVII. SMALL CLAIMS CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED	PENDING ¹ 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST						
Gilpin		2	5	7	6	1
Jefferson		157	1347	1504	1270	234
TOTAL		159	1352	1511	1276	235
2ND						
Denver		323	2740	3063	2615	448
3RD						
Huerfano		0	25	25	11	14
Las Animas		5	45	50	36	14
TOTAL		5	70	75	47	28
4TH						
El Paso		63	1190	1253	1050	203
Teller		0	34	34	27	7
TOTAL		63	1224	1287	1077	210
5TH						
Clear Creek		2	37	39	30	9
Eagle (Eagle)		45	110	155	120	35
Eagle (Basalt)		0	23	23	22	1
Lake		21	60	81	70	11
Summit		41	97	138	95	43
TOTAL		109	327	436	337	99
6TH						
Archuleta		5	11	16	11	5
La Plata		23	202	225	168	57
San Juan		2	6	8	8	0
TOTAL		30	219	249	187	62
7TH						
Delta		2	97	99	86	13
Gunnison		11	49	60	40	20
Hinsdale		0	3	3	3	0
Montrose (Montrose)		5	82	87	81	6
Montrose (Nucla)		0	27	27	18	9
Ouray		1	11	12	9	3
San Miguel		14	47	61	49	12
TOTAL		33	316	349	286	63
8TH						
Jackson		0	37	37	33	4
Larimer (Ft. Collins)		221	503	724	585	139
Larimer (Estes Park)		0	0	0	0	0
Larimer (Loveland)		0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL		221	540	761	618	143
9TH						
Garfield (Glenwood)		13	110	123	87	36
Garfield (Rifle)		3	51	54	40	14
Pitkin		27	215	242	150	92
Rio Blanco (Neeker)		4	24	28	21	7
Rio Blanco (Rangely)		1	11	12	12	0
TOTAL		48	411	459	310	149
10TH						
Pueblo		39	353	392	286	106
11TH						
Chaffee		1	48	49	44	5
Custer		3	8	11	9	2
Fremont		24	79	103	97	6
Park		0	15	15	13	2
TOTAL		28	150	178	163	15

¹ Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE XLVII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED PENDING ¹ 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH					
Alamogosa	20	66	86	70	16
Conejos	9	44	53	48	5
Costilla	0	25	25	16	9
Mineral	0	4	4	4	0
Rio Grande	17	37	54	48	6
Saguache	4	18	22	19	3
TOTAL	50	194	244	205	39
13TH					
Kit Carson	1	1	2	1	1
Logan	14	62	76	63	13
Morgan	20	62	82	57	25
Phillips	3	19	22	18	4
Sedgwick	11	16	27	22	5
Washington	1	14	15	13	2
Yuma	2	18	20	17	3
TOTAL	52	192	244	191	53
14TH					
Grand	0	16	16	13	3
Moffat (Craig)	10	23	33	21	12
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	3	3	3	0
Routt	6	90	96	68	28
TOTAL	16	132	148	105	43
15TH					
Baca	11	23	34	24	10
Cheyenne	1	3	4	2	2
Kiowa	0	2	2	2	0
Prowers	11	49	60	37	23
TOTAL	23	77	100	65	35
16TH					
Bent	2	21	23	15	8
Crowley	1	4	5	4	1
Otero	37	142	179	123	56
TOTAL	40	167	207	142	65
17TH					
Adams	196	771	967	554	413
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	80	667	747	628	119
Arapahoe (Aurora)	19	432	451	397	54
Douglas	19	83	102	76	26
Elbert	2	39	41	26	15
Lincoln	5	12	17	13	4
TOTAL	125	1233	1358	1140	218
19TH					
Weld	92	351	443	303	140
20TH					
Boulder	205	1106	1311	1068	243
21ST					
Mesa	108	275	383	206	177
22ND					
Dolores	4	3	7	7	0
Montezuma	11	39	50	43	7
TOTAL	15	42	57	50	7
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	1657	9502	11159	8616	2543
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	1980	12242	14222	11231	2991

¹ Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE XLVIII. TRAFFIC CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED PENDING ¹ 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST					
Gilpin	143	683	826	692	134
Jefferson	3589	15184	18773	14479	4294
TOTAL	3732	15867	19599	15171	4428
2ND					
Denver	2689	11638	14327	11552	2775
3RD					
Huerfano	316	1373	1689	1079	610
Las Animas	645	1530	2175	1553	622
TOTAL	961	2903	3864	2632	1232
4TH					
El Paso	4299	15626	19925	15271	4654
Teller	71	423	494	381	113
TOTAL	4370	16049	20419	15652	4767
5TH					
Clear Creek	1118	3473	4591	3500	1091
Eagle (Eagle)	997	1977	2974	2122	852
Eagle (Basalt)	7	181	188	143	45
Lake	131	866	997	862	135
Summit	687	2567	3254	2433	821
TOTAL	2940	9064	12004	9060	2944
6TH					
Archuleta	91	388	479	372	107
La Plata	868	1877	2745	2028	717
San Juan	7	30	37	29	8
TOTAL	966	2295	3261	2429	832
7TH					
Delta	125	1137	1262	1006	256
Gunnison	380	1317	1697	1112	585
Hinsdale	5	16	21	20	1
Montrose (Montrose)	63	1059	1122	916	206
Montrose (Nucla)	45	272	317	192	125
Ouray	52	219	271	210	61
San Miguel	0	283	283	202	81
TOTAL	670	4303	4973	3658	1315
8TH					
Jackson	5	162	167	124	43
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	1228	5506	6734	5197	1537
Larimer (Estes Park)	129	505	634	500	134
Larimer (Loveland)	1114	2777	3891	2785	1106
TOTAL	2476	8950	11426	8606	2820
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	238	1120	1358	1049	309
Garfield (Rifle)	144	810	954	759	195
Pitkin	151	745	896	655	241
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	80	404	484	388	96
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	36	283	319	254	65
TOTAL	649	3362	4011	3105	906
10TH					
Pueblo	2212	7201	9413	7544	1869
11TH					
Chaffee	509	1584	2093	1698	395
Custer	3	65	68	64	4
Fremont	618	1998	2616	1836	780
Park	324	623	947	704	243
TOTAL	1454	4270	5724	4302	1422

¹Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE XLVIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED PENDING ¹ 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH					
Alamosa	283	983	1266	1003	263
Conejos	220	527	747	588	159
Costilla	127	429	556	470	86
Mineral	39	135	174	121	53
Rio Grande	162	767	929	708	221
Saguache	87	424	511	407	104
TOTAL	918	3265	4183	3297	886
13TH					
Kit Carson	224	583	807	571	236
Logan	697	2965	3662	2722	940
Morgan	730	2920	3650	2893	757
Phillips	38	96	134	114	20
Sedgwick	263	520	783	645	138
Washington	92	250	342	270	72
Yuma	142	435	577	437	140
TOTAL	2186	7769	9955	7652	2303
14TH					
Grand	26	1354	1380	1032	348
Moffat (Craig)	125	1392	1517	988	529
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	97	97	79	18
Routt	206	1201	1407	982	425
TOTAL	357	4044	4401	3081	1320
15TH					
Baca	83	216	299	228	71
Cheyenne	105	220	325	214	111
Kiowa	125	376	501	377	124
Prowers	197	1003	1200	996	204
TOTAL	510	1815	2325	1815	510
16TH					
Bent	104	462	566	410	156
Crowley	17	185	202	149	53
Otero	654	1682	2336	1771	565
TOTAL	775	2329	3104	2330	774
17TH					
Adams	5555	12938	18493	13231	5262
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	1578	7396	8974	7039	1935
Arapahoe (Aurora)	1753	5624	7377	5747	1630
Douglas	1987	5959	7946	6045	1901
Elbert	135	493	628	439	189
Lincoln	138	707	845	693	152
TOTAL	5591	20179	25770	19963	5807
19TH					
Weld	2009	9287	11296	8298	2998
20TH					
Boulder	4278	11789	16067	11269	4798
21ST					
Mesa	1012	3823	4835	3260	1575
22ND					
Dolores	0	119	119	106	13
Montezuma	181	1184	1365	1192	173
TOTAL	181	1303	1484	1298	186
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	43802	152805	196607	147653	48954
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	46491	164443	210934	159205	51729

¹ Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE XLIX. MISDEMEANOR CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED PENDING ¹ 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST					
Gilpin	80	137	217	142	75
Jefferson	1038	2793	3831	2765	1066
TOTAL	1118	2930	4048	2907	1141
2ND					
Denver	297	3405	3702	2830	872
3RD					
Huerfano	68	105	173	91	82
Las Animas	180	299	479	296	183
TOTAL	248	404	652	387	265
4TH					
El Paso	2020	5729	7749	5726	2023
Teller	33	137	170	118	52
TOTAL	2053	5866	7919	5844	2075
5TH					
Clear Creek	87	181	268	214	54
Eagle (Eagle)	27	192	219	153	66
Eagle (Basalt)	1	29	30	25	5
Lake	57	285	342	316	26
Summit	101	279	380	304	76
TOTAL	273	966	1239	1012	227
6TH					
Archuleta	11	47	58	46	12
La Plata	106	221	327	207	120
San Juan	0	14	14	10	4
TOTAL	117	282	399	263	136
7TH					
Delta	11	91	102	83	19
Gunnison	102	277	379	229	150
Hinsdale	4	3	7	5	2
Montrose (Montrose)	78	137	215	154	61
Montrose (Nucla)	21	21	42	18	24
Oureay	9	11	20	12	8
San Miguel	1	32	33	22	11
TOTAL	226	572	798	523	275
8TH					
Jackson	0	96	96	67	29
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	910	1645	2555	1575	980
Larimer (Estes Park)	16	79	95	73	22
Larimer (Loveland)	104	431	535	267	268
TOTAL	1030	2251	3281	1982	1299
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	35	165	220	151	69
Garfield (Rifle)	28	130	158	129	29
Pitkin	50	237	287	204	83
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	5	94	99	86	13
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	1	42	43	36	7
TOTAL	139	668	807	606	201
10TH					
Pueblo	709	1262	1971	1305	666
11TH					
Chaffee	40	191	231	205	26
Custer	1	15	16	11	5
Fremont	121	299	420	253	167
Park	82	125	207	154	53
TOTAL	244	630	874	623	251

¹Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE XLIX. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED	PENDING ¹ 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH						
Alamosa		52	135	187	123	64
Conejos		25	75	100	73	27
Costilla		13	103	116	88	28
Mineral		0	11	11	10	1
Rio Grande		21	74	95	55	40
Saguache		15	46	61	50	11
TOTAL		126	444	570	399	171
13TH						
Kit Carson		41	32	73	61	12
Logan		45	131	176	142	34
Morgan		124	265	389	284	105
Phillips		7	13	20	11	9
Sedgwick		15	17	32	23	9
Washington		3	23	26	21	5
Yuma		21	51	72	53	19
TOTAL		256	532	788	595	193
14TH						
Grand		8	277	285	204	81
Moffat (Craig)		84	214	298	205	93
Moffat (Dinosaur)		0	8	8	7	1
Routt		61	195	256	175	81
TOTAL		153	694	847	591	256
15TH						
Baca		29	27	56	30	26
Cheyenne		10	17	27	24	3
Flora		4	8	12	9	3
Proctor		47	72	119	67	52
TOTAL		90	124	214	130	84
16TH						
Bent		4	23	27	18	9
Crowley		10	28	38	19	19
Otero		72	248	320	250	70
TOTAL		86	299	385	287	98
17TH						
Adams		1362	1340	2702	1829	873
18TH						
Arapahoe (Littleton)		295	1423	1718	1116	602
Arapahoe (Aurora)		528	1129	1657	1117	540
Douglas		126	299	425	283	142
Elbert		7	18	25	21	4
Lincoln		16	52	68	59	9
TOTAL		972	2921	3893	2596	1297
19TH						
Weld		412	1607	2019	1198	821
20TH						
Boulder		1164	2090	3254	1908	1346
21ST						
Mesa		328	875	1203	689	514
22ND						
Dolores		0	11	11	11	0
Montezuma		46	313	359	278	81
TOTAL		46	324	370	289	81
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER		11152	27081	38233	25963	12270
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER		11449	30486	41935	28793	13142

¹ Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE 1. TOTAL CASELOAD IN THE COUNTY COURTS ¹
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED PENDING ² 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
1ST					
Gilpin	239	835	1074	850	224
Jefferson	5413	24169	29582	23679	5903
TOTAL	5652	25004	30656	24529	6127
2ND					
Denver	5602	39107	44709	37679	7030
3RD					
Huerfano	449	1586	2035	1265	770
Las Animas	1042	2029	3071	2002	1069
TOTAL	1491	3615	5106	3267	1839
4TH					
El Paso	6763	27147	33910	26350	7560
Teller	111	635	746	562	184
TOTAL	6874	27782	34656	26912	7744
5TH					
Clear Creek	1219	3723	4942	3783	1159
Eagle (Eagle)	1206	2395	3601	2518	1083
Eagle (Basalt)	8	253	261	207	54
Lake	278	1364	1642	1452	190
Summit	932	3125	4057	3021	1036
TOTAL	3643	10860	14503	10981	3522
6TH					
Archuleta	153	535	688	489	199
La Plata	1077	2635	3712	2712	1000
San Juan	9	66	75	58	17
TOTAL	1239	3236	4475	3259	1216
7TH					
Delta	180	1541	1721	1385	336
Gunnison	558	1887	2445	1596	849
Hinsdale	12	25	37	31	6
Montrose (Montrose)	184	1459	1643	1346	297
Montrose (Nucla)	66	382	448	283	165
Ouray	66	250	316	237	79
San Miguel	31	388	419	302	117
TOTAL	1097	5932	7029	5180	1849
8TH					
Jackson	5	307	312	234	78
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	2656	8715	11371	8461	2910
Larimer (Estes Park)	154	623	777	619	158
Larimer (Loveland)	1269	3615	4884	3433	1451
TOTAL	4084	13260	17344	12747	4597
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	333	1535	1868	1406	462
Garfield (Rifle)	205	1060	1265	985	280
Pitkin	232	1325	1557	1100	457
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	108	567	675	535	140
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	60	377	437	359	78
TOTAL	938	4864	5802	4385	1417
10TH					
Pueblo	3344	11624	14968	11885	3083
11TH					
Chaffee	559	1916	2475	2037	438
Custer	12	95	107	94	13
Fremont	827	2491	3318	2294	1024
Park	407	808	1215	892	323
TOTAL	1805	5310	7115	5317	1798

¹ Total caseload includes civil, small claims, traffic, and misdemeanor cases.

² Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE L. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	ADJUSTED PENDING ² 7/1/78	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/79
12TH					
Alamosa	410	1327	1737	1362	375
Conejos	279	695	974	765	209
Costilla	144	564	708	576	132
Mineral	39	153	192	137	55
Rio Grande	206	1047	1253	964	289
Saguache	111	542	653	521	132
TOTAL	1189	4328	5517	4325	1192
13TH					
Kit Carson	302	678	980	722	258
Logan	782	3320	4102	3040	1062
Morgan	1000	3628	4628	3561	1067
Phillips	53	152	205	163	42
Sedgwick	289	558	847	693	154
Washington	111	334	445	347	98
Yuma	186	583	769	570	199
TOTAL	2723	9253	11976	9096	2880
14TH					
Grand	75	1729	1804	1332	472
Moffat (Craig)	296	1846	2142	1425	717
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	110	110	91	19
Routt	350	1672	2022	1383	639
TOTAL	721	5357	6078	4231	1847
15TH					
Baca	137	298	435	316	119
Cheyenne	125	250	375	248	127
Kiowa	130	405	535	401	134
Prowers	394	1355	1749	1393	356
TOTAL	786	2308	3094	2358	736
16TH					
Bent	118	522	640	459	181
Crowley	31	224	255	179	76
Otero	842	2221	3063	2280	783
TOTAL	991	2967	3958	2918	1040
17TH					
Adams	9405	21716	31121	21807	9314
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	2386	10596	12982	10091	2891
Arapahoe (Aurora)	2553	10590	13143	10165	2978
Douglas	2174	6486	8660	6542	2118
Elbert	157	576	733	503	230
Lincoln	188	818	1006	827	179
TOTAL	7458	29066	36524	28128	8396
19TH					
Weld	3115	12990	16105	11333	4772
20TH					
Boulder	5878	17421	23299	16414	6885
21ST					
Mesa	2831	6630	9461	5684	3777
22ND					
Dolores	5	135	140	127	13
Montezuma	248	1682	1930	1644	286
TOTAL	253	1817	2070	1771	299
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	65517	225340	290857	216527	74330
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	71119	264447	335566	254206	81360

¹ Total caseload includes civil, small claims, traffic, and misdemeanor cases.

² Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978, pending count is a mathematically derived figure. As such, it will not be the same as the June 30, 1978, pending figure shown in last year's report.

TABLE 11
FELONY PRELIMINARIES IN THE COUNTY COURT BY TYPE - 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL FELONY ADVISEMENTS ¹	FELONY COMPLAINTS DENIED
1ST		
Gilpin	32	18
Jefferson	1610	1149
TOTAL	1642	1167
2ND		
Denver	0	2561
3RD		
Las Animas	3	0
TOTAL	3	0
4TH		
El Paso	2220	0
Teller	21	0
TOTAL	2241	0
5TH		
Clear Creek	90	180
Eagle (Eagle)	173	119
Eagle (Basalt)	0	10
Lake	95	48
Summit	184	100
TOTAL	632	447
6TH		
Archuleta	0	0
La Plata	7	0
San Juan	0	0
TOTAL	7	0
7TH		
Delta	67	40
Gunnison	40	57
Hinsdale	1	0
Montrose (Montrose)	70	40
Montrose (Nucle)	0	17
Ouray	3	0
San Miguel	26	24
TOTAL	207	238
8TH		
Jackson	12	0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	412	0
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0
Larimer (Loveland)	2	0
TOTAL	426	0
9TH		
Garfield (Glenwood)	0	0
Garfield (Rifle)	2	0
Pitkin	181	80
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	0	0
Rio Blanco (Sangre)	0	0
TOTAL	183	80
10TH		
Pueblo	425	100
11TH		
Chaffee	15	0
Custer	0	0
Fremont	1	0
Park	2	0
TOTAL	18	0

¹ Prior to FY 1978-79, the Felony AdviseMENTS Figures did not include Felony Complaints had been lodged.

TABLE LII. COUNTY COURT FILINGS¹ AND PERCENT CHANGE, FY 1974-75 TO FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FY 1974-75	FY 1975-76	FY 1976-77 ³	FY 1977-78	FY 1978-79	PERCENT 77-78 78-79	CHANGE 74-75 78-79
1ST							
Gilpin	702	583	636	826	835	1.0	18.9
Jefferson	20247	19132	21181	21495	24169	12.4	19.4
TOTAL ²	20949	19715	21817	22321	25004	12.0	19.4
2ND							
Denver	25303	28545	32329	33728	39107	15.9	54.5
3RD							
Huerfano	1305	1148	1185	1270	1586	24.8	21.5
Las Animas	1820	1936	1879	2011	2029	1.0	11.5
TOTAL	3125	3084	3064	3281	3615	10.2	15.7
4TH							
El Paso	21945	23367	22949	24813	27147	9.4	23.7
Teller	494	508	520	595	635	6.7	28.5
TOTAL	22439	23875	23469	25408	27782	9.3	23.8
5TH							
Clear Creek	4443	4171	4111	3608	3723	3.2	-16.2
Eagle	2073	1968	2085	2255	2648	17.4	27.7
Lake	1243	1180	1393	1349	1364	1.1	9.7
Summit	2413	2181	2746	2956	3125	5.7	29.5
TOTAL ²	10172	9500	10335	10168	10860	6.8	6.7
6TH							
Archuleta	478	443	577	479	535	11.7	11.9
La Plata	2959	3038	2958	2631	2635	.1	-10.9
San Juan	89	65	70	57	66	15.8	-25.8
TOTAL	3526	3546	3605	3167	3236	2.2	-8.2
7TH							
Delta	1167	1264	1296	1422	1541	8.4	32.1
Gunnison	1458	1365	1325	1723	1887	9.5	29.4
Hinsdale	14	22	21	48	25	-47.9	78.5
Montrose	1854	1944	1948	2134	1841	-13.7	-7
Ouray	281	279	253	256	250	-2.3	-11.0
San Miguel	302	385	333	286	388	35.6	28.5
TOTAL	5076	5259	5176	5869	5932	1.0	16.8
8TH							
Jackson	163	154	209	183	307	67.7	88.3
Larimer	9278	9908	10578	12199	12953	6.1	39.6
TOTAL	9441	10062	10787	12382	13260	7.1	40.4
9TH							
Garfield	1491	1821	2073	2296	2595	13.0	74.0
Pitkin	1059	1011	1185	1254	1325	5.6	25.1
Rio Blanco	547	500	522	806	944	17.1	72.5
TOTAL	3097	3332	3780	4356	4864	11.6	57.0
10TH							
Pueblo	11531	11487	12263	10685	11624	8.8	.8
11TH							
Chaffee	1512	1792	1782	1812	1916	5.7	26.7
Custer	51	68	73	79	95	20.2	86.2
Fremont	1997	2170	2024	2122	2491	17.4	24.7
Park	878	775	989	1170	808	-31.0	-8.0
TOTAL	4438	4805	4868	5183	5310	2.4	19.6

¹Includes Civil, Small Claims, Traffic, and Misdemeanor Cases.²Clear Creek County filings have been subtracted from District One totals and added to District Five totals for FY 1974-75 to improve comparability.³Includes Small Claims for nine months.

TABLE LII. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FY 1974-75	FY 1975-76	FY 1976-77 ³	FY 1977-78	FY 1978-79	PERCENT 77-78 78-79	CHANGE 74-75 78-79
12TH							
Alamosa	1678	1448	1499	1441	1327	-8.0	-21.0
Conejos	896	950	862	953	695	-27.0	-22.5
Costilla	498	555	759	651	564	-13.4	13.2
Mineral	135	114	146	151	153	1.3	13.3
Rio Grande	1375	1307	1024	1040	1047	.6	-23.9
Saguache	292	420	530	564	542	-4.0	85.6
TOTAL	4874	4794	4820	4800	4328	-9.8	-11.2
13TH							
Kit Carson	764	789	814	910	678	-25.5	-11.3
Logan	2562	2337	2356	2714	3320	22.3	29.6
Morgan	3041	2812	3572	3529	3628	2.8	19.3
Phillips	158	145	170	160	152	-5.0	-4.0
Sedgwick	655	781	689	755	558	-26.0	-15.0
Washington	361	423	340	334	334	0	-7.5
Yuma	449	515	704	831	583	-29.9	29.8
TOTAL	7990	7802	8645	9233	9253	.2	15.8
14TH							
Grand	1256	1105	1181	1271	1729	36.0	37.6
Moffat	1156	1363	1453	1763	1956	10.9	69.2
Routt	1627	1430	1618	1459	1672	14.6	2.7
TOTAL	4039	3898	4252	4493	5357	19.2	32.6
15TH							
Baca	409	460	425	355	298	-16.0	-27.2
Cheyenne	247	291	260	290	250	-13.8	1.2
Kiowa	259	263	351	330	405	22.7	56.3
Prowers	1301	1357	1343	1201	1355	12.8	4.1
TOTAL	2216	2371	2379	2176	2308	6.0	4.1
16TH							
Bent	469	456	440	471	522	10.8	11.3
Crowley	200	246	179	278	224	-19.4	12.0
Otero	2059	2219	2214	2452	2221	-9.4	7.8
TOTAL	2728	2921	2833	3201	2967	-7.3	8.7
17TH							
Adams	18430	18884	20180	21436	21716	1.3	17.8
18TH							
Arapahoe	15083	16054	18208	19624	21186	7.9	40.4
Douglas	5855	5562	6242	6180	6486	4.9	10.7
Elbert	590	558	596	581	576	-.8	2.3
Lincoln	577	712	925	972	818	-15.8	41.7
TOTAL	22105	22886	25971	27357	29066	6.2	31.5
19TH							
Weld	11395	11044	10840	11934	12990	8.8	14.0
20TH							
Boulder	12567	11875	13206	14674	17421	18.7	38.6
21ST							
Mesa	4439	4549	6492	7105	6630	-6.7	49.3
22ND							
Dolores	137	205	168	130	135	3.8	-1.4
Montezuma	1186	1340	1460	1434	1682	17.3	41.8
TOTAL	1323	1545	1628	1564	1817	16.1	37.3
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	185900	187234	200410	210793	225340	6.9	21.2
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	211203	215779	232739	244521	264447	8.1	25.2

¹Includes Civil, Small Claims, Traffic, and Misdemeanor Cases.³Includes Small Claims for nine months.

TABLE LIII. COURT TRIALS AND JURY TRIALS IN THE COUNTY COURT
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY - FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	CIVIL		SMALL CLAIMS	TRAFFIC		MISDEMEANOR		TOTAL	
	CT ¹	JT ¹		CT ¹	JT ¹	CT ¹	JT ¹	CT ¹	JT ¹
1ST									
Gilpin	0	0	3	5	1	1	0	9	1
Jefferson	166	0	413	70	46	20	14	669	60
TOTAL	166	0	416	75	47	21	14	678	61
2ND									
Denver	1621	8	1081	299	178	252	53	3253	239
3RD									
Huerfano	14	0	4	241	4	30	0	289	4
Las Animas	6	1	10	36	0	6	2	58	3
TOTAL	20	1	14	277	4	36	2	347	7
4TH									
El Paso	249	8	406	571	51	290	31	1516	90
Teller	2	0	10	36	2	2	1	50	3
TOTAL	251	8	416	607	53	292	32	1566	93
5TH									
Clear Creek	6	0	12	12	3	0	0	30	3
Eagle (Eagle)	8	0	34	168	2	14	0	224	2
Eagle (Basalt)	5	0	7	25	0	6	0	43	0
Lake	18	0	19	142	4	57	0	236	4
Summit	8	0	23	59	13	11	1	101	14
TOTAL	45	0	95	406	22	88	1	634	23
6TH									
Archuleta	4	0	5	40	3	8	2	57	5
La Plata	38	0	105	158	7	7	6	308	13
San Juan	2	0	2	8	0	3	0	15	0
TOTAL	44	0	112	206	10	18	8	380	18
7TH									
Delta	14	1	22	121	11	7	0	164	12
Gunnison	8	0	12	13	1	4	0	37	1
Hinsdale	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	6	0
Montrose (Montrose)	12	0	24	112	14	4	0	152	14
Montrose (Nucla)	0	0	5	15	6	1	2	21	8
Ouray	2	0	2	29	2	2	1	35	3
San Miguel	17	0	35	55	1	6	0	113	1
TOTAL	53	1	101	350	35	24	3	528	39
8TH									
Jackson	0	0	1	13	0	2	0	16	0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	57	4	174	245	28	31	5	507	37
Larimer (Estes Park)	5	0	0	43	0	6	0	54	0
Larimer (Loveland)	41	1	0	166	3	13	0	220	4
TOTAL	103	5	175	467	31	52	5	797	41
9TH									
Garfield (Glenwood)	11	0	34	41	8	4	1	90	9
Garfield (Rifle)	3	2	7	22	3	7	1	39	6
Pitkin	59	0	70	99	4	25	4	253	8
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	3	0	4	22	3	3	0	32	3
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	5	0	5	45	0	12	0	67	0
TOTAL	81	2	120	229	18	51	6	481	26
10TH									
Pueblo	89	2	117	169	60	35	22	410	84
11TH									
Chaffee	14	0	43	163	5	33	0	253	5
Custer	0	0	5	9	0	2	0	16	0
Fremont	20	1	27	103	7	12	2	162	10
Park	10	0	10	214	3	26	0	260	3
TOTAL	44	1	85	489	15	73	2	691	18

¹CT = Number of court trials.
JT = Number of jury trials.

TABLE LIII. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	CIVIL		SMALL CLAIMS	TRAFFIC		MISDEMEANOR		TOTAL	
	CT ¹	JT ¹		CT ¹	JT ¹	CT ¹	JT ¹	CT ¹	JT ¹
12TH									
Alamosa	19	0	24	85	3	25	0	153	3
Conejos	6	0	12	56	2	3	1	77	3
Costilla	1	0	12	103	1	20	0	136	1
Mineral	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	13	0
Rio Grande	6	0	7	48	5	5	0	66	5
Saguache	6	0	7	44	0	16	0	73	0
TOTAL	38	0	63	344	11	73	1	518	12
13TH									
Kit Carson	4	0	0	15	0	6	0	25	0
Logan	19	0	32	449	10	26	1	526	11
Morgan	10	0	6	230	4	26	2	272	6
Phillips	3	0	4	44	3	2	2	53	5
Sedgwick	0	0	3	13	0	12	0	28	0
Washington	3	1	3	54	4	8	0	68	5
Yuma	26	0	6	183	0	38	0	253	0
TOTAL	65	1	54	988	21	118	5	1225	27
14TH									
Grand	30	1	9	96	11	14	1	149	13
Moffat (Craig)	23	0	14	40	25	3	2	80	27
Moffat (Dinosaur)	1	0	1	3	0	2	0	7	0
Routt	19	1	33	28	4	3	0	83	5
TOTAL	73	2	57	167	40	22	3	319	45
15TH									
Baca	1	0	7	15	3	3	1	26	4
Cheyenne	0	0	1	23	5	5	0	29	5
Kiowa	4	0	0	48	3	0	0	52	3
Prowers	8	2	5	25	15	0	1	38	18
TOTAL	13	2	13	111	26	8	2	145	30
16TH									
Bent	0	0	1	49	1	2	0	52	1
Crowley	0	0	2	20	2	3	1	25	3
Otero	9	0	31	175	10	25	4	240	14
TOTAL	9	0	34	244	13	30	5	317	18
17TH									
Adams	45	2	57	205	57	34	31	341	90
18TH									
Arapahoe(Littleton)	81	4	118	84	27	14	6	297	37
Arapahoe (Aurora)	94	1	99	68	29	5	5	266	35
Douglas	21	0	27	90	14	2	0	140	14
Elbert	3	0	6	15	2	1	0	25	2
Lincoln	4	0	0	23	1	3	0	30	1
TOTAL	203	5	250	280	73	25	11	758	89
19TH									
Weld	137	2	124	296	29	34	9	591	40
20TH									
Boulder	163	8	408	472	43	75	11	1118	62
21ST									
Mesa	142	4	73	431	37	136	23	782	64
22ND									
Dolores	1	0	5	49	1	5	0	60	1
Montezuma	4	0	15	92	10	24	2	135	12
TOTAL	5	0	20	141	11	29	2	195	13
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	1789	46	2804	6954	656	1274	198	12821	900
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	3410	54	3885	7253	834	1526	251	16074	1139

¹CT = Number of court trials.
JT = Number of jury trials.

TABLE LIV. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF
COUNTY COURT CIVIL¹ CASE FILINGS - BY MONEY AMOUNT
FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	--UNDER \$500--		\$500 TO \$1,000		NONMONEY CASES ²		-----TOTAL-----	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1ST								
Gilpin	2	20.0	0	0.0	8	80.0	10	100.0
Jefferson	3684	76.0	952	19.7	209	4.3	4845	100.0
TOTAL	3686	75.9	952	19.6	217	4.5	4855	100.0
2ND								
Denver	8795	41.2	11898	55.8	631	3.0	21324	100.0
3RD								
Huerfano	67	80.7	16	19.3	0	0.0	83	100.0
Las Animas	88	56.8	51	32.9	16	10.3	155	100.0
TOTAL	155	65.1	67	28.2	16	6.7	238	100.0
4TH								
El Paso	2972	64.6	1278	27.8	352	7.6	4602	100.0
Teller	17	41.4	12	29.3	12	29.3	41	100.0
TOTAL	2989	64.4	1290	27.8	364	7.8	4643	100.0
5TH								
Clear Creek	14	43.8	13	40.6	5	15.6	32	100.0
Eagle (Eagle)	36	31.0	48	41.4	32	27.6	116	100.0
Eagle (Basalt)	9	45.0	4	20.0	7	35.0	20	100.0
Lake	115	75.2	20	13.1	18	11.7	153	100.0
Summit	92	50.6	51	28.0	39	21.4	182	100.0
TOTAL	266	52.9	136	27.0	101	20.1	503	100.0
6TH								
Archuleta	62	69.7	24	26.9	3	3.4	89	100.0
La Plata	204	60.9	93	27.8	38	11.3	335	100.0
San Juan	6	37.5	8	50.0	2	12.5	16	100.0
TOTAL	272	61.8	125	28.4	43	9.8	440	100.0
7TH								
Delta	110	50.9	69	31.9	37	17.2	216	100.0
Gunnison	200	82.0	30	12.3	14	5.7	244	100.0
Hinsdale	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3	3	100.0
Montrose (Montrose)	109	60.2	55	30.4	17	9.4	181	100.0
Montrose (Nucla)	56	90.3	4	6.5	2	3.2	62	100.0
Ouray	5	55.6	3	33.3	1	11.1	9	100.0
San Miguel	1	3.9	15	57.7	10	38.4	26	100.0
TOTAL	482	65.1	177	23.8	82	11.1	741	100.0
8TH								
Jackson	5	41.7	6	50.0	1	8.3	12	100.0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	664	62.6	266	25.1	131	12.3	1061	100.0
Larimer (Estes Park)	20	51.3	18	46.2	1	2.5	39	100.0
Larimer (Loveland)	270	66.3	113	27.8	24	5.9	407	100.0
TOTAL	959	63.1	403	26.5	157	10.4	1519	100.0
9TH								
Garfield (Glenwood)	50	35.8	45	32.1	45	32.1	140	100.0
Garfield (Rifle)	43	62.3	24	34.8	2	2.9	69	100.0
Pitkin	22	17.2	70	54.7	36	28.1	128	100.0
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	29	64.4	12	26.7	4	8.9	45	100.0
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	29	70.7	8	19.5	4	9.8	41	100.0
TOTAL	173	40.9	159	27.6	91	21.5	423	100.0
10TH								
Pueblo	2067	73.6	628	22.4	113	4.0	2808	100.0
11TH								
Chaffee	49	52.7	30	32.3	14	15.0	93	100.0
Custer	1	14.2	3	42.9	3	42.9	7	100.0
Fremont	60	52.2	45	39.1	10	8.7	115	100.0
Park	26	57.8	16	35.5	3	6.7	45	100.0
TOTAL	136	52.3	94	36.2	30	11.5	260	100.0

¹ Small Claims Not Included.

² Includes 146 temporary restraining orders.

TABLE LIV. (Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	--UNDER \$500--		\$500 TO \$1,000		NONMONEY CASES ²		-----TOTAL-----	
	No.	\$	No.	\$	No.	\$	No.	\$
12TH								
Alamosa	85	59.4	43	30.1	15	10.5	143	100.0
Conejos	30	61.2	13	26.5	6	12.3	49	100.0
Costilla	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	7	100.0
Mineral	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0
Rio Grande	122	72.2	41	24.3	6	3.5	169	100.0
Saguache	42	77.8	12	22.2	0	0.0	54	100.0
TOTAL	285	67.0	112	26.4	28	6.6	425	100.0
13TH								
Kit Carson	56	90.3	5	8.1	1	1.6	62	100.0
Logan	92	56.8	63	38.9	7	4.3	162	100.0
Morgan	257	67.5	109	28.6	15	3.9	381	100.0
Phillips	15	62.5	5	20.8	4	16.7	24	100.0
Sedgwick	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
Washington	27	57.4	14	29.8	6	12.8	47	100.0
Yuma	53	67.1	19	24.0	7	8.9	79	100.0
TOTAL	505	66.5	215	28.3	40	5.2	760	100.0
14TH								
Grand	63	76.8	17	20.8	2	2.4	82	100.0
Moffat(Craig)	127	58.5	76	35.0	14	6.5	217	100.0
Moffat(Dinosaur)	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
Routt	107	57.5	56	30.1	23	12.4	186	100.0
TOTAL	298	61.2	150	30.8	39	8.0	487	100.0
15TH								
Baca	23	71.9	7	21.9	2	6.2	32	100.0
Cheyenne	5	50.0	5	50.0	0	0.0	10	100.0
Kiowa	17	89.5	2	10.5	0	0.0	19	100.0
Prowers	167	72.3	58	25.1	6	2.6	231	100.0
TOTAL	212	72.6	72	24.7	8	2.7	292	100.0
16TH								
Bent	8	50.0	3	18.7	5	31.3	16	100.0
Crowley	2	28.6	5	71.4	0	0.0	7	100.0
Otero	66	44.3	65	43.6	18	12.1	149	100.0
TOTAL	76	44.2	73	42.4	23	13.4	172	100.0
17TH								
Adams	5531	83.0	985	14.8	151	2.2	6667	100.0
18TH								
Arapahoe(Littleton)	622	56.0	368	33.2	120	10.8	1110	100.0
Arapahoe(Aurora)	2339	68.7	634	18.6	432	12.7	3405	100.0
Douglas	66	45.5	54	37.3	25	17.2	145	100.0
Elbert	12	46.2	9	34.6	5	19.2	26	100.0
Lincoln	9	19.2	9	19.2	29	61.6	47	100.0
TOTAL	3048	64.4	1074	22.7	611	12.9	4733	100.0
19TH								
Weld	1086	62.2	413	23.7	246	14.1	1745	100.0
20TH								
Boulder	1095	45.0	530	21.8	811	33.2	2436	100.0
21ST								
Mesa	1116	67.3	429	25.9	112	6.8	1657	100.0
22ND								
Dolores	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
Montezuma	99	67.8	44	30.1	3	2.1	146	100.0
TOTAL	100	67.6	44	29.7	4	2.7	148	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	24537	68.3	8128	22.6	3287	9.1	35952	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	33332	58.2	20026	35.0	3918	6.8	57276	100.0

¹Small Claims Not Included.²Includes 146 temporary restraining orders.

TABLE LV. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF
COUNTY COURT SMALL CLAIMS FILINGS - BY MONEY AMOUNT-FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	---UNDER \$100---		\$100 TO \$300		\$301 TO \$500		-----TOTAL-----	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1ST								
Gilpin	2	40.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	5	100.0
Jefferson	244	18.1	511	37.9	592	44.0	1347	100.0
TOTAL	246	18.2	512	37.9	594	43.9	1352	100.0
2ND								
Denver	628	22.9	1436	52.4	676	24.7	2740	100.0
3RD								
Huerfano	7	28.0	5	20.0	13	52.0	25	100.0
Las Animas	14	31.1	23	51.1	8	17.8	45	100.0
TOTAL	21	30.0	28	40.0	21	30.0	70	100.0
4TH								
El Paso	239	20.1	490	41.2	461	38.7	1190	100.0
Teller	3	8.8	17	50.0	14	41.2	34	100.0
TOTAL	242	19.8	507	41.4	475	38.8	1224	100.0
5TH								
Clear Creek	10	27.0	12	32.4	15	40.6	37	100.0
Eagle(Eagle)	20	18.2	47	42.7	43	39.1	110	100.0
Eagle(Basalt)	7	30.4	9	39.2	7	30.4	23	100.0
Lake	14	23.3	31	51.7	15	25.0	60	100.0
Summit	14	14.4	54	55.6	29	30.0	97	100.0
TOTAL	65	19.9	153	46.8	109	33.3	327	100.0
6TH								
Archuleta	3	27.3	5	45.4	3	27.3	11	100.0
La Plata	74	36.6	71	35.2	57	28.2	202	100.0
San Juan	2	33.3	3	50.0	1	16.7	6	100.0
TOTAL	79	36.1	79	36.1	61	27.8	219	100.0
7th								
Delta	30	30.9	49	50.5	18	18.6	97	100.0
Gunnison	8	16.3	29	59.2	12	24.5	49	100.0
Hinsdale	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3	3	100.0
Montrose(Montrose)	18	22.0	36	43.9	28	34.1	82	100.0
Montrose(Nucila)	8	29.6	13	48.2	6	22.2	27	100.0
Ouray	2	18.2	5	45.5	4	36.3	12	100.0
San Miguel	17	36.2	21	44.7	9	19.1	47	100.0
TOTAL	85	26.9	153	48.4	78	24.7	316	100.0
8TH								
Jackson	7	18.9	22	59.5	8	21.6	37	100.0
Larimer(Ft. Collins)	105	20.9	228	45.3	170	33.8	503	100.0
Larimer(Estes Park)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	100.0
Larimer(Loveland)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	100.0
TOTAL	112	20.7	250	46.3	178	33.0	540	100.0
9TH								
Garfield(Glenwood)	25	22.7	52	47.3	33	30.0	110	100.0
Garfield(Rifle)	18	35.3	21	41.2	12	23.5	51	100.0
Pitkin	53	24.7	85	39.5	77	35.8	215	100.0
Rio Blanco(Meeker)	6	25.0	10	41.7	8	33.3	24	100.0
Rio Blanco(Rangely)	4	36.4	3	27.2	4	36.4	11	100.0
TOTAL	106	25.8	171	41.6	134	32.6	411	100.0
10TH								
Pueblo	78	22.1	132	37.4	143	40.5	353	100.0
11TH								
Chaffee	11	22.9	24	50.0	13	27.1	48	100.0
Custer	4	50.0	2	25.0	2	25.0	8	100.0
Fremont	22	27.9	44	55.7	13	16.4	79	100.0
Park	3	20.0	6	40.0	6	40.0	15	100.0
TOTAL	40	26.7	76	50.7	34	22.6	150	100.0

TABLE LV.

(Continued)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	---UNDER \$100---		\$100 TO \$300		\$301 TO \$500		-----TOTAL-----	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
12TH								
Alamosa	19	28.8	28	42.4	19	28.8	66	100.0
Conejos	16	36.4	20	45.4	8	18.2	44	100.0
Costilla	6	24.0	18	72.0	1	4.0	25	100.0
Mineral	0	0.	1	25.0	3	75.0	4	100.0
Rio Grande	11	29.7	15	40.6	11	29.7	37	100.0
Saguache	6	33.3	10	55.6	2	11.1	18	100.0
TOTAL	58	29.9	92	47.4	44	22.7	194	100.0
13TH								
Kit Carson	1	100.0	0	0.	0	0.	1	100.0
Logan	15	24.2	35	56.5	12	19.3	62	100.0
Morgan	10	16.1	31	50.0	21	33.9	62	100.0
Phillips	10	52.6	7	36.9	2	10.5	19	100.0
Sedgwick	5	31.3	7	43.7	4	25.0	16	100.0
Washington	6	42.9	6	42.9	2	14.2	14	100.0
Yuma	4	22.2	8	44.5	6	33.3	18	100.0
TOTAL	51	26.6	94	49.0	47	24.4	192	100.0
14TH								
Grand	5	31.3	7	43.7	4	25.0	16	100.0
Moffat (Craig)	8	34.8	11	47.8	4	17.4	23	100.0
Moffat (Dinosaur)	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.	3	100.0
Routt	10	11.1	53	58.9	27	30.0	90	100.0
TOTAL	24	18.2	73	55.3	35	26.5	132	100.0
15TH								
Baca	5	21.7	11	47.8	7	30.5	23	100.0
Cheyenne	0	0.	3	100.0	0	0.	3	100.0
Kiowa	1	50.0	0	0.	1	50.0	2	100.0
Prowers	16	32.7	17	34.7	16	32.6	49	100.0
TOTAL	22	28.6	31	40.3	24	31.1	77	100.0
16TH								
Bent	7	33.3	7	33.3	7	33.3	21	100.0
Crowley	0	0.	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0
Otero	36	25.4	68	47.9	38	26.7	142	100.0
TOTAL	43	25.8	77	46.1	47	28.1	167	100.0
17TH								
Adams	109	14.1	312	40.5	350	45.4	771	100.0
18TH								
Arapahoe (Littleton)	106	15.9	290	43.5	271	40.6	667	100.0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	94	21.8	158	36.6	180	41.6	432	100.0
Douglas	24	28.9	26	31.3	33	39.8	83	100.0
Elbert	15	38.5	12	30.8	12	30.7	39	100.0
Lincoln	1	8.3	7	58.3	4	33.4	12	100.0
TOTAL	240	19.5	493	40.0	500	40.5	1233	100.0
19TH								
Weld	84	23.9	135	38.5	132	37.6	351	100.0
20TH								
Boulder	171	15.4	504	45.6	431	39.0	1106	100.0
21ST								
Mesa	59	21.5	118	42.9	98	35.6	275	100.0
22ND								
Dolores	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.	3	100.0
Montezuma	9	23.1	16	41.0	14	35.9	39	100.0
TOTAL	10	23.8	18	42.9	14	33.3	42	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	1945	20.5	4008	42.2	3549	37.3	9502	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	2573	21.0	5444	44.5	4225	34.5	12242	100.0

TABLE LVI. TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS BUREAU (TVB) TERMINATIONS
IN THE COUNTY COURTS BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY
FY 1978-79

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TVB TERMINATIONS	DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TVB TERMINATIONS	DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TVB TERMINATIONS
1ST		8TH		14TH	
Gilpin	7	Jackson	9	Grand	0
Jefferson	0	Larimer (Ft. Collins)	47	Moffat (Craig)	38
TOTAL	7	Larimer (Estes Park)	1	Moffat (Dinosaur)	8
		Larimer (Loveland)	1	Routt	1
2ND		TOTAL	58	TOTAL	47
Denver	1155				
3RD		9TH		15TH	
Huerfano	0	Garfield (Glenwood)	2	Baca	4
Las Animas	19	Garfield (Rifle)	40	Cheyenne	0
TOTAL	19	Pitkin	48	Kiowa	0
		Rio Blanco (Meeker)	32	Prowers	0
4TH		Rio Blanco (Rangely)	35	TOTAL	4
El Paso	873	TOTAL	157		
Teller	11	10TH		16TH	
TOTAL	884	Pueblo	0	Bent	0
				Crowley	0
5TH		11TH		Otero	5
Clear Creek	3	Chaffee	0	TOTAL	5
Eagle (Eagle)	0	Custer	0		
Eagle (Basalt)	0	Fremont	0	17TH	
Lake	0	Park	6	Adams	0
Summit	0	TOTAL	6		
TOTAL	3			18TH	
6TH		12TH		Arapahoe (Littleton)	42
Archuleta	0	Alamosa	0	Arapahoe (Aurora)	38
La Plata	54	Conejos	14	Douglas	119
San Juan	0	Costilla	2	Elbert	0
TOTAL	54	Mineral	1	Lincoln	6
		Rio Grande	10	TOTAL	205
7TH		Saguache	5		
Delta	11	TOTAL	32	19TH	
Gunnison	9			Weld	0
Hinsdale	1	13TH			
Montrose (Montrose)	64	Kit Carson	0	20TH	
Montrose (Nucla)	30	Logan	1	Boulder	116
Ouray	0	Morgan	4		
San Miguel	23	Phillips	0	21TH	
TOTAL	138	Sedgwick	0	Mesa	154
		Washington	1		
		Yuma	0	22ND	
		TOTAL	6	Dolores	0
				Montezuma	118
				TOTAL	118


STATE TOTAL

	NUMBER TVB TERMINATIONS	TOTAL TRAFFIC TERMINATIONS	PERCENT TVB TO TRAFFIC
WITHOUT DENVER	2013	147653	1.36
WITH DENVER	3168	159205	1.99


TABLE LVII. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF FELONY COMPLAINTS DISPOSED OF IN COUNTY COURT¹ - FY 1978-79

	NUMBER OF FELONY COMPLAINTS DISPOSED OF	PERCENT DISTRIBUTION
Dismissals		
At Preliminary Hearing	423	5.3
W/Out Preliminary Hearing	1656	20.8
TOTAL	2079	26.1
Reductions to a Misdemeanor		
At Preliminary Hearing	284	3.6
W/Out Preliminary Hearing	737	9.2
TOTAL	1021	12.8
Bindovers to District Court		
At Preliminary Hearing	1747	21.9
W/Out Preliminary Hearing	3124	39.2
TOTAL	4871	61.1
Total Felony Dispositions		
At Preliminary Hearing	2454	30.8
W/Out Preliminary Hearing	5517	69.2
TOTAL	7971	100.0

¹Includes Denver.




PROBATION



JUVENILE DELINQUENCY INTAKE PROCESS MODEL I (PROBATION INTAKE)

¹Lecture and Release

²May include warning letter




JUVENILE DELINQUENCY INTAKE PROCESS

MODEL II (DA INTAKE)

¹Lecture and Release


²May include warning letter




JUVENILE DELINQUENCY INTAKE PROCESS MODEL III (JOINT AGENCY INTAKE)

¹Lecture and Release

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY COURT PROCESS
MODEL I (COMBINED HEARING)





JUVENILE DELINQUENCY COURT PROCESS
MODEL II (SEPARATE HEARINGS)

phase immediately preceding the dispositional phase. In the Combined Hearing process (Model I) disposition is determined immediately following adjudication. The social summary and recommendation of the probation officer must be ready for presentation to the court prior to a finding on the case. In the Separate Hearings process (Model II), a separate court hearing is held to determine if the juvenile has committed the offense. If the allegations are sustained, the juvenile may be granted a continued petition at that time, eliminating the need for a social summary and dispositional hearing. If a continued petition is not granted at that time, the court continues the case to allow the probation department time to prepare the social summary. A dispositional hearing is then held for the purpose of sentencing the juvenile.

Supervision

While supervision techniques differ, not only from district to district, but from probation officer to probation officer, and even from situation to situation, certain trends can be identified in overall treatment approaches. Philosophically, most of those involved in criminal justice and corrections would agree that probation officer counseling and treatment; use of established facilities, programs and outside counselors; and creation of awareness, concern, and additional resources on the community level are all essential aspects of rehabilitation. Realistically, however, with limited time and resources, most departments are forced to concentrate on either the client or the community, while readily acknowledging that the other is also important and deserves time and attention.


Districts across the state fall along a supervision continuum ranging from an emphasis on the probation officer-client relationship to an interagency effort for the benefit of the client. Three points along the continuum are:

- Model I. Counseling Orientation.
- Model II. Brokerage Orientation.
- Model III. Community Orientation.

Model I (Counseling Orientation) refers to the treatment technique emphasizing the counseling relationship between probationer and probation officer. The probation officer is responsible for working directly with his clients and is to function as the prime counselor for all his cases.


Model II, or the brokerage orientation, in which a probation officer refers his clients to specific individuals, agencies, or programs for treatment of specific problems, has

SUPERVISION MODEL I - COUNSELING ORIENTATION




The PO is responsible for working directly with his clients. There is frequent consultation and cooperation between the PO and other agencies, but the PO functions as the prime counselor for all his cases.

SUPERVISION MODEL II - BROKERAGE ORIENTATION



While continuing to maintain contact with his probationers, the PO refers ("brokers") his clients to specific individuals, agencies, or programs for specialized treatment. Consultation between the PO and the cooperating agencies is an important aspect of this model.

SUPERVISION MODEL III - COMMUNITY ORIENTATION



The PO continues to maintain contact with his probationers and refer clients to community agencies as required. A strong emphasis is placed on working with community agencies and funding sources to develop coordinated programs that will fulfill the needs of probationers. PO's are involved in identifying probationer needs, obtaining funds to develop programs, and generating community agency cooperation in administering the programs.

become increasingly popular. The counseling relationship is seen as essential in Model II, just as in Model I. The difference is that in Model I, the counseling takes place between probationer and probation officer, while in Model II the relationship is between probationer and counselor, regardless of whether that counselor is probation officer, teacher, mental health worker, or volunteer.

Probation officers working within Model III (Community Orientation) concentrate their efforts on developing community resources to fulfill the needs of probationers. While probation officers in Model I may be deeply involved in the community and probation officers in Model II work closely with outside agencies, the emphasis in these two models is on communication and counseling with the probationer.

Community oriented probation officers believe their time and energy are more effectively spent in developing community support and resources than in counseling individual probationers.

The Adult Process

Intake


The intake process for adults (decisions made and procedures followed prior to a defendant's court hearing) are generally handled by law enforcement officers and the district attorney. Probation officers usually do not become involved with a defendant until he is referred for a pre-sentence investigation or is placed under the probation department's supervision by a court order granting deferred prosecution, deferred sentence, or probation.


Court

After a case is filed with the court, a defendant may request a deferred prosecution. If granted, no plea is taken, and no trial is held. The defendant is placed under the supervision of the probation department and upon successful completion of this supervisory period, charges are dropped, and the case is dismissed. Should the defendant not complete the supervisory period successfully, he may be taken back to court and tried on the original charge.

If a deferred prosecution is not granted, and if the defendant either pleads guilty or is found guilty, a sentencing hearing is set. The probation department is

ADULT INTAKE PROCESS





ADULT COURT PROCESS

¹Pre-sentence Report always required in District Court. May or may not be required in County Court.

²Includes Suspended Sentence and Deferred Sentence (Deferred Judgment)

responsible for preparing a pre-sentence report in district court cases and in county court cases ordered by the judge.

There are several sentencing alternatives which would place a defendant under the supervision of the probation department. Probation may be granted as an alternative to incarceration in a county jail or in a Federal Department of Corrections may be ordered and with the defendant being placed under the supervision of the probation department. The judge's judgment orders place the defendant under the supervision of the probation department for a specified period of time. If no sentence is imposed, under any of these alternatives when the defendant completes his supervision fully, the case is terminated. If there are violations while on probation, the defendant may be taken into court for possible sentence modification.

Supervision

Supervision philosophies and techniques of probation departments follow essentially the same as those described in juvenile departments.

Activity in FY 1978-79

The availability of alternatives in the community, as well as the attitudes of judges, district attorney, the judiciary and the public, have resulted in a significant increase in the size of probation caseloads. Consequently, the totals should not be interpreted as a measure of juvenile delinquency or criminal activity in the state. The figures are, however, an index of the number of people who have been referred to the probation department for investigation and the number of offenders under the supervision of a probation officer. The large adult and juvenile caseloads are still a strong indication of the continuing workload placed on probation officers across the state.

With over a 12 percent increase in probationers, only the fact that officers were again terminate more cases than they received is manageable. Officers were also faced with the influx of adult offenders due to a growth in the number of juveniles entering the system. In 1979, there were 13,426 adults and 4,847 juveniles in Colorado.

TABLE LVIII. PROBATION DEPARTMENT SUPERVISION
AND INVESTIGATION CASELOADS - STATE TOTALS
FY 1975-76 TO FY 1978-79

	FY 75-76	FY 76-77	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	PERCENT 77-78	PERCENT 75-76	PERCENT 78-79	CHANGE
ADULT								
On Supervision July 1	8,281	8,779	10,498 ^a	12,121 ^a	15.5			46.4
New Cases	8,482	8,380	10,025	11,288	12.6			33.1
Total Caseload	16,763	17,159	20,523	23,409	14.1			39.6
Terminations	7,984	6,588	8,443	9,985	18.3			25.1
On Supervision June 30	8,779	10,571	12,080	13,424	11.1			52.9
Total Investigations	14,559	14,491	14,535	15,403	6.0			5.8
JUVENILE								
On Supervision July 1	4,306	4,489 ^a	4,861	5,100 ^a	4.9			18.4
New Cases	5,111	4,864	5,449	5,285	-3.0			3.4
Total Caseload	9,417	9,353	10,310	10,385	.7			10.3
Terminations	4,894	4,492	5,324	5,538	4.0			13.2
On Supervision June 30	4,523	4,861	4,986	4,847	-2.8			7.2
Total Investigations	19,630	19,913	19,856	19,641	-1.1			.1
TOTAL								
On Supervision July 1	12,587	13,268 ^a	15,359 ^a	17,221 ^a	12.1			36.8
New Cases	13,593	13,244	15,474	16,573	7.1			21.9
Total Caseload	26,180	26,512	30,833	33,794	9.6			29.1
Terminations	12,878	11,080	13,767	15,523	12.8			20.5
On Supervision June 30	13,302	15,432	17,066	18,271	7.1			37.4
Total Investigations	34,189	34,404	34,391	35,044	1.9			2.5

^aRevised pending figure.

TABLE LIX. PROBATION DEPARTMENT SUPERVISION
CASELOADS BY DISTRICT - FY 1978-79

	1st		2nd		3rd		4th		5th		6th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	1093	845	2488	932 ^a	88	25	1557	361	84	46	150	63
New Cases	1139	812	2086	1121	28	45	1514	480	148	43	75	20
Total Caseload	2232	1657	4574	2053	116	70	3071	841	232	89	225	83
Terminations	799	889	2496	965	51	44	1276	470	85	63	50	32
On Suprvsn. 6/30	1433	768	2078	1088	65	26	1795	371	147	26	175	51

	7th		8th		9th		10th		11th		12th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	134	34	294	319	185	39	1056 ^a	398	143	173	200	88
New Cases	506	60	136	187	189	58	617	341	226	345	173	105
Total Caseload	640	94	430	506	374	97	1673	739	369	518	373	193
Terminations	241	44	119	251	200	55	775	490	117	317	109	103
On Suprvsn. 6/30	399	50	311	255	174	42	898	249	252	201	264	90

	13th		14th		15th		16th		17th		18th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	121	64	83	47	70	41	94	47	1255	604	1417	442
New Cases	114	46	69	46	48	53	76	37	1081	334	1381	338
Total Caseload	235	110	152	93	118	94	170	84	2336	938	2798	780
Terminations	103	54	58	42	32	65	44	45	977	499	1311	401
On Suprvsn. 6/30	132	56	94	51	86	29	126	39	1359	439	1487	379

	19th		20th		21st		22nd		State	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	808	105	453 ^a	204 ^a	314	187	34	36	12121 ^a	5100 ^a
New Cases	498	134	805	311	356	316	23	59	11288	5285
Total Caseload	1306	239	1258	515	670	497	57	95	23409	10385
Terminations	466	121	387	185	264	337	25	66	9985	5538
On Suprvsn. 6/30	840	118	871	330	406	160	32	29	13424	4847

^aRevised pending figure.

TABLE LX. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATIONS BY DISTRICT, FY 1978-79

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
PR Bond	0	2380	0	900	0	0	0	1	0	413	1	10
County Court Pre-Sentence	838	152	9	626	54	27	70	1	104	573	59	119
District Ct. Pre-Sentence	451	1020	25	901	81	67	67	134	65	183	99	27
Deferred Pros./Def. Sent.	0	649	2	286	10	5	0	6	4	0	58	15
Other	269	257	8	413	11	4	0	0	5	183	20	1
Total Investigations	1558	4458	44	3126	156	103	137	142	178	1352	237	172
											State Total	
PR Bond	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th	20th	21st	22nd		
County Court Pre-Sentence	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	0	0	3719	
District Ct. Pre-Sentence	2	7	0	13	686	310	170	168	94	3	4085	
Deferred Pros./Def. Sent.	63	32	7	34	292	344	239	119	113	18	4381	
Other	15	9	2	11	121	2	81	85	89	9	1459	
Total Investigations	81	69	9	58	1335	909	506	412	323	38	15403	

TABLE LXI. JUVENILE PROBATION DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATIONS BY DISTRICT, FY 1978-79

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
Preliminary	1588	835	8	241	0	16	16	0	0	0	51	50
Intake	1	1087	15	0	7	52	21	1	2	0	290	32
Social Summary (Pre-Dispo.)	246	791	9	405	27	21	32	8	41	53	24	28
Detention	0	5643	10	1	0	14	0	0	0	0	9	26
Other	15	791	2	675	22	18	3	96	4	0	32	26
Total Investigations	1850	9147	44	1322	56	121	72	114	47	53	406	162
											State Total	
Preliminary	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th	20th	21st	22nd		
Intake	3	5	0	0	41	0	913	281	39	8	4095	
Social Summary (Pre-Dispo.)	0	7	38	13	139	338	145	295	151	31	2665	
Detention	22	17	11	20	350	126	132	143	141	40	2687	
Other	0	3	3	0	124	0	181	434	115	13	6585	
Total Investigations	26	37	53	38	2262	628	1414	1183	495	111	19641	

TABLE LXII.

RESTITUTION PAID TO VICTIMS
BY JUVENILE AND ADULT PROBATIONERS
FY 1978-79

<u>District</u>	<u>Amount Disbursed</u>
1	\$183,225.38
Denver Adult	227,239.11
Denver Juvenile	29,377.39
3	6,206.86
4	219,551.13
5	33,328.57
6	5,556.65
7	38,906.53
8	52,153.17
9	31,830.58
10	66,093.96
11	27,216.34
12	34,106.80
13	24,390.94
14	25,458.42
15	16,132.02
16	21,085.22
17	134,906.69
18	135,312.89
19	63,241.75
20	33,824.62
21	100,153.19
22	10,199.56
State Total	\$ 1,519,497.77

S 1035-1

ANNUAL REPORT

Colorado Judiciary

July 1, 1979
to
June 30, 1980



*Office of the
State Court Administrator*

NOV 05 1980



Judicial Department

COLORADO STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING
TWO EAST FOURTEENTH AVENUE
DENVER, COLORADO 80203
(303) 861-1111

JAMES D. THOMAS
STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

E. KEITH STOTT, JR.
DEPUTY STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

October 3, 1980

To the Honorable Members of the Supreme Court:

It is my pleasure to transmit herewith the Annual Report of the Colorado Judiciary for the 1979-1980 fiscal year. The report covers the work of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, district courts, water courts, county courts and probation departments. In addition, the report contains a description of the major developments during the year and a brief analysis of judicial department expenditures.

Special recognition in the preparation of this report should be given to the Planning and Analysis Division of this office and to the many district administrators, probation officers, and court clerks who provided the initial information from which the compilations and analyses contained herein were derived.

Sincerely,

James D. Thomas
State Court Administrator

ANNUAL REPORT
OF THE
COLORADO JUDICIARY

JULY 1, 1979
TO
JUNE 30, 1980

Office of the State Court Administrator
Two East Fourteenth Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203

Paul V. Hodges
Chief Justice
Colorado Supreme Court

James D. Thomas
State Court Administrator

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHIEF JUSTICE'S MESSAGE	1
THE SUPREME COURT	2
THE COURT OF APPEALS	3
CHIEF JUDGES	4
THE COLORADO JUDICIARY	7
COURT JURISDICTION	21
PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES	35
BUDGET AND FISCAL SUMMARY	49
SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY	53
APPENDIX: TABLES	A1




PAUL V. HODGES

CHIEF JUSTICE OF COLORADO

"The Colorado Judiciary is daily meeting new challenges to preserve the gains achieved in recent years. Colorado is in the forefront of leading and influencing court systems in other states to prepare programs to further the modernization and sophistication of the judicial progress...

"Aristotle once said that jurisprudence is the principal and most perfect branch of ethics. Our constitutional system requires that the courts stand firm against any attack upon that system. The courts offer refuge to the helpless and weak in our society, and guarantee to all justice under the law. Our responsibility is awesome. The preservation of our way of life rests in our hands. Please join me in maintaining the Colorado Judiciary at the highest plane of endeavor. Our goal must be to provide the best possible system of justice. All around the world, the judicial and legal systems are facing critical and momentous times. Much is expected of us. I know we can meet the challenge." *Excerpts from Chief Justice Hodges' address to the 1979 Judicial Conference.*

THE SUPREME COURT



Left to right: front: Robert B. Lee, Chief Justice Paul V. Hodges, William H. Erickson, back: George Lohr, Luis E. Rovira, Jean E. Dubofsky, Joseph R. Quinn.

THE COURT OF APPEALS




Judge Howard M. Kirshbaum



Judge Ralph H. Coyte



Judge Charles D. Pierce



Judge Alan L. Sternberg



Chief Judge David W. Enoch



Judge Donald P. Smith, Jr.



Judge Aurel M. Kelly



Judge Edwin P. VanCise



Judge Norman E. Berman



Judge Edwin G. Ruland

CHIEF JUDGES

FISCAL YEAR 1979 - 80



Daniel J. Shannon
1st District



Joseph N. Lilly
2nd District



Albert J. Tomsic
3rd District



Robert W. Johnson
4th District



William L. Jones
5th District



William S. Eakes
6th District



Jerry D. Lincoln
7th District



J. Robert Miller
8th District



Gavin D. Litwiller
9th District



Matt J. Kikel
10th District



Max C. Wilson
11th District



Whitford W. Myers
12th District



Dean Johnson
13th District



Claus J. Hume
14th District



Robert F. Sanderson
15th District



Lewis T. Babcock
16th District

CHIEF JUDGES

FISCAL YEAR 1979 - 80



Jean J. Jacobucci
17th District



Marcus O. Shivers, Jr.
18th District



Robert A. Behrman
19th District



Rex H. Scott
20th District



James J. Carter
21st District



Willard W. Rusk, Jr.
22nd District



Charles E. Bennett
Denver Superior Court



Orrelle R. Weeks
Denver Juvenile Court



James R. Wade
Denver Probate Court

THE COLORADO JUDICIARY

THE SUPREME COURT

CHIEF JUSTICE

Paul V. Hodges

JUSTICES

James K. Groves¹

Robert B. Lee

William H. Erickson

Luis D. Rovira

Jean Dubofsky²

George E. Lohr³

Joseph R. Quinn⁴

¹Deceased 4/6/80

²Replaced Edward E. Pringle 7/10/79

³Replaced Jim R. Carrigan 11/20/79

⁴Replaced the late James K. Groves 5/14/80

THE COURT OF APPEALS

CHIEF JUDGE

David W. Enoch

JUDGES

Ralph H. Coyte

Charles D. Pierce

Donald P. Smith, Jr.

Edwin G. Ruland

Norman E. Berman

Edwin P. Van Cise

Aurel M. Kelly

Alan L. Sternberg

Howard M. Kirshbaum¹

¹Replaced Harry S. Silverstein, Jr. 1/11/80

THE DISTRICT COURTS

DISTRICT

JUDGE

One

Daniel J. Shannon, Chief Judge
 Ronald J. Hardesty
 Joseph P. Lewis
 Gaspar Franz Perricone
 Michael C. Villano
 Anthony F. Vollack
 Robert K. Willison
 Winston W. Wolvington

Two

Joseph N. Lilly, Chief Judge
 Gilbert A. Alexander
 Susan Graham Barnes
 John Brooks, Jr.
 Edward E. Carelli¹
 Roger Cisneros
 James C. Flanigan
 Clifton A. Flowers
 Robert P. Fullerton
 Raymond D. Jones
 Robert T. Kingsley
 Alvin D. Lichtenstein
 Karen S. Metzger
 Leonard P. Plank
 Joseph R. Quinn²
 Harold D. Reed
 Sandra I. Rothenberg³
 John F. Sanchez⁴
 Henry E. Santo
 Daniel B. Sparr

Denver
 Juvenile

Orrelle R. Weeks, Presiding Judge
 Morris E. Cole
 Dana U. Wakefield⁵

Denver
 Superior

Charles E. Bennett

Denver
 Probate

James R. Wade

¹Replaced George N. McNamara 1/24/80

²Appointed to the Colorado Supreme Court 5/14/80

³Replaced Zita L. Weinshienk 11/1/79

⁴Replaced Howard M. Kirshbaum 1/24/80

⁵Replaced Jon L. Lawritson 1/11/80

DISTRICT

JUDGE

Three

Albert J. Tomsic, Chief Judge
Harry R. Sayre⁶

Four

Robert W. Johnson, Chief Judge
Bernard R. Baker
William M. Calvert
Donald E. Campbell
Joe A. Cannon
John F. Gallagher
Richard V. Hall
Hunter D. Hardeman
Matt M. Railey⁷
William E. Rhodes

Five

William L. Jones, Chief Judge
Richard H. Hart⁸

Six

William S. Eakes, Chief Judge
Frederic B. Emigh

Seven

Jerry D. Lincoln, Chief Judge
Robert A. Brown

Eight

J. Robert Miller, Chief Judge
William F. Dressel
John A. Price
John-David Sullivan

Nine

Gavin D. Litwiller, Chief Judge
Judson E. DeVilbiss
Thomas W. Ossola⁹

Ten

Matt J. Kikel, Chief Judge
Donald F. Abram
Philip J. Cabibi
Thomas F. Phelps
Richard D. Robb
Jack F. Seavy

Eleven

Max C. Wilson, Chief Judge
Howard E. Purdy

⁶Appointed Chief Judge effective 6/16/80

⁷Replaced George M. Gibson 8/10/79

⁸Replaced Vasco G. Seavy, Jr., 3/3/80

⁹Replaced George E. Lohr 1/2/80

DISTRICT	JUDGE
Twelve	Whitford W. Myers, Chief Judge Robert W. Ogburn
Thirteen	Dean Johnson, Chief Judge Carl J. Absmeier ¹⁰ Waino Johnson James R. Leh
Fourteen	Claus J. Hume, Chief Judge John J. Wilkinson
Fifteen	Robert F. Sanderson, Chief Judge John C. Statler
Sixteen	Lewis T. Babcock, Chief Judge Fred E. Sisk ¹¹
Seventeen	Jean J. Jacobucci, Chief Judge Dorothy E. Binder Harlan R. Bockman Abraham Bowling James J. Delaney Oyer G. Leary
Eighteen	Marcus O. Shivers, Jr., Chief Judge Richard L. Eason Charles A. Friedman ¹² John P. Gately Richard D. Greene Richard L. Kaylor Robert F. Kelley George B. Lee, Jr. Joyce S. Steinhardt ¹³
Nineteen	Robert A. Behrman, Chief Judge John J. Althoff Hugh H. Arnold Jonathan W. Hays
Twenty	Rex H. Scott, Chief Judge Richard W. Dana Horace B. Holmes William D. Neighbors Murray Richtel

¹⁰ Replaced Francis L. Shallenberger 1/1/80

¹¹ Replaced Lawrence Thulemeyer 1/26/80

¹² Replaced the late William B. Naugle 11/1/79

¹³ Appointed to a new position 8/3/79

DISTRICT

Twenty-one

Twenty-two

JUDGE

James J. Carter, Chief Judge
Charles A. Buss
William M. Ela

Willard W. Rusk, Jr., Chief Judge

THE WATER COURTS

WATER DIVISION

One

Two

Three

Four

Five

Six

Seven

Special

JUDGE

Robert A. Behrman

John C. Statler

Robert W. Ogburn

Robert A. Brown

Gavin D. Litwiller¹

Claus Hume

William S. Eakes

Marcus O. Shivers, Jr.

¹Replaced George E. Lohr 1/2/80

THE COUNTY COURTS

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
One	Gilpin Jefferson	Andrew J. Krodshen* Kim H. Goldberger Francis C. Jackson Joseph E. Maker Henry E. Nieto James D. Zimmerman
Two	Denver	Larry L. Bohning ^{1**} Brian Campbell ^{2**} Theodore H. Chrysler** Robert H. Close John Coughlin ^{3**} Robert B. Crew, Jr. Irving Ettenberg Anthony F. Greco Samuel M. Kirbens Larry M. Lopez George A. Manerbino Gregory A. Mueller Edward A. Simons** Ted Soja** James D. Urso L. Paul Weadick
Three	Huerfano Las Animas	Floyd K. Murr George A. Newnam ⁴
Four	El Paso Teller	Peter W. Booth ⁵ Marilyn C. Martin Jerry C. Nelson David D. Parrish Jack L. Roeser Richard C. Webster Margaret T. Tekavee*

¹Replaced Dan D. Diamond 1/7/80

²Replaced John F. Sanchez 3/10/80

³Replaced Edward E. Carelli 3/10/80

⁴Replaced the late Robert G. Bailey 7/20/79

⁵Replaced Matt M. Railey 7/26/79

* Nonattorney

** These six judges in Denver County Court handle municipal ordinances only and thus their caseloads are not reflected in our statistics.

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
Five	Clear Creek	George R. Gaubatz
	Eagle	Roland L. Gerard*
	Lake	James B. O'Toole+*
	Summit	Joseph A. Fattor
Six		Jewell Kaye Smith ⁶
	Archuleta	Bert E. Hyde*
	La Plata	James D. Childress ⁷
Seven	San Juan	Cynthia K. S. Francisco*
	Delta	Frederick L. French
	Gunnison	John M. Levin
	Hinsdale	Larry Everett Vickers*
	Montrose	Gordon N. Barrett#*
Eight		Richard J. Brown
	Ouray	Paul David Smith ⁸
	San Miguel	Thomas A. Goldsmith ⁹
	Jackson	John A. Lustig
	Larimer	Don Leland Nelson
Nine		Ronald L. Schultz
	Garfield	William E. Smoke
		Stephen L. Carter#
	Pitkin	Victor M. Zerbi, Sr. ¹⁰
	Rio Blanco	Fitzhugh Scott III ¹¹
Ten		Keith F. Dunbar*
		Charles T. Lupton, Jr.##
	Pueblo	Gordon R. Cooper
		Eugene T. Halaas, Jr.
		John R. Tracey

⁶Replaced Leon R. Hetherington 4/1/80

⁷Replaced David W. Duncan 1/2/80

⁸Replaced Philip F. Icke 1/15/80

⁹Replaced Mary Ellen Inama 1/1/80

¹⁰Replaced Thomas M. Ossola 2/13/80

¹¹Replaced Robert P. Grueter 1/1/80

* Nonattorney

+ Assistant County Judge

Associate County Judge

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
Eleven	Chaffee Custer Fremont Park	Mack Witty Whitney B. Sullivan* Wallace Lundquist Stanley J. Mayhew
Twelve	Alamosa Conejos Costilla Mineral Rio Grande Saguache	Jean Paul Jones Susan I. Broyles* Henry Leo Lobato* Robert M. Wardell* Gordon H. Rowe, Jr. Michael H. Trujillo
Thirteen	Kit Carson Logan Morgan Phillips Sedgwick Washington Yuma	J. Curt Penny, Jr. Baxter W. Arnold Edgar H. Brandenburg David Colver ¹² Max Eldon Carlson Royal C. Donnen Kent J. Fennie
Fourteen	Grand Moffat Routt	Larry L. Peterson ¹³ * Hubert J. Mathers* Joann K. Neal+* Roger D. Borland
Fifteen	Baca Cheyenne Kiowa Prowers	Warren E. Schmidt Earl T. Hogan* Keith E. Wissel* John J. Lefferdink
Sixteen	Bent Crowley Otero	Thomas F. Marmon William T. Jones* George Lee Strain
Seventeen	Adams	Thomas R. Ensor Maurine Hallock John F. Horan Michael A. Obermeyer Howard J. Otis

¹²Replaced Carl J. Absmeier 1/1/80

¹³Replaced R. Gordon Hoffman 7/1/79

* Nonattorney

+ Assistant County Judge

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
Eighteen	Arapahoe	Richard B. Cossaboom
		Thomas C. Levi
		Chris G. Rallis
		Ralph C. Taylor
	Douglas	Richard D. Turelli
		Donna Marie Kirby*
		Garnet E. Foster*
Nineteen	Weld	Alvin A. Borg, Jr.
		Scott Clugston
		Willis K. Kulp
Twenty	Boulder	Martin I. Steinberg
		David R. Torke
		Marsha B. Yeager
Twenty-one	Mesa	Vance O. Kilmer
		Harold P. Moss
Twenty-two	Dolores	W. Paul Spitzer*
		George R. Armstrong
	Montezuma	

* Nonattorney

COURT JURISDICTION

INTRODUCTION

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. A special probate court and juvenile court exist in the City and County of Denver, along with a separate superior court. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.

The state judicial system was reorganized in 1965 as a result of a constitutional amendment adopted in 1962. The county court as it existed prior to the amendment was eliminated, and juvenile, probate, and mental health jurisdiction was transferred to district court, except in the City and County of Denver, where separate juvenile and probate courts were created. The amendment also clarified the appellate jurisdiction, supervisory and administrative authority, and rule-making powers of the Supreme Court.

Another constitutional amendment approved in 1966 established a system in which candidates for judgeships are screened by local nominating commissions and vacancies are filled by appointments. Justices and judges then run for retention in office on noncompetitive ballots. This amendment also created a Judicial Qualifications Commission with authority to recommend to the Supreme Court the removal or retirement of a justice or judge of a court of record for willful misconduct and other specific reasons in the amendment.

In 1970, the State of Colorado assumed the full responsibility for funding all courts of record, including juvenile and adult probation, other than the Denver County Court and municipal courts. A statewide public defender system was initiated and became funded by the state at the same time.

THE SUPREME COURT

The Colorado Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve ten-year terms. The number of justices may be increased to nine upon request of the Court and concurrence of two-thirds of the members of each house of the General Assembly. Justices of the Supreme Court must be qualified electors of the state and licensed to practice law in this state for at least five years prior to their appointment. The Court selects a chief justice from among its members, and the Chief Justice serves at the pleasure of the Court.

Generally, the Supreme Court's original jurisdiction is restricted to special writs. Appellate review by the Supreme Court of final judgments of the district courts, the Denver Probate Court, the Denver Superior Court, and the Denver Juvenile Court is a matter of right; however, the constitution does not prescribe the method of appellate review. The Supreme Court has initial appellate jurisdiction over: cases in which the constitutionality of a statute, a municipal charter provision, or an ordinance is in question; cases concerned with decisions or actions of the Public Utilities Commission; writs of habeas corpus; water cases involving priorities or adjudications; and summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code. The Supreme Court also has certiorari review over appeals which lie initially to the Court of Appeals or to a district court or in the Denver Superior Court.

County court appeals lie first to the district court (or the Denver Superior Court in appeals from the Denver County Court). Further review by the Supreme Court may be had only upon a writ of certiorari issued in the discretion of the Supreme Court.

The judicial article of the constitution also requires the Supreme Court to give its opinion "upon important questions upon solemn occasions" when required by the Governor, the Senate, or the House of Representatives.

The Supreme Court may sit en banc or in departments, but the Court must sit en banc to hear any case involving the United States or Colorado constitutions. When the Court sits in departments, each department has the full power and authority of the Court in the determination of causes, issuance of writs, and the exercise of all powers, subject to the general control of the Court en banc. Any

decisions by a department of the Supreme Court must be concurred in by three members.

In addition, the Supreme Court promulgates rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal cases and governing the administration of all courts. The only exception to this authority is that the General Assembly may provide by statute simplified procedures in county courts for claims not exceeding \$500 and in the trials of misdemeanors.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

The Court of Appeals is composed of ten judges who serve eight-year terms and have the same qualifications as Supreme Court justices. The Court of Appeals sits in divisions of three judges to hear and determine all matters before the Court. The Chief Justice appoints one judge of the Court of Appeals to be Chief Judge of that Court. The Chief Judge assigns the judges to the three divisions and rotates these assignments from time to time. Besides handling administrative duties, the Chief Judge provides backup coverage for all of the divisions by substituting during vacations, illnesses, and disqualifications. The Court of Appeals is located in Denver, but a division may sit in any county seat to hear oral argument.

The Court of Appeals has initial appellate jurisdiction over appeals from final judgments of the district courts and the Denver Probate, Juvenile, and Superior Courts, except for those matters which lie directly to the Supreme Court. The Court of Appeals does not have any original jurisdiction as such, but has the authority to issue any writs, directives, orders, and mandates necessary to the determination of cases within its jurisdiction. The court reviews sentences in criminal cases under the presumptive sentencing provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The Court of Appeals also has initial jurisdiction over appeals from awards or actions of the Industrial Commission in workmen's compensation and unemployment compensation cases and appeals regarding charters for new state banks granted or denied by the Banking Board. In addition the Court has jurisdiction to review actions of the state boards of medical examiners and dental examiners in refusing to grant, or in revoking or suspending a license, or in placing a holder of a license on probation. The Court also has initial jurisdiction to review actions of the Civil Rights Commission, Insurance Commissioner, and actions of school boards under the Teacher Tenure Act.

Appeals from decisions of the Court of Appeals lie to the Supreme Court by writ of certiorari. In addition, the Court of Appeals may certify a case to the Supreme Court prior to final determination under certain circumstances; the Supreme Court may refuse to accept cases so referred. The Supreme Court may also order the Court of Appeals to certify any case before the Court of Appeals to the Supreme Court for final determination.

THE DISTRICT COURTS

Judges appointed to a district bench serve in any or all of the counties within that district, as assigned by the chief judge of that district. There are 107 judges serving in 22 judicial districts and the special courts in Denver. In some districts, depending upon the size and geography of the particular district, some counties do not have a resident district judge.

District court judges must be qualified electors of the district in which they are appointed and must have been licensed to practice law in Colorado for five years prior to their appointment. District judges serve six-year terms.

GENERAL JURISDICTION

The district courts are Colorado's trial courts of general jurisdiction. District courts have trial jurisdiction in domestic relations, civil, juvenile, probate, mental health, and criminal cases, except in the City and County of Denver, where probate and mental health matters are heard by the Probate Court and juvenile matters by the Juvenile Court.

District courts have appellate jurisdiction over final judgments of county courts except in Denver where county court appeals are heard in the Superior Court.

The court reviews such cases on the record, except that, in its discretion, it may remand the case for a new trial with such instructions as it may deem necessary, or it may hear the case de novo itself. If a municipal court is a court of record, its appeals lie to the district court in its county in the same manner as county court appeals.

The jurisdiction of the Denver District Court is different from other district courts because of three special courts. The Denver Probate Court has exclusive jurisdiction within the city and county over all matters of probate and the adjudication of the mentally ill. The Denver Juvenile Court has exclusive jurisdiction over juvenile matters arising in the city and county, including delinquency, children in need of oversight, dependency and neglect, relinquishment, adoption, parentage, and support. The Denver Superior Court, created by statute, has original jurisdiction concurrent with the district court in civil actions where the amount involved is not less than \$1,000 nor more than \$5,000. The court also has appellate jurisdiction over cases appealed from the Denver County Court.

The judges of these three special courts must have the same qualifications, serve the same length of time, and are subject to the same requirements for appointment and retention in office as are district judges.

WATER JURISDICTION

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 set up seven water divisions according to drainage patterns of the rivers in the state. Each division was assigned a water engineer and in each division the location of a court was designated to investigate and rule on all water matters in that division. Water judges are district judges appointed by the Supreme Court to hear these matters. Water records of the seven divisions are located in the following cities and counties:

Division 1	Greeley	Weld County
Division 2	Pueblo	Pueblo County
Division 3	Alamosa	Alamosa County
Division 4	Montrose	Montrose County
Division 5	Glenwood Springs	Garfield County
Division 6	Steamboat Springs	Routt County
Division 7	Durango	La Plata County

The water judges have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, uses and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction.

THE COUNTY COURTS

As of this report, 109 judges serve in the county courts, including Denver. They serve four-year terms, and their qualifications are set by statute. In the metropolitan counties or counties with large caseloads, county judges are required to be attorneys, licensed to practice in Colorado, and serve full time. In all other counties, county judges are not required to be lawyers, but must be high school graduates. (Presently, 23 county judges are not lawyers.) If judges are licensed attorneys, they may engage in the practice of law in courts other than the county court. Qualified judges also may serve as substitute district court judges in their own districts if so appointed by the chief judge, or may serve temporarily outside their districts by appointment of the Chief Justice. Each county judge must be a resident of the county in which his court is located, and every county court has at least one judge.

County courts are courts of limited jurisdiction, as set by statute. With certain exceptions, they have concurrent original jurisdiction with district courts in civil actions (including torts)

in which the debt, damage, or the value of the personal property claimed does not exceed \$1,000.

The small claims division of the county court hears civil actions in which the debt, damage, tort, injury, or value of personal property does not exceed \$500. Parties may be represented by attorneys, jury trials are not permitted, and judges or referees hearing the cases are not bound by formal rules of procedure or evidence other than those adopted by the Supreme Court specifically for the small claims court.

County courts have concurrent original jurisdiction with district courts over misdemeanors and the issuance of warrants, conduct of preliminary examinations, and setting bail.

County courts also have appellate jurisdiction over municipal courts not of record. Such cases are tried de novo in county courts. (Appeals from municipal courts of record are heard in the district court on the record.)

THE MUNICIPAL COURTS

There are approximately 250 municipal judges in Colorado. Where feasible, they must be lawyers, otherwise, the minimum qualification is graduation from high school.

The Constitution authorizes the creation of municipal courts in home rule cities, and charter provisions or ordinances governing the operation of these courts supersede statutes except as to rules of procedure promulgated by the Supreme Court, the right to trial by jury, appellate procedure, and the method of compensating judges. Colorado statutes also require municipal courts in towns and cities, and set out general provisions governing municipal court operation.

Municipal court jurisdiction is limited to municipal ordinance violations. Appeals from municipal courts are handled two ways: if the municipal court is a court of record the appeal is heard by the district court on the record; if the municipal court is not of record the appeal is heard by trial de novo in the county court.

Because municipal courts are funded and administered on a local basis, statistics on their operations are not included in this report.

ADMINISTRATION

The Constitution specifies the Chief Justice as the executive

head of the judicial system. The Constitution requires the Supreme Court to appoint a state court administrator and such other personnel as the Supreme Court may deem necessary to administer the courts. The State Court Administrator's Office handles a wide variety of administrative functions for the entire judicial system, including budgeting, fiscal administration, public communications, planning, personnel, research and statistics, data processing, facilities management, and general support functions for the trial courts. In addition, it serves as liaison to various committees and boards created by the Supreme Court.

The Chief Justice appoints a chief judge from the district judges in each judicial district. The chief judge is the primary administrative authority of the district, and exercises substantial executive authority delegated by the Chief Justice. In each district, the chief judge and the other district judges appoint a district administrator who is responsible for the day-to-day administration of the courts in the district. Other administrative and clerical tasks are performed by clerks, deputy clerks, and local support personnel. Administration of probation services is carried out by chief probation officers and probation staff. On a statewide basis, approximately 1,400 people perform these non-judicial services.

PROBATION

Probation is a dispositional/sentencing alternative available to the courts. The offender serves his or her sentence in the community, subject to the conditions imposed by the court, supervised by a probation officer, rather than in a correctional institution. Should the probationer breach the conditions imposed, the court may revoke probation and institutionalize the offender, or modify the conditions of probation.

Supervision of adult offenders may result from probation being granted as a sentence or incarceration being ordered and then suspended, with the defendant being placed under the supervision of the probation department. Deferred judgment and sentence orders place the defendant under the probation department's supervision for a specified period, and defendants under deferred prosecution may also be supervised by the probation department.


Juvenile offenders may be supervised under an informal adjustment, continued adjudication, and probation.

In addition, probation officers are responsible for providing to the court background investigative information on persons brought before the court.

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART


OF THE

COLORADO JUDICIAL SYSTEM



* This chart is representational. There are 22 judicial districts.

[illegible]



WATER DISTRICTS of COLORADO

PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES

THE SUPREME COURT

The period July 1, 1979 through June 30, 1980, will be noted as a time of change and transition in the composition of the Colorado Supreme Court. Former Chief Justice Edward E. Pringle retired July 10, 1979, Justice Jim R. Carrigan resigned effective October 10, 1979, to assume the office of United States District Court Judge for the District of Colorado, and Deputy Chief Justice James K. Groves died unexpectedly on April 6, 1980. Those three prominent members of the Court were replaced by Jean E. Dubofsky, Colorado's first woman justice, George E. Lohr and Joseph R. Quinn, both former district court judges. Justice William H. Erickson was named Deputy Chief Justice.

During FY 1979-80, the Court adopted the Colorado Rules of Evidence which became effective on January 1, 1980. The adoption of the rules culminated a six year study in conjunction with the Colorado Bar Association. The study included three public hearings.

The Colorado Supreme Court Board of Mandatory Continuing Legal and Judicial Education completed its first full year of operation. Implementation and compliance with the rules governing CLE has been effective and efficient.

In conjunction with the Supreme Court Grievance Committee, Board of Continuing Legal and Judicial Education, and the Colorado State Board of Law Examiners, the Clerk of the Supreme Court and staff have begun planning for the implementation of an on-line computer system to handle the annual registration of all Colorado attorneys. Initial system development is being conducted in conjunction with the State Court Administrator's Office. In addition

to attorney registration, the program will track each attorney's compliance with CLE requirements. Compatible systems will record discipline and admissions information.

The Court began experimenting with the use of data processing equipment in assisting in the preparation of written opinions. The system, now being used by Deputy Chief Justice Erickson's staff, has proved to be a time-saver. Expansion of the use of data processing equipment is now being studied by the Court.

In sum, FY 1979-80 was another busy year for the Colorado Supreme Court. Increased caseload, active judicial nominating commissions, and demanding administrative responsibilities and duties have been the daily rule. In response, the Court continues its innovative attitude of seeking new methods to assist in maintaining an excellent record of great productivity.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

The Honorable Harry S. Silverstein, Jr., the Court's Chief Judge since 1970, stepped down from that post on July 1, 1979. The former Chief Judge guided the Court through its creation and its expansion in size and jurisdiction. He was instrumental in the implementation of various programs designed to increase appellate efficiency. The Honorable David W. Enoch was appointed to the Chief Judge post by the Chief Justice effective July 1, 1979. Chief Judge Enoch has been a Court of Appeals judge since 1970 and his administrative skills assisted the Court in disposing of more cases in FY 1979-80 than in any previous year. Previously Judge Enoch had been a district judge in the 4th Judicial District.

Judge Silverstein remained with the Court as an associate judge through December of 1979, at which time he retired from the Court as a full time judge. The Honorable Howard M. Kirshbaum was appointed to replace Judge Silverstein in January, 1980. Judge Kirshbaum had been a judge in the District Court of the City and County of Denver.

Fiscal year 1979-80 marked the second year of the Court's accelerated docket program. This program was sponsored by the National Center for State Courts as one of the demonstration sites of the Appellate Justice Improvement Project made possible through a grant from LEAA. Approximately 44 percent of the FY 1979-80 civil docket was placed on the accelerated docket. The impact of the program is being studied by the Court and the National Center for State Courts to determine the advisability of its

future use. While the evaluation shows that the accelerated docket has increased the Court's output, its impact on the remainder of the Court's docket is being studied.

LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM

The 1980 session of the Colorado General Assembly convened on January 2 and received three separate messages from the Governor outlining items to properly be considered. Good preparatory work and several time-saving and expedient rules kept the session relatively short, with adjournment coming in early May.

Bills of concern to the courts are divided into four general categories: Administrative and personnel; civil and criminal procedure; juvenile; and traffic.

Two new judgeships were created, effective July 1, 1980 - a district judge for the Eleventh District and a county judge for Boulder County. Judicial salaries were raised in House Bill 1218, which included, for the first time, a formula for compensation of part-time county judges. Responsibility for fixing salaries for judges of the Denver County Court was given to the City Council and removed from state law. Fringe benefits increased in several areas, including a raise in auto mileage, more state contribution to health insurance, and enhanced retirement percentages. A supplemental appropriations bill was passed, including money for court-appointed counsel. The "long bill" appropriated approximately four million dollars more to the Judicial Department than in the previous fiscal year. Additional personnel for trial courts and probation was authorized, and a substantial amount was set aside toward equalizing probation officers' salaries, to be better related to similar positions in the executive branch.

Included in bills pertaining to civil matters was the displaced homemakers' bill, incorporating a \$5 fee in dissolution of marriage cases. Among criminal procedure bills were several defining crimes or amending certain matters in the Criminal Code. The issue of child abuse was considered and some legislative changes enacted. Escort services were defined and penalties enacted for violation. No substantive alteration of the Criminal Code was on the 1980 agenda.

A few bills were passed relating to juvenile matters, including two relating to child abuse. Only three minor bills pertaining to traffic became law.

An interim judiciary committee was created by resolution and charged with the duty of studying several items including: a study of the Judicial Qualifications Commission; rule-making authority

of the Supreme Court; a study of the court-appointed counsel and public defender system; a review of the publication process for rules and regulations, including the judicial branch; a study of Colorado laws concerning mentally ill, domestic abuse, definition of insanity and commitment procedures; a study of the regulation of condominiums and time-sharing systems.

Extensive liaison between the Judicial Department and the legislature has taken place, including many meetings and luncheon discussions involving the Chief Justice, the State Court Administrator, staff members, and members of the General Assembly. Judges and court personnel throughout the state have generally kept in touch with local legislators to keep them advised of what is happening. Judiciary and Joint Budget Committees have met with the Chief Justice and Judicial Department officials at the State Judicial Building to establish and maintain lines of communication. Their meetings have proven to be very productive. Judges from various districts have testified before legislative committees and met with legislators on occasion.

THE JUDICIAL PLANNING COUNCIL

In 1977, the Judicial Planning Committee was created to comply with the requirements of the Federal Omnibus Crime Control & Safe Streets Act, as amended. In 1979, by Chief Justice Directive the Judicial Planning Council was created in place of the committee and was charged with the following duties:

- 1) to study the needs and problems of the judicial system;
- 2) to define problems and recommend improvements in the judicial system and its administration;
- 3) to suggest priorities in achieving the recommended improvements;
- 4) to provide policy guidance and supervision for programs and activities as directed by the Chief Justice; and
- 5) to coordinate planning efforts among groups and associations concerned with improvements in the judicial system.

During FY 1979-80 several committees of the Judicial Planning Council were created. Those committees include: the Committee on Administration; the Committee on Law Library Standards; the Committee on Public Education; the Committee on Adjudication; the Committee on Training; and the Committee on Judicial Performance.

COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION

The Committee on Administration was instrumental in initiating the cost model approach to the judicial budget. In addition to

using the cost model approach for setting performance standards for judges and court personnel, the Committee undertook several other tasks during the past year. The first was in setting standards for the allocation of operating dollars. These standards were based on cost per case.

Secondly, the State Court Administrator's Office, working with the Committee, developed a new capital outlay procedure for budget requests and provided statistical data to the Interim Committee on Judiciary concerning part-time county judges' salaries.

Other activities in which the Committee is currently involved include water court standards and statistical reporting.

COMMITTEE ON LAW LIBRARY STANDARDS

The Committee on Law Library Standards made its preliminary report to the Judicial Planning Council during this fiscal year. Included in that report was a determination of the existing status, needs and costs of state-funded local law libraries; and development of alternatives and costs for the existing law library system. A final report will be presented in FY 1980-81.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC EDUCATION

In 1977, a needs assessment conducted by the Judicial Planning Committee showed that the public image of the courts was the major concern of most court employees. The Public Education Committee of the Judicial Planning Council contracted with the firm of Yankelovich, Skelly & White, Inc., to determine the information needs of the public actors in the court process. Jurors, parties, and witnesses were surveyed. The study found:

- 1) victims and witnesses had the lowest pre-experience opinion of courts and became even more negative after their court experience;
- 2) plaintiffs and defendants reported a negative impact from their court experience;
- 3) municipal defendants were among the least knowledgeable about court proceedings and court-related information. More than one in four of such defendants became more negative through their experience; and
- 4) jurors are among the most knowledgeable and are least likely to come away with negative opinions.

The study further concluded that more information must be provided on:

- 1) how courts work including their procedures, mandates, and the order of events;
- 2) qualifications for legal aid;

- 3) selection and normal length of service of jurors;
- 4) plea bargaining and other pleading procedures;
- 5) information on the filing of cases; and
- 6) the role and responsibilities of various court participants.

In addition, there is a major need for up-to-date information on case postponements and continuances. A procedure to do this efficiently would answer the often-voiced complaint about wasted time.

Based on the results of this study, the Committee will develop a public education program which will better meet the information needs of court users.

COMMITTEE ON ADJUDICATION

The Committee on Adjudication has contracted for a study of the jurisdiction of the Colorado courts. The study will make recommendations to solve the problems of the overlapping jurisdictions of the trial and appellate courts. It will further provide an analysis of the nondispute-resolving duties now performed by judges and will make recommendations as to agencies where those duties might be transferred. The underlying goal of the study is to develop recommendations which will result in more effective and economical use of judicial resources.

COMMITTEE ON TRAINING

The Committee on Training conducted a survey to determine the training needs of all court personnel. Questionnaires addressing various training techniques, topics, and approaches were sent to all employees. The results of the questionnaires will provide general guidelines for training programs.

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE

The Committee's work officially began with its creation in June, 1979. In addition to many meetings, the Committee conducted seven "town meetings" in Greeley, Grand Junction, Durango, Pueblo, Colorado Springs, Denver, and Boulder. The Committee activities and public meetings, all of which were designed to encourage broad-based input, involved more than 200 citizens from diverse backgrounds.

The Committee has: listened to presentations on evaluation methods including an intensive review of the Denver Bar Association judicial survey; held a discussion with representatives of the news media and public relations experts to discuss the dissemination of information about judicial performance; considered the possible effects of the criminal sentencing act on aspects of performance evaluation related to criminal sentencing; talked with

state representatives interested in judicial performance evaluation; heard presentations from the League of Women Voters and other interested groups; and discussed citizen-oriented evaluation methods such as juror surveys and court-watching.

In addition, a survey of judges about performance evaluation was conducted in late September. Extensive research of evaluation programs in Alaska and New Jersey and the District of Columbia, and methods used in government and industry to evaluate executive performance was also conducted.

Final recommendations will be presented to the Judicial Planning Council in August, 1980.

TRIAL COURTS

VISITING AND RETIRED JUDGES

The judges of Colorado trial courts are assisted in their work by both visiting judges from other courts and retired judges. Under the Colorado Constitution, the Chief Justice may assign a judge to another court when he thinks such assignment would facilitate the disposition of judicial business. Retired judges serve under one of two statutory provisions. Under one section, retired judges may be assigned to temporary judicial duties and are compensated at the daily rate of a regular full-time active judge. Under a second section, eligible retired judges who agree to serve 60 days of temporary service during a twelve-month period receive a 20 percent increase in their judicial retirement pay.

During FY 1979-80, 18 retired judges participated in the 60-day service program and provided over 980 days of temporary service in the district and county courts of Colorado. Three of those judges had served on the Colorado Supreme Court, one served on the Court of Appeals, 11 served in district courts, and two had been county court judges prior to retiring.

Retired and visiting judges are appointed for a variety of reasons, including accumulation of judicial business, illness or death of an active judge, and disqualification of one or more judges.

JUDGE AND COURT STAFF DEVELOPMENT

Training in new skills and upgrading of existing skills is recognized as one method for increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of the services provided by the judicial branch of government. Colorado's training program, administered by the State Court Administrator's Office, is supported by two LEAA grants. One grant is for the training of judges and the other for probation and trial

court personnel.

The judges' training grant sponsored the attendance of 23 judges at the National Judicial College in Reno, Nevada and the attendance of seven judges at national appellate judges seminars. It also provided partial funding for the state judicial conference, and judges were given the opportunity to attend in-service workshops and seminars.

The probation and trial court personnel training grant provided orientation, in-service, and management and supervisory training. Management and supervisory workshop topics include problem-solving, budgeting, leadership skills, decision-making, performance appraisal, and conflict resolution. In-service topics included counseling skills, communication skills, stress management, and civil and criminal procedures. Since July, 1974, 2,452 participants have attended 35,791 hours of training.

BUDGET

During FY 1979-80, a method was devised and procedures implemented to obtain personal services base salary, wage survey, anniversary increase, and health and life insurance cost requirements for each position in the Judicial Department. This enabled the Department and each judicial district to obtain the exact personal services costs, set exact vacancy savings requirements, and monitor vacancy savings accruals. The system was a major factor in obtaining adequate personal services funding for the Judicial Department for FY 1980-81.

FISCAL SERVICES

The Responsibility Report, the Judicial Department's internal accounting system, was changed to the subsidiary ledgers of the State's Central Accounting System known as the Agency Budget Ledger (ABL). In comparison, the new system provides the advantages of automatic posting of expenditures and revenues to the subsidiary ledgers, elimination of the necessity to make entries on both a sub-system and the Central Accounting System, and a smaller report format.

PERSONNEL

The Personnel Services Division of the State Court Administrator's Office began a review of all classifications currently in use in the Colorado judicial personnel system. The purpose of the study is to create, delete, and modify classifications as necessary so that they will be as accurate and up-to-date as possible.

The first phase of the project concerned all clerks of court. A study was conducted early in 1979, and it indicated a need for a clerk of court series rather than the continued use of a multipurpose class. The General Assembly approved the necessary funding to accomplish the

conversion, and the new class series went into effect on July 1, 1980.

COURT FACILITIES

The second edition of the Colorado Long Range Judicial Facility Plan was printed and distributed in FY 1979-80. This edition provides revised estimates of court facility needs for each county to the year 2000, and is based on the population projections of the Department of Local Affairs. The plan was distributed to each board of county commissioners and the city council in Denver. Each judicial district received a copy for its planning needs. It is also reviewed by Colorado Counties, Inc., and the Joint Budget Committee of the Colorado General Assembly.

Construction of new or renovated court facilities was completed or is in process in Alamosa, Arapahoe, Baca, Douglas, El Paso, Fremont, and Gunnison Counties. Planning for new or renovated court facilities is underway in Alamosa, Boulder, Conejos, El Paso, Garfield, Gunnison, Jefferson, Larimer, Logan, Mesa, Morgan, Montezuma, Pueblo, and San Miguel counties.

DISTRICT JUDGES BENCH BOOK

A year-long project to develop, print, and distribute a district judges' bench book was begun in May, 1980. The need for a practical usable manual has long been felt by the trial bench. A committee of five district judges is developing an outline of the subject matter areas to be included. The completed bench book will be a comprehensive compendium of civil and criminal law and procedure. When published, it should promote more efficient use of a judge's time and bring the most up-to-date cases and procedures within easy reach.

JUROR USAGE AND MANAGEMENT INCENTIVE PROGRAM

In October, 1979, Colorado was selected as one of eleven judicial systems to participate in the Juror Usage and Management Incentive Program. The Judicial Department was awarded \$200,000 by LEAA to assess the level of juror usage in five local jury operations, and to implement systems designed to make permanent improvements in those operations. The five locations participating in the program are Brighton, Colorado Springs, Denver, Fort Collins, and Grand Junction.

The purpose of the program is to assess local jury systems in relation to the following twelve elements of jury management:

- 1) the jury system plan;
- 2) source list;
- 3) qualification and summoning;
- 4) exemptions, excuses, and postponements;
- 5) orientation;
- 6) term of service;
- 7) juror pooling;

- 8) standard panel sizes;
- 9) calendar coordination;
- 10) standby panel of jurors;
- 11) voir dire; and
- 12) monitoring and control.

Each of the five courts selected to take part in the program collected data to determine how many jurors were called and how many were used. The results of the data collection will be used by judges, district administrators, and jury commissioners to develop action plans to improve their systems. The project will continue through September 30, 1981.

LETTER SIZE PAPER CONVERSION

The Supreme Court approved a program to investigate the possible conversion of all court documents from legal to letter size paper. A one year test period is underway, with all courts using various letter size forms and documents. An information program has also begun with members of the Colorado Bar and other legal groups. All persons who use the Colorado court system are being invited to offer comments and suggestions.

STANDARD CASE PROCESSING SYSTEM FOR COUNTY COURT

Four additional county courts (Jefferson, Arapahoe, Boulder, and Larimer) implemented the state standard case processing system during the year. This system features standard multi-part forms for indexing, labels, and the case record. Weld County Court is due to implement the system in the fall of 1980.

WATER COURT MODERNIZATION

Rules, statutes, and procedures governing the operation of the seven water courts were reviewed during the year. Recommendations for changes are being presented to the water judges and the Supreme Court for approval. The goal of the project is to develop a more uniform and efficient system for processing water court cases.

INTERNAL AUDIT PROGRAM

Full fiscal and limited management audits were conducted in the following locations: First, El Paso County Court, Sixth, Seventh, Eleventh, Twelfth, Eighteenth, and Twenty-second judicial districts. In addition, investigative audits were conducted in the Alamosa County and Pitkin Combined Courts.

With the addition of two new auditor positions, it is anticipated all judicial districts will be audited bi-annually, with greater emphasis being placed on performance audits.

DATA PROCESSING

Data processing in the Judicial Department underwent a major change during FY 1979-80. In January, 1979, the Chief Justice met with the Governor and legislative leaders to consider a recommendation to consolidate the judicial computer center with that of the executive branch. The recommendation resulted from a year-long study by the State Division of Automated Data Processing, the State Court Administrator's Office, and various criminal justice agencies. It followed the broad guidelines of the state's master plan calling for gradual consolidation of computer centers throughout the State. An accord was reached, and on July 1, the Judicial Department transferred control of its computer to the General Government Computer Center (GGCC). GGCC staff immediately assumed operational responsibility for the computer.

The transfer of the computer made it necessary for the Department to change the basic software programs that provide instructions to the computer. The conversion began in September and continued throughout the year. In addition to the maintenance of existing systems and programs, conversion was the data processing staff's most important and time-consuming project.

In terms of ongoing activities, the majority of all data processing services are performed for trial courts, providing direct assistance for daily case processing functions. On-line case processing has become integrated with the operation of nine of the large front range district courts and three county courts. The remainder of the courts submit data and receive reports that are batch processed.

Other services provide information for management purposes at the state level, such as monthly and annual payroll, budget, responsibility, property management reports, and vouchering. An extensive alimony and support system, managed by the on-line system, accounts for over \$40 million in alimony and support payments made through the courts. The jury selection and attorney registration systems are managed through the data processing division, and district attorney offices in several counties in the Denver metropolitan area have on-line inquiry capabilities for criminal, juvenile, and domestic relations records. The Secretary of State and the Attorney General also use the Judicial Department's data base for some of their activities.

PROBATION

WEIGHTED CASELOAD

Over 12,000 adults per month have received probation supervision over the past three fiscal years. Faced with this volume of workload, it became evident to probation officers and administrative staff that a probationer stratification system to assist in determining the best use of available resources was a critical need.

In 1978, Colorado probation officers developed and implemented a classification system for adult probationers. The system is designed to weight cases, and will assist each probation officer in determining how best to allocate his limited time.

The weighted caseload system takes into consideration the nature of the offense committed; prior criminal record; client stability factors, such as employment history and special problems; availability of community referral resources; and the probation officer's subjective impression of client motivation. The factors were selected to reflect community demand for offender supervision and client need for support services. Based on a numerical score, each client is placed in a supervision level corresponding to the estimated amount of supervision time needed per month to assure community safety and address client needs.

The current instrument is being used throughout the state by all probation officers supervising adults. The scoring is completed at the time the adult is placed under supervision.

The instrument is also being used as a budget tool, to determine and justify the need for probation officers.

Technical assistance has been granted by the National Institute of Corrections for the purposes of verification of the reliability and validity of the classification system; development of an ongoing reassessment capability; and assessment of the feasibility of the instrument serving as the focal point for the development of a caseload management information system.

A similar instrument for juvenile offenders is being developed.

ALCOHOL AND DRUG DRIVING SAFETY PROGRAM

An alcohol and drug driving safety program for each judicial district took effect on October 1, 1979. The programs are administered by the Colorado Division of Highway Safety. Evaluations are required by statute of all Colorado residents convicted of driving under the influence of, or while ability is impaired by, alcohol or drugs. The requirement also applied to defendants receiving deferred prosecution or sentences pursuant to these charges. The evaluations are to identify problem drinkers and to encourage education or treatment as sentencing alternatives for both social and problem drinkers. Alcohol and drug evaluators, certified by the Division of Highway Safety, conduct the evaluations, prepare sentencing recommendations for the courts, and supervise and monitor probationers assigned to education and treatment.

The alcohol and drug driving safety programs are administered by the state probation departments in 18 of the 22 judicial districts under contracts between the Judicial Department and the Division of Highway Safety. The Denver County Court probation department administers the program in the 2nd Judicial District, and other agencies provide program services to the courts in the Seventh, Thirteenth, and Twenty-first judicial districts.

BUDGET & FISCAL SUMMARY

FY 1979 - 1980

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE STATE

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE GENERAL FUND

The Judicial Department collects revenue from a variety of sources composed essentially of three types - fees, fines, and services, such as the \$1 tax per civil case filed and the \$1 tax for each case affecting vital statistics (birth certificates, adoption, change of name, domestic relations, etc.). Cash received is transmitted to the state's general fund.

Tax - Civil Cases	\$ 140,317
Tax - Vital Statistics	33,083
Copy Work, Certifications, etc.	248,641
Water Case Filings	79,281
Civil Docket Fees	3,018,523
Probate Fees	205,120
Water Case Mailings	5,959
Judgment Fees	3,655
Jury Fees	167,318
Criminal Fees, Court Costs, Bond Forfeits	1,217,659
Probation Fees	287,103
Partial Attorney Fee Paid by Indigent	52,259 ^a
Felony, Misdemeanor Fines	784,800
Game, Fish and Parks (50%)	30,275
Miscellaneous Fees	248,641
Unclaimed Funds	9,995
Bad Debt Expense	(184,506)
Collection Service Fees	(6,547)
TOTAL	\$6,341,576

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE HIGHWAY USERS FUND

Traffic Fines and Forfeits	\$2,359,962
D.U.I. - Outside City Limits	455,323
D.U.I. - Inside City Limits (50%)	289,379
Bad Debt Expense	(16,403)
Collection Service Fees	(12,344)
TOTAL	\$3,075,917

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE GAME, FISH AND PARKS FUNDS

Game, Fish and Parks (50%)	\$ 30,096
Collection Service Fees	(39)
TOTAL	\$ 30,057


GRAND TOTAL \$9,447,550

^aThe amount collected (\$52,259) was authorized as an addition to the General Fund appropriation by cash funding.


EXPENDITURES FY 1971-72 THROUGH FY 1979-80

	TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES	JUDICIAL SYSTEM EXPENDITURES FROM THE GENERAL FUND ^a	% OF JUDICIAL TO GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURE
FY 1971-72	\$ 447,242,508	\$ 17,093,633	3.82
FY 1972-73	509,074,634	18,895,926	3.71
FY 1973-74	700,389,391	20,753,573	2.96
FY 1974-75	774,281,729	23,985,106	3.10
FY 1975-76	863,041,322	26,787,411	3.10
FY 1976-77	874,528,000	31,273,172	3.58
FY 1977-78	957,609,000	34,534,408	3.61
FY 1978-79	1,039,745,205	36,186,896	3.48
FY 1979-80	1,173,554,077	38,821,005	3.31

^aDoes not include Public Defender or Judicial/Heritage Complex maintenance.



DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES FOR FY 1979-1980



	STATE GENERAL FUND	AUGMENTING FUNDS	TOTAL FUNDS
SUPREME COURT	\$ 927,540	\$	\$ 927,540
COURT OF APPEALS			
Administration	1,055,459	29,175	1,084,634
Printing opinions of both appellate courts	50,517		50,517
TRIAL COURTS			
Direct Services	27,571,139	72,416	27,643,555
ADP Services	758,776	160,265	919,041
PROBATION	7,521,444	374,088	7,895,532
ADMINISTRATION	936,130	255,017	1,191,147
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	38,821,005	890,961	39,711,966
JUDICIAL/HERITAGE COMPLEX MAINTENANCE	\$219,142		\$219,142
PUBLIC DEFENDER	\$3,637,291	\$271,050	\$3,908,341

SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY

THE APPELLATE COURTS

Despite the outstanding efforts of the appellate justices and judges, the number of cases pending in the appellate courts rose over six percent this year. Although the number of appeals terminated during FY 1979-80 increased, total dispositions were not sufficient to reduce the pending figure. That figure has remained at over 1,500 for the last two fiscal years.

THE SUPREME COURT

For the fifth consecutive year, the Supreme Court has seen an increase in the number of appeals filed. The 950 cases filed in the Court during FY 1979-80 represents a 72 percent increase over FY 1974-75, the first year of the expansion of the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeals. It further represents a slight increase over last year. A 57 percent increase in criminal appeals during FY 1979-80 reflects most of the gain in total appeals filed during the year.

The Court has again maintained its excellent record of disposing of cases, with an average of nearly 128 dispositions per justice this year. Dispositions include cases disposed by written opinion, transfers to the Court of Appeals, and cases disposed of without written opinion. Despite the efforts of the justices in increasing dispositions by two percent, the pending caseload increased 14 percent over FY 1978-79.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

This year's filings in the Court of Appeals includes a new category - Non-adversary sentence review. These appeals, which totaled 13 during FY 1979-80, represent sentences which have been imposed but are not within the presumptive range. According to the presumptive sentencing act which became effective on July 1, 1979, a sentence which is not within the presumptive range is to be reviewed by the Court of Appeals in a non-adversary proceeding.

While filings in the Court of Appeals remained substantially the same as FY 1978-79, the judges disposed of more cases in FY 1979-80 than in any previous year of the Court's existence. The 1,166 cases terminated in FY 1979-80 reflects an average of 116 per judge. Of the 1,166 dispositions, 720, or 62 percent, were disposed of through written opinion. The number of written opinions has increased by 21.5 percent since FY 1976-77, the first year written opinion data were kept in their present format.

Despite the outstanding efforts of the ten judges on the Court, pending cases have increased again. The 1,139 cases pending at the end of FY 1979-80 reflects a 3.7 percent increase over FY 1978-79 and a 92.4 percent increase since 1974-75, the first year of the expansion of the Court of Appeals to ten members. During the same period, total terminations have increased 86.6 percent while filings have increased 40.7 percent.

THE DISTRICT COURTS

The District Courts continued their upward trend in case filings during FY 1979-80 with a ten percent overall increase. This is the greatest increase since FY 1973-74, when the state realized a 15.3 percent increase in case filings. As was the case in FY 1973-74, civil cases accounted for much of the increase this year, with nearly 7,000 additional cases filed. A 15.5 percent increase in criminal filings was a surprise this year, as this case type had been stable over the past five fiscal years.

District court activity changed in the following percentages this year:

<u>Case Type</u>	<u>Filings</u>	<u>Terminations</u>
Domestic Relations	1.8%	7.6%
Civil	22.2%	15.8%
Probate	3.7%	17.0% ^a
Juvenile	1.2%	2.4%
Mental Health	21.7%	18.3%
Criminal	15.5%	7.1%
TOTAL	10.0%	10.0% ^a

Civil cases now represent more than a third of total district court filings with another 31 percent reflected in domestic relations activities.

Denver District Court continues its growth with a 9.5 percent overall increase. The increase is highlighted by an increase of 941 civil filings over FY 1978-79. Denver District Court has seen a 21.7 percent increase during the last two fiscal years. The increase is a reversal of a four year decrease of 24 percent in case filings.

The rural counties continue their phenomenal growth with an 18.5 percent increase this year and a 42.2 percent increase over FY 1975-76. Urban^b counties have seen a filing increase for the second consecutive fiscal year, with an 8.4 percent increase this year. The Eighth District led the urban courts with a 23.9 percent increase, while the Sixteenth District led all districts in increased case filings with a 24.9 percent gain.

For the past few years, the State Court Administrator's Office has used a sophisticated caseload projection model for budgeting and resource allocation. Through statistical analysis of historical trends, the model indicates the existence of relationships between outside forces or events and trial court filings. The model selects those historical relationships that are the most significant for each case type in each county in the state. The factors vary significantly from county to county in the model. Those that occur most frequently through all counties are listed below.

^aDoes not include 4,369 statistical terminations in Denver Probate Court during FY 1978-79.

^bUrban counties include: Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Denver, El Paso, Jefferson, Larimer, Mesa, Pueblo, and Weld.

There is no reason to expect that the outside event causes the increase in court filings. Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that court filings increase as the following factors vary:

<u>Case Type</u>	<u>Factors</u>
Domestic Relations	Liquor Sales Marriages Time
Civil	Residential & Commercial Valuation Population
Probate	Time
Juvenile	Births Marriages Divorces Welfare
Mental Health	Liquor Sales Marriages Unemployment
Criminal	Unemployment Liquor Sales Time .

The relationship between the above factors and court filings is strong enough to permit use of the factors for prediction of court workload.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS

After a seven percent increase in domestic relations filings during FY 1978-79, this year's increase was only 1.8 percent. Dissolution of marriage filings, which represent over 74 percent of the total domestic relations filings, increased only 1.5 percent after a 7.4 percent increase last year.

Intrastate non-support filings increased by over 30 percent. This was probably reflective of the federal government's financial encouragement of state prosecution of non-support cases. Intra-state increases were partially offset by a 3.4 percent decrease in interstate non-support filings.

The Eighth and Twentieth districts accounted for much of the growth in filings with both districts realizing over 12 percent jumps. The Eighth District has experienced a 28 percent increase over the last two fiscal years.

The 7.6 percent increase in terminations this year was not enough to compensate for the increase in filings, with 3,321 fewer cases

being terminated than filed. This has been a continuing problem in domestic relations cases with last year's deficit of 4,920 and the FY 1977-78 figure at 3,957.

CIVIL

The 22.2 percent growth in civil case filings was the greatest increase since FY 1973-74 when the state realized a 24 percent increase. The additional 6,799 civil case filings accounted for 67 percent of the total growth in district court filings. FY 1979-80 is the third consecutive year of civil filing increases with a 45 percent increase since FY 1976-77.

Increases occurred in essentially all districts. In the urban courts, increases ranged from 17.6 percent in the Tenth District to 34.8 percent in the Eighth. In the rural districts, the Fifteenth realized a 2.7 percent growth, while the Sixteenth grew 34.7 percent.

Filing increases were seen in every case type except appeals and administrative agency reviews. Increases occurred in the following percentages:

<u>Case Type</u>	<u>Increase</u>
Personal Injury	
Motor Vehicle	21.1%
Other	7.8%
Property Damage	15.1%
Wrongful Death	35.0%
Real and Personal Property	30.8%
Money Demands	23.1%
Miscellaneous	19.4%

Despite an increase of 3,961 terminations, the courts were unable to keep up with nearly 7,000 additional filings. Unfortunately, this deficit comes on the heels of 5,495 more filings than terminations during FY 1978-79.

PROBATE

Probate cases continued their pattern of growth this year with a 3.7 percent increase in filings. Probate activity has now increased by 23.2 percent since FY 1976-77.

The Eighth and Nineteenth judicial districts each realized a 19.8 percent growth in filings to lead the urban districts, while the Twelfth District's 21.5 percent increase heads the rural courts. Several districts, including the Third, Fourth, Eleventh, and Twenty-first, felt at least ten percent reductions in filings.

Terminations increased at a rate of 17 percent this year. This figure does not take into account the 4,369 statistical terminations

in the Denver Probate Court last year. Although this represents an additional 881 cases terminated this year, filings still outnumbered terminations by 1,172 cases.

CRIMINAL

After an apparent stabilizing of criminal filings over the last five fiscal years, FY 1979-80's 15.5 percent increase was surprising. The increase was seen in nearly all case types.

The following represents offense case types and percent increases over FY 1978-79.

<u>Case Type</u>	<u>Increase</u>
Offenses Against the Person	13.0%
Offenses Against Property	21.9%
Offenses Involving Fraud	15.8%
Offenses Involving Governmental Operations	28.2%
Drug and Narcotic Offenses	35.8%

Increases were seen in nearly every district in the state, with the First, Third, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Sixteenth, Twentieth, and Twenty-first all realizing at least a 25 percent growth.

Despite the efforts of the district court judges in terminating over seven percent more cases this year, filings outnumbered dispositions by over 3,000 cases.

JUVENILE

Filings of juvenile cases reversed its downward trend of last year with a 1.2 percent increase during FY 1979-80. Despite this modest increase, filings have remained relatively stable, with an overall decrease of 90 cases since FY 1976-77.

CHINO (Children in Need of Oversight) petitions, formerly referred to as CHINS, decreased dramatically this year. The 614 case decrease represents an 80.4 percent decline over FY 1978-79 and an 84 percent decrease since FY 1976-77. This reduction is probably the result of Senate Bill 101, enacted during the 1978 Session of the Legislature and effective July 1, 1979. The bill redefined Children in Need of Supervision as Children in Need of Oversight, and limited the dispositional alternatives for these children.

Offsetting the decrease in CHINO petitions was a 3.8 percent increase in delinquency petitions and a 13.2 percent increase in dependency and neglect petitions. The jump in dependency and neglect activity this year was a reversal of last year's apparent stabilization in this area.

During FY 1978-79, an audit of juvenile cases disclosed a discrepancy in reporting. It was found that while adoption, relinquishment, paternity and support, and dependency-neglect cases are reported and counted on the basis of the number of children involved in each case in the smaller counties, these juvenile case types in the front-range counties have only been counted as one case, regardless of the number of children involved. Although proper filing counts were received this year, termination figures were impossible to obtain. For that reason, this year's juvenile statistics are comparable with previous years. Changes in juvenile filing and termination statistical procedures for Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Denver, El Paso, Jefferson, Larimer, Pueblo, and Weld Counties will occur during FY 1980-81.

MENTAL HEALTH

The statewide increase of 450 filings represents a 21.7 percent gain in mental health case activity over FY 1978-79. Most of the increase is reflective of 154 additional filings in Denver and 208 additional filings in El Paso County.

El Paso County had a drop of 176 filings in FY 1978-79 due to procedural changes in the district attorney's office. These changes have now been reversed and this year's 503 filings are more representative of the historical pattern. Denver made some procedural changes to be consistent with the statewide reporting system and their increase is primarily due to this change.

Terminations increased from 1,993 in FY 1978-79 to 2,358 in FY 1979-80, an increase of 18.3 percent.

WATER COURTS

Water court filings increased 1.3 percent for the period, up from 1,874 to 1,899 cases. The number of claims (structures) decreased 58.4 percent, down to 4,929 claims from last year's record high of 11,861. The high figure of last year was unusual in that it reflected substantial underground water filings associated with the landmark Huston water rights case. This case is now awaiting a court decision.

Terminations increased by 11.7 percent for the period, up from 1,917 to 2,142 cases.

During the year, the water courts began a new case numbering procedure that assigned all new filings, including diligence filings, a standard case number. Diligence filings are conditional matters that may be reviewed every four years, at which time a final decree or judgment may be entered. It is estimated that not more than 25 percent of these cases continue beyond the second filing.

THE COUNTY COURTS

Total county court filings increased by 7.2 percent during FY 1979-80. Although county court terminations increased by only 3.6 percent, FY 1978-79 termination figures included approximately 5,500 terminations which were the result of a special audit of old cases. When FY 1979-80 terminations are compared with FY 1978-79 terminations, exclusive of the special audits, the termination increase for FY 1979-80 stood at 6.3 percent.

Despite efforts by judges and other court personnel, the county courts have not been able to keep up with the increased workload, with filings exceeding terminations by 17,355 cases.

FY 1979-80 increases by case type occurred as follows:

<u>Case Type</u>	<u>Filing Increase</u>	<u>Termination Increase</u>
Civil	11.9%	9.4%
Small Claims	8.6%	5.8%
Traffic	5.9%	2.2%
Misdemeanor	8.2%	3.1%
TOTAL	7.2%	3.6%
	<u>Advisements</u>	<u>Complaints</u>
Felony	31.0%	28.7%

The caseload projection model, described in the district court section, identifies the following variables as factors which may influence case filings:

<u>Case Type</u>	<u>Variables</u>
Civil	Population Sales Tax Revenue
Small Claims	Time
Traffic	Motor Vehicle Registrations Liquor Sales
Misdemeanors	Population Liquor Sales

While the number of total filings increased in most counties, several small counties had substantial decreases. Those counties

which saw ten percent or greater decreases included Gilpin, Clear Creek, Lake, Jackson, Conejos, Saguache, Morgan, Sedgewick, and Prowers. Phillips County reported the largest increase in filings with a 107.2 percent rise.

CIVIL

Civil filings rose substantially for a second year. After remaining steady in FY 1977-78, filings increased by 10.6 percent in FY 1978-79, and again this year by 11.9 percent, an increase of 23.7 percent over the last two years. This rise is in keeping with the overall increase in civil activity seen in the district court.

SMALL CLAIMS

Small claims filings increased by 8.6 percent this year. This increase is down from last year's phenomenal growth of 19.5 percent. Although this may indicate a slower rate of growth, the 8.6 percent increase indicates that more and more people are turning to the small claims courts to settle disputes involving \$500 or less.

MISDEMEANOR

Misdemeanor filings rose by 8.2 percent, again in keeping with the increase seen in criminal filings in the district court. Misdemeanor filings continue to comprise approximately 12 percent of the total county court caseload.

TRAFFIC

Traffic filings increased by 5.9 percent, continuing the slow growth trend of the last three years. Again this year, Traffic Violations Bureau dispositions accounted for less than two percent of the total traffic terminations.

Traffic filings continue to constitute the largest portion of county court cases filed, comprising 67 percent of the total during FY 1979-80.

FELONY

Felony advisements and complaints increased greatly during the fiscal year. Advisements increased by 31 percent, while complaints increased 28.7 percent.

The largest increases occurred in the metropolitan counties of Jefferson, El Paso, Adams, and Boulder. Prowers County also showed a substantial increase.

Explanatory Note to County Court Tables

The county court figures are supplied by the clerks of court under a standard monthly manual reporting system. The Denver County Court, although not a part of the state funded system, supplied figures which are included in some of the tables. Denver is not included in the percentages or totals unless specifically noted.

PROBATION

The availability of alternative programs within a community, as well as the attitudes of local police, the district attorney, the judiciary, and the public affect the size of the probation caseloads. Consequently, the caseload totals should not be interpreted as a measure of either juvenile delinquency or criminal activity within geographic areas. The figures are an index of the number of people who have been referred to the court for investigation and the number of offenders placed under the supervision of a probation officer.

The significant decrease in the number of investigations conducted by adult probation officers this year compared to last was the result of two legislative changes. First, a statute which mandated that an alcohol specialist conduct an evaluation on all alcohol related traffic offenses became effective October 1, 1979. The program was primarily responsible for the 42.8 percent decrease in county court pre-sentence investigations and the 69.1 percent decrease in investigations classified as "other". Second, the FY 1979-80 appropriations report denied funding for personal recognizance bond investigations. Since 3,719 such investigations were conducted in FY 1978-79, the change significantly contributed to the overall decrease.

Greater detail in reporting investigations was achieved this year. Domestic relations reports are now counted separately; in prior years they were included in the "other" category. Deferred prosecution and sentence investigations can now be distinguished as to the number completed for the district court and the number for the county court.

The number of investigations conducted on juveniles was down almost 25 percent. That change was caused primarily by the decrease in the number of preliminary investigations (down 66.1 percent), intake investigations (down 35.6 percent), and detention investigations (down 22.2 percent). These investigations are conducted prior to a court filing and are among the less time consuming and detailed reports.

Social summaries, which are lengthy reports to the court describing the juvenile's background and which assist the judge in sentencing, increased slightly.

New categories of investigations were reported this year to more accurately depict juvenile investigation workload. Inter-agency investigations reports required prior to transferring a juvenile to the criminal division and custody investigations are now reported separately. Previously these investigations were included in the "other" category.

A statewide audit of all adult and juvenile supervision case-loads was conducted in April, 1980. By examining the status of every probationer and adhering to standardized definitions of "active supervision case", officers were able to terminate 813 more cases this year than last.

In addition, the alcohol evaluation program departmental procedural changes and statewide standardized definitions contributed to fewer new cases being reported on supervision.

The combination of additional terminations and fewer new cases resulted in a first-time occurrence: on a statewide basis, adult and juvenile officers ended the fiscal year with less probationers than they supervised at the beginning of the year. This respite has provided officers with the opportunity to look more closely at case-load management techniques. Throughout the state, officers are examining the benefits of weighting cases, providing differential supervision and conducting ongoing audits.

Despite the fact that officers supervised fewer probationers this year, they managed to increase by 28 percent the dollars collected for restitution to victims. Restitution collected from adult and juvenile probationers and repaid by the court to victims neared the two million dollar mark this year.

The dramatic increase in restitution collected since FY 1974-75 compared to the growth in number of probationers during the same period is clearly shown on page a100.

APPENDIX

TABLES

Table I. Caseload of the Colorado Appellate Courts, FY 1964-65 - FY 1979-80	a3
Table II. Caseload of the Supreme Court, FY 1964-65 - FY 1979-80	a5
Table III. Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court, FY 1969-70 - FY 1979-80	a6
Table IV. Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, FY 1976-77 - FY 1979-80	a6
Table V. Distribution of Case Terminations in the Supreme Court, FY 1964-65 - FY 1979-80	a7
Table VI. Average Number of Written Opinions per Supreme Court Justice, FY 1964-65 - FY 1979-80	a8
Table VII. Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue the Final Day of the Fiscal Year 1979-80	a8
Table VIII. Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue the Final Day of the Fiscal Year 1979-80	a8
Table IX. Distribution of Cases Pending in the Supreme Court the Last Day of Fiscal Year, FY 1964-65 - FY 1979-80	a9
Table X. Caseload of the Court of Appeals, FY 1969-70 - FY 1979-80	a13
Table XI. Distribution of Case Filings in the Court of Appeals, FY 1969-70 - FY 1979-80	a14
Table XII. Distribution of Case Terminations in the Court of Appeals, FY 1969-70 - FY 1979-80	a14
Table XIII. Distribution of Court of Appeals Cases the Final Day of the Fiscal Year, FY 1979-80	a15
Table XIV. Distribution of Cases Pending in the Court of Appeals the Last Day of the Fiscal Year, FY 1969-70 - FY 1979-80	a15
Table XV. District Court Caseload, FY 1976-77 - FY 1979-80	a19

Table XVI.	
District Court Filings and Percent Change, FY 1976-77 - FY 1979-80, By District	a20
Table XVII.	
Average New Filings and Terminations per Judge, FY 1979 and FY 1980	a21
Table XVIII.	
Domestic Relations Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1979-80	a22
Table XIX.	
Civil Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1979-80	a24
Table XX.	
Probate Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1979-80	a26
Table XXI.	
Juvenile Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1979-80	a28
Table XXII.	
Mental Health Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1979-80	a30
Table XXIII.	
Criminal Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1979-80	a32
TABLE XXIV.	
Total Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1979-80	a34
Table XXV.	
Domestic Relations Filings By Type of Case, FY 1979-80	a36
Table XXVI.	
Civil Case Filings by Type of Case, FY 1979-80	a38
Table XXVII.	
Probate Filings by Type of Case, FY 1979-80	a40
Table XXVIII.	
Juvenile Case Filings by Type of Case, FY 1979-80	a42
Table XXIX.	
Mental Health Filings by Type of Case, FY 1979-80	a44
Table XXX.	
Criminal Offense Filings by Type of Offense, FY 1979-80	a46
Table XXXI.	
Offense and Non-Offense Filings Plus Total Criminal Filings, FY 1979-80	a48

Table XXXII.	
Domestic Relations Terminations	
By Type of Case Filed,	
FY 1979-80	a50
Table XXXIII.	
Civil Terminations by Type of Case Filed,	
FY 1979-80	a52
Table XXXIV.	
Probate Terminations by Type of Case Filed,	
FY 1979-80	a54
Table XXXV.	
Juvenile Terminations by Type of Case Filed,	
FY 1979-80	a56
Table XXXVI.	
Mental Health Terminations	
By Type of Case Filed,	
FY 1979-89	a58
Table XXXVII.	
Criminal Offense Terminations	
By Type of Case Filed,	
FY 1979-80	a60
Table XXXVIII.	
Offense and Non-Offense Terminations	
By Type of Case Filed,	
FY 1979-80	a62
Table XXXIX.	
Caseload of the Water Courts,	
FY 1976-77 - FY 1979-80	a67
Table XL.	
Number of Filings and Number of New Claims in	
Colorado Water Courts,	
FY 1976-77 - FY 1979-80	a68
Table XLI.	
County Court Caseload,	
FY 1973-74 - FY 1979-80	a71
Table XLII.	
Civil Caseflow in the County Courts	
By District and County,	
FY 1979-80	a72
Table XLIII.	
Small Claims Caseflow in the County Courts	
By District and County,	
FY 1979-80	a74
Table XLIV.	
Traffic Caseflow in the County Courts	
By District and County,	
FY 1979-80	a76
Table XLV.	
Misdemeanor Caseflow in the County Courts	
By District and County,	
FY 1979-80	a78
Table XLVI.	
Total Caseflow in the County Courts	
By District and County,	
FY 1979-80	a80

Table XLVII.	
Felony Preliminaries in the County Court By Type, FY 1979-80	a82
Table XLVIII.	
County Court Filings and Percent Change, FY 1975-76 - FY 1979-80	a84
Table XLIX.	
Court Trials and Jury Trials in the County Court By District and County, FY 1979-80	a86
Table L.	
Number and Percent Distribution of County Court Civil Case Filings By Money Amount, FY 1979-80	a88
Table LI.	
Number and Percent Distribution of County Court Small Claims Filings By Money Amount, FY 1979-80	a90
Table LII.	
Number and Percent Distribution of Felony Complaints Disposed of in County Court, FY 1979-80	a92
Table LIII.	
Probation Department Supervision and Investigation Caseloads - State Totals, FY 1976-77 - FY 1979-80	a95
Table LIV.	
Probation Department Supervision Caseloads by District, FY 1979-80	a96
Table LV.	
Adult Probation Department Investigations By District, FY 1979-80	a97
Table LVI.	
Juvenile Probation Department Investigations By District, FY 1979-80	a97
Table LVII.	
Restitution Paid to Victims By Juvenile and Adult Probationers, FY 1979-80	a98
Table LVIII.	
Restitution Paid to Victims by Juvenile and Adult Probationers, FY 1974-75 - FY 1979-80	a99
Table LIX.	
Comparison Between Probation Caseload and Restitution Collected from Probationers, FY 1974-75 - FY 1979-80	a100

THE SUPREME COURT

TABLE I. CASELOAD OF THE COLORADO APPELLATE COURTS
FY 1964-65 TO FY 1979-80

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	APPEALS FILED	TOTAL CASELOAD	APPEALS TERM.	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
Supreme Court					
1964-65	565	484	1049	447	602
1965-66	602	581	1183	437	746
1966-67	746	640	1386	542	844
1967-68	844	574	1418	519	899
1968-69	899	620	1519	496	1023

Supreme Court and Court of Appeals

1969-70	1023	677	1700	645	1055
1970-71	1055	845	1900	1013	887
1971-72	887	926	1813	1035	778
1972-73	778	1051	1829	1046	783
1973-74	783	1022	1805	967	838
1974-75	838	1370	2208	1250	958
1975-76	958	1480	2438	1421 ^a	1017
1976-77	1017	1780	2797	1539	1258
1977-78	1258	1900	3158	1820 ^a	1338
1978-79	1353 ^b	2060	3413	1901 ^a	1512
1979-80	1512	2021	3533	1923	1610

^aCourt of Appeals opinion withdrawn in following fiscal year.

^bRevised pending figure, due to Supreme Court audit.




TABLE II. CASELOAD OF THE SUPREME COURT
FY 1964-65 TO FY 1979-80

FISCAL YEAR	PENDING JULY 1	APPEALS FILED	TOTAL CASELOAD	APPEALS TERMINATED	PENDING JUNE 30
1964-65	565	434	1049	447	602
1965-66	602	581	1183	437	746
1966-67	746	640	1386	542	844
1967-68	844	574	1418	519	899
1968-69	899	620	1519	496	1023
1969-70	1023	568	1591	484 ^a	847
1970-71	847	544	1391	581 ^b	511
1971-72	511	517	1028	605	423
1972-73	423	606	1029	602	427
1973-74	427	611	1038	559	479
1974-75	479	553	1032	666	366
1975-76	366	651	1017	674	343
1976-77	343	735	1078	704	374
1977-78	374	854	1228	893	335
1978-79	350 ^c	941	1291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1364	893	471 ^d

a In addition, 260 backlog cases were transferred to the Court of Appeals.

b In addition, 299 backlog cases were transferred to the Court of Appeals.

c Revised pending figure.

d Includes 15 cases awaiting formal closing.




TABLE III. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS IN THE
SUPREME COURT, FY 1969-70 TO FY 1979-80

FISCAL YEAR	DIRECT FILINGS	TRANSFERS FROM COURT OF APPEALS	REOPENED CASES	TOTAL FILINGS
1969-70	564	4	0	568
1970-71	528	16	0	544
1971-72	508	9	0	517
1972-73	582	20	4	606
1973-74	575	30	6	611
1974-75	517	33	3	553
1975-76	569	81	1	651
1976-77	658	75	2	735
1977-78	784	66	4	854
1978-79	852	89	0	941
1979-80	817	130	3	950

TABLE IV. DISTRIBUTION BY TYPE OF CASE FILED
IN THE SUPREME COURT, FY 1976-77 TO FY 1979-80

	FY 76-77	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80
Criminal Appeals	84	99	96	151
Original Proceedings	238	251	284	276
Petitions in Certiorari	284	353	375	347
Civil Appeals (including water cases, P.U.C. de- cisions and constitu- tional questions)	80	63	81	57
Interlocutories	21	26	25	31
Statutory Review	1	0	3	7
Habeas Corpus	19	26	49	26
Bail Reduction	0	1	0	0
Interrogatories	1	3	0	1
Reopened Cases	2	4	0	3
Rules 21.1	2	0	1	0
Attorney Registration Suspension	1	0	0	0
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	2	0	1	0
Original Proceedings (in Discipline)	0	28	26	51
T O T A L	735	854	941	950

TABLE V. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS
IN THE SUPREME COURT, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1979-80

FISCAL YEAR	DISPOSED OF BY WRITTEN OPINION	DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	TRANSFERRED TO COURT OF APPEALS	TOTAL TERMS	% OF WRITTEN OPINIONS TO DISPOSITIONS
1964-65	254	193	447		447	56.8
1965-66	251	186	437		437	57.4
1966-67	325	217	542		542	60.0
1967-68	321	198	519		519	61.8
1968-69	299	197	496		496	60.3
1969-70	230	254	484	260	744	47.5
1970-71	346	235	581	299	880	59.6
1971-72	352	245	597	8	605	59.0
1972-73	291	308	599	3	602	48.6
1973-74	303	253	556	3	559	54.5
1974-75	343	315	658	8	666	52.1
1975-76	293	377	670	4	674	43.7
1976-77	285	411	696	8	704	40.9
1977-78	322	564	886	7	893	36.3
1978-79	284	587	871	6	877	32.6
1979-80	291	596	887	6	893	32.8

TABLE VI. AVERAGE NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS
PER SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1979-80

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS BY JUSTICES ^a	AVERAGE NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS PER JUSTICE
1964-65	254	36.3
1965-66	251	35.9
1966-67	315	45.0
1967-68	305	43.6
1968-69	274	39.1
1969-70	225	32.1
1970-71	314	44.9
1971-72	319	45.6
1972-73	291	41.6
1973-74	303	43.3
1974-75	343	49.0
1975-76	293	41.9
1976-77	285	40.7
1977-78	322	46.0
1978-79	284	40.6
1979-80	291	41.6

^a Opinions written by visiting judges in FY 1966-67 - 1971-72 not included.

TABLE VII. DISTRIBUTION OF SUPREME COURT CASES AT
ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1979-80

	CERT.	APPEALS	GRIEVANCES	TOTAL
Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	14	70	0	84
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	52	78	0	130
Cases submitted without argument	3	26	9	38
Opinion announced awaiting action on rehearing	4	22	0	26
	<u>73</u>	<u>196</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>278</u>

TABLE VIII. DISTRIBUTION OF SUPREME COURT CASES NOT
AT ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1979-80

	TOTAL
PENDING CASES	
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	19
Appeals pending	91
Appeals on limited remand	3
Appeals held in abeyance	6
GRIEVANCE MATTERS	
Pending	14
Referred to grievance committee	4
Held in abeyance	1
	<u>138</u>

TABLE IX. DISTRIBUTION OF CASES PENDING IN
THE SUPREME COURT THE LAST DAY OF FISCAL YEAR, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1979-80

FISCAL YEAR	CASES AT ISSUE ^a	CASES AWAITING DECISION ON CERTIORARI ACCEPTANCE	CASES NOT YET AT ISSUE	TOTAL PENDING	PERCENT AT ISSUE OR AWAITING DECISION ON CERTIORARI
1964-65	379		223	602	63.0
1965-66	477		269	746	63.9
1966-67	474		370	844	56.2
1967-68	565		334	899	62.8
1968-69	643		380	1023	62.9
1969-70	562		285	847	66.4
1970-71	337		174	511	65.9
1971-72	278		145	423	65.7
1972-73	194		233	427	45.4
1973-74	230		249	479	48.0
1974-75	304		62	366	83.1
1975-76	282		61	343	82.2
1976-77	295		79	374	78.9
1977-78	244		91	335	72.8
1978-79	200	56	158	414	61.8
1979-80	278	40	138	456 ^b	69.7

^a Prior to FY 1978-79, cases at issue and those awaiting decision on certiorari acceptance were combined.

^b Does not include 15 cases in which decisions were announced and cases are awaiting formal closing.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

TABLE X. CASELOAD OF THE COURT OF APPEALS,
FY 1969-70 TO FY 1979-80

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	NEW CASES ^b	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI- NATIONS	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
1969-70 ^a	0	373	373	165	208
1970-71	208	616	824	448	376
1971-72	376	426	802	447	355
1972-73	355	468	823	467	356
1973-74	356	444	800	441	359
1974-75	359	858	1217	625	592
1975-76	592	915	1507	833 ^c	674
1976-77	674	1128	1802	918	884
1977-78	884	1119	2003	1000 ^c	1003
1978-79	1003	1214	2217	1119 ^c	1098
1979-80	1098	1207	2305	1166	1139

^a Since the Court opened January 1, 1970, FY 1969-70 figures are for six months.

^b Includes reopened cases and cases remanded from the U.S. and the Colorado Supreme Courts.

^c One opinion was withdrawn in the following fiscal year.




TABLE XI. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS^a IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FY 1969-70 TO FY 1979-80

	69-70 ^b	70-71	71-72	72-73	73-74	74-75	75-76	76-77	77-78	78-79	79-80
Civil Appeals ^c	93	279	363	390	386	536	566	634	715	795	816
Transfers from Supreme Court	260	299	8	3	3	3	3	6	3	2	2
Industrial Comm.	20	38	55	75	55	36	85	165	82	73	96
Criminal Appeals						278	259	321	315	340	276
Transfers from Supreme Court						5	2	2	4	4	4
Non-adversary sentence review											13
- TOTAL	373	616	426	468	444	858	915	1128	1119	1214	1207

TABLE XII. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FY 1969-70 TO FY 1979-80

	69-70 ^b	70-71	71-72	72-73	73-74	74-75	75-76	76-77	77-78	78-79	79-80
Closed by opinion (published) ^d	139	390	368	357	337	467	559 ^f	445	554	308	284
Closed by opinion (unpublished) ^e								148	97 ^f	437 ^f	436
Closed without opinion	22	42	70	90	74	125	193	250	283	285	316
Transferred to Supreme Court	4	16	9	20	30	33	81	75	66	89	130
TOTAL	165	448	447	467	441	625	833 ^f	918	1000 ^f	1119 ^f	1166

^aIncludes reopened cases and cases remanded from the U.S. and the Colorado Supreme Courts.

^bSince the Court opened January 1, 1970, FY 1969-70 figures are for six months.

^cIncludes appeals from courts, and administrative boards and agencies within jurisdiction except Industrial Commission.

^dPrior to December 8, 1977, referred to as written opinion.

^eFrom July 1, 1976 - December 8, 1977, referred to as memorandum opinion.

^fOne opinion was withdrawn in the following fiscal year.

TABLE XIII. DISTRIBUTION OF COURT OF APPEALS CASES
THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1979-80

Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	109
Criminal appeals	171
Civil appeals	11
Industrial Commission appeals	<u>291</u>
TOTAL	
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	41
Criminal appeals	101
Civil appeals	7
Industrial Commission appeals	<u>149</u>
TOTAL	
Cases submitted without oral argument	87
TOTAL cases at issue	527 ^a
TOTAL cases not yet at issue	<u>612</u>
TOTAL cases open	1139

^a52 cases not included: opinions written but awaiting action on rehearing.

TABLE XIV. DISTRIBUTION OF CASES PENDING IN THE COURT OF APPEALS THE LAST DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1969-70 TO FY 1979-80

FISCAL YEAR	CASES AT ISSUE ^a	CASES NOT YET AT ISSUE	TOTAL PENDING ^a	PERCENT AT ISSUE TO TOTAL
1969-70	119	89	208	57.2
1970-71	240	136	376	63.8
1971-72	183	172	355	51.5
1972-73	148	208	356	41.6
1973-74	175	184	359	48.7
1974-75	169	423	592	28.5
1975-76	252	421	673 ^b	37.4
1976-77	257	627	884	29.1
1977-78	261	741	1002 ^b	26.0
1978-79	395	702	1097 ^b	36.0
1979-80	527	612	1139	46.3

^aCases in which opinions were written but were awaiting action on rehearing were not included.

^bOne opinion was withdrawn in the following fiscal year, changing the pending count.

THE DISTRICT COURTS

TABLE XV. DISTRICT COURT CASELOAD^a - FY 1976-77 to FY 1979-80

	FY 76-77 ^b	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80
DOMESTIC RELATIONS				
Cases Pending July 1	17,840	19,850	23,122	25,822
New Cases Filed	30,406	31,677	33,888	34,505
Post Judgment Actions	8,914	7,713	7,924	8,730
TOTAL Caseload	57,160	59,240	64,934	69,057
Cases Terminated	37,310	27,720	28,968	31,184
Post Judgment Terminations		8,398	10,144	10,071
Cases Pending June 30	19,850	23,122	27,822	27,802
CIVIL^c				
Cases Pending July 1	27,825	24,843	27,501	32,044
New Cases Filed	25,771	27,523	30,566	37,365
Post Judgment Actions	9,465	7,111	6,932	7,892
TOTAL Caseload	63,061	59,477	64,999	77,301
Cases Terminated	38,218	24,080	25,071	29,032
Post Judgment Terminations		7,896	7,884	9,521
Cases Pending June 30	24,843	27,501	32,044	38,748
PROBATE				
Cases Pending July 1	19,053	19,205	20,439	18,183 ^f
New Cases Filed	5,862	6,570	6,463	7,223
Post Judgment Actions	551 ^d	697	638	482
TOTAL Caseload	25,466	26,472	28,540	25,888
Cases Terminated	6,261	5,504	9,539 ^e	6,051
Post Judgment Terminations		529	793	753
Cases Pending June 30	19,205	20,439	17,708	19,584
JUVENILE				
Cases Pending July 1	7,618	11,564	14,038	16,733
New Cases Filed	18,777	16,550	16,497	16,687
Post Judgment Actions	6,060	6,317	7,162	6,227
TOTAL Caseload	30,455	34,431	37,697	39,647
Cases Terminated	18,891	13,751	13,361	13,682
Post Judgment Terminations		6,642	7,603	6,311
Cases Pending June 30	11,564	14,038	16,733	19,654
MENTAL HEALTH				
Cases Pending July 1	807	1,169	1,268	1,280
New Cases Filed	1,931	2,183	2,073	2,523
Post Judgment Actions	539	750	970	855
TOTAL Caseload	3,277	4,102	4,311	4,658
Cases Terminated	2,108	2,120	1,993	2,358
Post Judgment Terminations		7,4	1,038	805
Cases Pending June 30	1,169	1,268	1,280	1,295
CRIMINAL				
Cases Pending July 1	10,605	12,415	11,603	12,562
New Cases Filed	11,661	11,404	11,614	13,410
Post Judgment Actions	4,029	3,621	3,673	4,114
TOTAL Caseload	26,295	27,440	26,890	30,086
Cases Terminated	13,880	9,296	9,661	10,351
Post Judgment Terminations		6,541	4,887	4,389
Cases Pending June 30	12,415	11,603	12,562	15,346
TOTAL				
Cases Pending July 1	83,748	89,046	97,973	106,624 ^f
New Cases Filed	92,408	95,907	101,601	111,713
Post Judgment Actions	29,558 ^d	26,209	27,299	28,100
TOTAL Caseload	205,714	211,162	226,873	246,437
Cases Terminated	116,668	82,471	88,593 ^e	92,658
Post Judgment Terminations		30,720	32,129	31,850
Cases Pending June 30	89,046	97,973	106,149	121,929

^aAll district courts plus Denver Superior, Denver Juvenile, and Denver Probate.^bTerminations and post judgment terminations are combined.^cDoes not include water cases.^dIncludes only nine months in Denver Probate Court due to data processing failure.^eIncludes 4369 statistical terminations of old cases in Denver.^fRevised pending figure.

TABLE XVI. DISTRICT COURT FILINGS^a AND PERCENT CHANGE
FY 1976-77 - FY 1979-80, BY DISTRICT

DISTRICT	-----FILINGS-----				Percent Change 78-79- 79-80	Percent Change 76-77 79-80
	1976-77	1977-78	1978-79	1979-80		
1	9,001	9,913	10,321	11,167	8.2	24.1
2						
District	16,618	15,896	17,673	19,350	9.5	16.4
Superior	1,883	2,065	2,265	2,660	17.4	41.3
Juvenile	3,901	3,794	3,674	3,537	-3.7	-9.3
Probate	1,798	2,087	2,161	2,356	9.0	31.0
3	719	806	896	1,074	19.9	49.4
4	11,511	11,937	12,368	13,555	9.6	17.8
5	1,219	1,495	1,696	1,843	8.7	51.2
6	1,072	1,211	1,289	1,349	4.7	25.8
7	1,417	1,688	1,671	1,940	16.1	36.9
8	3,510	3,453	3,817	4,728	23.9	34.7
9	1,169	1,323	1,551	1,786	15.2	52.8
10	4,497	4,370	4,750	5,007	5.4	11.3
11	1,631	1,921	1,992	2,015	1.2	23.5
12	1,170	1,343	1,274	1,263	-9.9	7.9
13	1,784	2,116	1,895	2,023	6.8	13.4
14	1,076	1,226	1,387	1,429	3.0	32.8
15	690	824	807	854	5.8	23.8
16	974	1,006	902	1,127	24.9	15.7
17	7,658	7,444	7,493	8,348	11.4	9.0
18	7,644	8,507	9,151	10,235	11.8	33.9
19	3,219	3,367	3,851	4,220	9.6	31.1
20	5,204	4,759	5,250	6,098	16.2	17.2
21	2,539	2,782	2,896	3,118	7.7	22.8
22	504	574	571	631	10.5	25.2
STATE TOTAL	92,408	95,907	101,601	111,713	10.0	20.9

^aWater cases not included.

TABLE XVII. AVERAGE NEW FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS^a
PER JUDGE (AND REFEREE) FOR FY 1979 AND FY 1980

DISTRICT	NEW FILINGS		TERMINATIONS		NO. OF JUDGES AND REFEREES	
	FY '79	FY '80	FY '79	FY '80	FY '79	FY '80
1	1032.1	1116.7	866.8	931.8	10	10
2						
District	803.3	879.5	623.6	723.6	22	22
Superior	2265.0	2660.0	1938.0	1998.0	1	1
Juvenile	734.8	707.4	489.8	533.2 ^b	5	5
Probate	2161.0	2356.0	5999.0 ^c	2089.0	1	1
3	448.0	537.0	374.5	560.5	2	2
4	1030.7	1129.6	917.8	933.5	12	12
5	848.0	921.5	738.0	725.0	2	2
6	644.5	674.5	542.5	568.5	2	2
7	835.5	970.0	730.0	810.5	2	2
8	954.3	1182.0	828.3	887.5	4	4
9	517.0	595.3	362.3	364.0	3	3
10	791.7	834.5	691.5	786.0	6	6
11	996.0	1007.5	867.0	974.5	2	2
12	637.0	631.5	703.5	613.5	2	2
13	473.8	505.8	465.0	479.8	4	4
14	693.5	714.5	542.5	660.5	2	2
15	403.5	427.0	488.0	415.0	2	2
16	451.0	563.5	465.0	527.0	2	2
17	936.6	1043.5	763.9	910.4	8	8
18	1143.9	1137.2	953.9	896.6	8	9
19	962.8	1055.0	628.0	702.3	4	4
20	875.0	1016.3	690.7	835.3	6	6
21	965.3	1039.3	861.0	951.0	3	3
22	571.0	631.0	514.0	453.0	1	1
STATE AVERAGE	875.9	954.8	763.7 ^b	791.9	116	117
PERCENT CHANGE		9.0		3.7		

^aFigures do not include water cases or water referees.

^bEstimated.

^cIncludes 4369 statistical terminations of old cases.

TABLE XVIII. DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST							
Gilpin	21	24	1	46	19	1	26
Jefferson	2850	3990	1176	8016	3437	1314	3265
TOTAL	2871	4014	1177	8062	3456	1315	3291
2ND							
Denver	7014	7116	2172	16302	6710	2073	7519
3RD							
Huerfano	30	78	13	121	75	9	37
Las Animas	91	154	65	310	139	80	91
TOTAL	121	232	78	431	214	89	128
4TH							
El Paso	3223	4581	1249	9053	4149	1335	3569
Teller	25	66	6	97	57	4	36
TOTAL	3248	4647	1255	9150	4206	1339	3605
5TH							
Clear Creek	38	77	13	128	85	13	38
Eagle	79	142	25	246	125	24	97
Lake	43	138	21	202	145	16	41
Summit	78	113	18	209	74	15	120
TOTAL	238	470	77	785	429	68	298
6TH							
Archuleta	53	47	2	102	39	20	43
La Plata	151	301	172	624	305	180	144
San Juan	4	11	0	15	13	0	2
TOTAL	208	359	174	741	357	200	189
7TH							
Delta	103	235	30	368	216	34	118
Gunnison	70	112	8	190	117	5	68
Hinsdale	4	3	2	9	5	0	4
Montrose	145	282	9	436	289	20	127
Ouray	5	12	5	22	15	0	7
San Miguel	42	29	5	76	16	25	35
TOTAL	369	673	59	1101	658	84	359
8TH							
Jackson	16	12	2	30	8	4	18
Larimer	761	1605	336	2704	1324	284	1096
TOTAL	779	1617	338	2734	1332	288	1114
9TH							
Garfield	298	282	57	637	220	53	364
Pitkin	122	144	6	272	79	37	154
Rio Blanco	46	87	33	166	85	33	48
TOTAL	466	513	96	1075	384	123	568
10TH							
Pueblo	932	1322	501	2755	1348	580	827
11TH							
Chaffee	116	208	42	366	218	50	98
Custer	0	9	3	12	5	3	4
Fremont	300	395	81	776	419	166	391
Park	20	53	3	76	29	4	43
TOTAL	436	665	129	1230	671	223	540

TABLE XVIII(CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH							
Alamosa	93	184	70	347	196	57	94
Conejos	21	23	9	53	24	12	17
Costilla	6	13	2	21	5	3	13
Mineral	2	5	1	8	3	1	4
Rio Grande	32	92	23	147	87	26	34
Saguache	13	19	7	39	16	9	13
TOTAL	167	335	112	614	331	108	175
13TH							
Kit Carson	15	48	3	66	35	5	26
Logan	93	144	45	282	137	46	99
Morgan	113	244	34	391	238	34	119
Phillips	19	32	8	59	35	6	18
Sedgewick	13	12	4	29	18	3	8
Washington	17	36	1	54	23	3	28
Yuma	47	68	9	124	71	11	42
TOTAL	317	584	104	1005	557	108	340
14TH							
Grand	46	63	20	131	52	24	55
Moffat	126	210	32	368	203	52	113
Butt	85	153	3	241	157	2	92
TOTAL	257	426	55	740	412	78	250
15TH							
Baca	19	42	2	63	30	2	31
Cheyenne	5	9	5	19	8	2	9
Kiowa	7	2	8	9	5	0	4
Prowers	78	113	24	235	115	30	70
TOTAL	109	166	31	306	158	34	114
16TH							
Bent	38	32	1	71	33	4	26
Crowley	11	18	1	30	14	0	16
Otero	123	251	26	400	241	31	128
TOTAL	164	301	30	495	288	37	170
17TH							
Adams	2017	2955	554	5526	2695	975	1856
18TH							
Arapahoe	1898	2857	172	4927	2510	241	2044
Douglas	72	172	19	263	136	20	105
Elbert	23	34	9	66	24	8	34
Lincoln	13	36	12	61	32	10	19
TOTAL	1916	3099	212	5227	2704	299	2224
19TH							
Weld	1034	1171	300	2505	783	477	1245
20TH							
Boulder	2277	2354	347	5198	2201	816	2181
21ST							
Wesa	786	1213	494	2493	1103	754	836
22ND							
Dolores	8	15	1	24	16	1	5
Montezuma	48	258	12	318	176	0	182
TOTAL	96	273	13	382	192	1	187
STATE TOTAL	25827	34505	8730	69057	31184	10871	17862

TABLE XIX. CIVIL^a CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST							
Gilpin	51	53	4	108	43	15	55
Jefferson	2963	3506	717	7186	2786	892	3588
TOTAL	3014	3559	721	7294	2829	907	3643
2ND							
Unm. District	9553	9817	3109	22479	7684	1967	11428
Dom. Superior	2786	2551	326	5673	1888	288	3897
TOTAL	12339	12368	3435	28152	9572	2255	14925
3RD							
Huerfano	104	61	3	170	47	9	114
Las Animas	99	156	21	276	126	27	123
TOTAL	203	217	24	446	173	36	237
4TH							
El Paso	2098	2063	656	5817	2386	728	2783
Teller	68	136	11	215	104	22	69
TOTAL	2166	2199	667	6032	2490	750	2852
5TH							
Clear Creek	104	87	9	200	95	13	92
Eagle	261	329	45	635	388	88	411
Lake	66	94	9	169	95	11	63
Summit	491	342	49	792	388	162	462
TOTAL	832	852	112	1796	566	204	1028
6TH							
Archuleta	116	89	3	208	56	3	169
La Plata	284	444	91	821	331	181	189
San Juan	16	12	0	28	12	2	14
TOTAL	416	545	94	1057	399	186	372
7TH							
Delta	95	127	7	229	118	34	77
Gunnison	108	147	4	259	138	18	119
Hinsdale	19	28	3	50	5	7	26
Montrose	189	206	25	422	191	67	164
Gorey	33	29	1	63	29	2	32
San Miguel	119	106	4	229	108	4	207
TOTAL	563	633	44	1289	691	126	523
8TH							
Jackson	20	4	0	24	9	1	19
Larimer	839	1370	291	2470	942	289	1239
TOTAL	859	1374	291	2494	951	290	1258
9TH							
Garfield	165	222	32	419	207	19	493
Ft. Collins	551	370	7	928	386	186	716
Rio Blanco	64	89	21	174	53	20	81
TOTAL	780	741	60	1521	646	145	1290
10TH							
Pueblo	686	1156	482	2324	982	609	733
11TH							
Chaffee	85	145	19	249	128	1	118
Custer	24	28	2	54	27	2	29
Fremont	127	276	56	459	276	135	268
Park	97	147	19	263	116	52	115
TOTAL	333	596	116	1045	527	190	530

^aWater cases not included.

TABLE XIX. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH							
Alamosa	85	140	13	238	142	24	72
Concepcion	47	48	11	106	50	16	40
Costilla	23	29	1	53	25	0	28
Mineral	10	2	0	12	6	1	5
Rio Grande	82	111	20	213	106	23	84
Sapache	41	58	10	109	36	16	57
TOTAL	288	388	55	731	365	80	286
13TH							
Kit Carson	26	43	0	69	31	1	37
Lepus	107	168	10	275	126	19	132
Morgan	124	200	21	345	174	20	151
Phillips	50	21	6	82	26	7	49
Sedgewick	18	15	1	34	9	4	21
Washington	31	29	1	61	34	4	25
Yuma	198	75	7	280	67	5	208
TOTAL	559	543	46	1150	467	60	623
14TH							
Grand	113	170	6	289	64	9	146
Moffat	142	182	9	333	137	17	158
Snout	264	242	26	532	237	0	295
TOTAL	519	594	41	1063	438	26	599
15TH							
Baca	81	56	10	147	58	7	82
Cherokee	32	11	1	44	13	3	28
Kiowa	18	23	1	42	15	2	28
Prowers	126	105	9	240	133	19	118
TOTAL	257	225	21	506	219	31	256
16TH							
Bent	35	52	2	89	33	2	34
Crowley	8	12	0	20	10	0	10
Osage	104	183	8	305	171	4	130
TOTAL	147	247	10	394	214	6	174
17TH							
Adams	1942	2807	709	5458	2372	1101	1985
18TH							
Arapahoe	2185	2916	188	5289	2154	275	2854
Douglas	251	408	54	713	268	51	394
Elbert	57	66	27	150	58	30	70
Lincoln	22	17	3	42	24	3	10
TOTAL	2515	3407	272	6100	2498	359	3328
19TH							
Weld	1165	1006	72	2243	653	44	1526
20TH							
Boulder	1467	2040	278	3785	1791	316	1698
21ST							
Rock	480	757	177	1414	619	448	547
22ND							
Delaware	8	17	1	26	13	1	11
Montezuma	84	152	9	245	105	10	140
TOTAL	101	169	10	282	128	11	151
STATE TOTAL	12044	17365	7892	37301	19032	9521	26748

TABLE XX. PROBATE CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST							
Gilpin	23	13	1	37	5	3	29
Jefferson	1782	664	9	2455	423	12	2020
TOTAL	1805	677	10	2492	428	15	2049
2ND							
Den. Probate	5227 ^a	1817	157	7201	1587	97	5517
3RD							
Huerfano	76	20	1	97	14	0	83
Las Animas	415	40	9	464	173	42	249
TOTAL	491	60	10	561	187	42	332
4TH							
El Paso	1516	577	27	2120	406	33	1681
Teller	43	29	3	75	20	3	52
TOTAL	1559	606	30	2195	426	36	1733
5TH							
Clear Creek	87	19	1	107	35	11	61
Eagle	48	17	2	67	24	0	43
Lake	31	40	2	73	30	0	43
Summit	62	5	0	67	4	7	56
TOTAL	228	81	5	314	93	18	203
6TH							
Archuleta	36	8	1	45	7	1	37
La Plata	221	92	5	318	59	2	257
San Juan	5	2	0	7	0	0	7
TOTAL	262	102	6	370	66	3	301
7TH							
Delta	193	70	2	265	58	10	197
Gunnison	83	25	0	108	8	6	94
Hinsdale	10	6	0	16	6	4	6
Montrose	152	53	4	209	33	5	171
Ouray	19	7	6	32	14	0	18
San Miguel	38	12	0	50	2	11	37
TOTAL	495	173	12	680	121	36	523
8TH							
Jackson	20	5	2	27	4	0	23
Larimer	599	286	2	887	237	11	639
TOTAL	619	291	4	914	241	11	662
9TH							
Garfield	236	57	1	294	29	4	261
Pitkin	152	33	1	186	8	40	138
Rio Blanco	49	25	8	82	18	7	57
TOTAL	437	115	10	562	55	51	456
10TH							
Pueblo	673	368	33	1074	317	25	732
11TH							
Chaffee	194	53	5	252	105	21	126
Custer	10	5	0	15	3	1	11
Fremont	234	88	15	337	74	48	215
Park	57	16	3	76	21	25	30
TOTAL	495	162	23	680	203	95	382

^aRevised pending figure.

TABLE XX. (CONTINUED)

DI TRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH							
Alamosa	59	28	1	88	33	1	54
Conejos	35	33	3	71	31	3	37
Costilla	13	14	4	31	10	0	21
Mineral	9	3	0	12	2	0	10
Rio Grande	57	45	4	106	29	15	62
Saguache	24	24	1	49	16	2	31
TOTAL	197	147	13	357	121	21	215
13TH							
Kit Carson	89	38	0	127	54	1	72
Logan	175	69	2	246	82	8	156
Morgan	155	99	0	254	84	1	169
Phillips	50	29	1	80	26	1	53
Sedgwick	30	13	3	46	18	4	24
Washington	104	42	1	147	49	1	97
Yuma	187	46	0	233	18	10	205
TOTAL	790	336	7	1133	331	26	776
14TH							
Grand	41	12	2	55	3	0	52
Moffat	151	43	3	197	33	50	114
Routt	114	46	4	164	50	3	111
TOTAL	306	101	9	416	86	53	277
15TH							
Baca	197	66	5	268	64	5	199
Cheyenne	48	27	1	76	27	4	45
Kiowa	41	39	2	82	35	2	45
Prowers	106	72	44	222	65	0	157
TOTAL	392	204	52	648	191	11	446
16TH							
Bent	49	33	2	84	28	5	51
Crowley	40	10	0	50	5	1	44
Otero	175	84	7	266	100	18	148
TOTAL	264	127	9	400	133	24	243
17TH							
Adams	508	370	5	883	307	5	571
18TH							
Arapahoe	822	431	8	1261	280	13	968
Douglas	98	53	2	153	28	1	124
Elbert	35	21	7	63	13	11	39
Lincoln	56	36	2	94	43	2	49
TOTAL	1011	541	19	1571	364	27	1180
19TH							
Weld	685	314	14	1013	265	84	664
20TH							
Boulder	1180	356	19	1555	211	17	1327
21ST							
Mesa	460	198	32	690	264	48	378
22ND							
Dolores	17	12	1	30	14	2	14
Montezuma	82	65	2	149	40	6	103
TOTAL	99	77	3	179	54	8	117
STATE TOTAL	18183 ^a	7223	482	25888	6051	753	19084

TABLE XXI. JUVENILE CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTION'S	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST							
Gilpin	22	26	4	52	26	8	18
Jefferson	910	1482	285	2677	1450	135	1092
TOTAL	932	1508	289	2729	1476	143	1110
2ND							
Den. Juvenile	6835	3537	851	11223	2666 ^a	433	8124
3RD							
Huerfano	50	60	31	141	69	31	41
Las Animas	477	225	36	738	203	32	503
TOTAL	527	285	67	879	272	63	544
4TH							
El Paso	1317	2146	481	3944	1515	837	1592
Teller	20	33	15	68	31	11	26
TOTAL	1337	2179	496	4012	1546	848	1618
5TH							
Clear Creek	22	36	8	66	33	10	23
Eagle	52	56	15	123	70	12	41
Lake	49	56	34	139	63	20	56
Summit	49	30	7	86	14	5	67
TOTAL	172	178	64	414	180	47	187
6TH							
Archuleta	20	4	1	25	8	1	16
La Plata	80	129	60	269	119	55	95
San Juan	5	2	0	7	3	1	3
TOTAL	105	135	61	301	130	57	114
7TH							
Delta	76	79	4	159	69	51	39
Gunnison	12	26	2	40	24	2	14
Hinsdale	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Montrose	67	128	27	222	76	65	81
Ouray	2	13	3	18	13	0	5
San Miguel	13	3	0	16	0	5	11
TOTAL	170	250	36	456	183	123	150
8TH							
Jackson	13	3	0	16	2	0	14
Larimer	85	525	63	673	423	92	158
TOTAL	98	528	63	689	425	92	172
9TH							
Garfield	106	103	56	265	112	39	114
Pitkin	32	28	0	60	4	11	45
Rio Blanco	10	8	3	21	11	2	8
TOTAL	148	139	59	346	127	52	167
10TH							
Pueblo	693	1417	893	3003	1313	915	775
11TH							
Chaffee	52	81	30	163	98	6	59
Custer	1	2	0	3	0	0	3
Fremont	51	144	94	289	153	2	134
Park	14	23	8	45	13	9	23
TOTAL	118	250	132	500	264	17	219

^a Estimated. Based on percentage of filings to terminations for adoptions and relinquishments.

TABLE XXI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH							
Alamosa	31	78	36	145	79	35	31
Conejos	49	33	16	98	51	18	29
Costilla	15	23	0	38	22	3	13
Mineral	2	4	0	6	3	2	1
Rio Grande	66	92	30	188	79	62	47
Saguache	30	19	31	80	28	30	22
TOTAL	193	249	113	555	262	150	143
13TH							
Kit Carson	19	20	1	40	26	1	11
Logan	29	55	33	117	57	39	21
Morgan	53	181	52	286	204	25	57
Phillips	5	8	0	13	8	0	5
Sedgwick	9	7	0	16	6	0	10
Washington	21	40	6	67	37	6	24
Yuma	21	9	8	38	7	15	16
TOTAL	157	320	100	577	345	86	146
14TH							
Grand	32	55	6	93	28	4	61
Moffat	100	94	37	231	107	64	60
Routt	37	74	6	117	55	3	29
TOTAL	169	223	49	441	220	71	150
15TH							
Baca	12	21	2	35	22	7	6
Cheyenne	10	4	1	15	14	1	0
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prowers	68	105	23	196	91	20	85
TOTAL	90	130	26	246	127	28	91
16TH							
Bent	11	24	6	41	21	9	11
Crowley	7	15	0	22	14	2	6
Otero	72	235	78	385	194	68	123
TOTAL	90	274	84	448	229	79	140
17TH							
Adams	1975	1479	279	3733	1259	353	2121
18TH							
Arapahoe	693	1429	1801	3923	1112	1847	964
Douglas	53	58	17	128	68	25	35
Elbert	28	24	20	72	27	24	21
Lincoln	5	26	3	34	14	1	19
TOTAL	779	1537	1841	4157	1221	1897	1039
19TH							
Weld	1135	898	49	2082	436	51	1595
20TH							
Boulder	641	545	135	1321	382	232	707
21ST							
Mesa	350	565	539	1454	570	569	315
22ND							
Dolores	0	5	0	5	1	0	4
Montezuma	19	56	4	76	48	5	23
TOTAL	19	61	1	81	49	5	27
STATE TOTAL	16733	16687	6227	39647	13682	6311	19654

TABLE XXII. MENTAL HEALTH CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST							
Colpin	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Jefferson	194	160	29	383	141	60	182
TOTAL	194	161	29	384	142	60	182
2ND							
Den. Probate	289	539	423	1251	502	426	323
3RD							
Huerfano	16	12	0	28	23	0	5
Las Animas	8	39	6	53	44	6	3
TOTAL	24	51	6	81	67	6	8
4TH							
El Paso	118	501	81	700	397	99	204
Teller	1	2	1	4	1	1	2
TOTAL	119	503	82	704	398	100	206
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eagle	0	10	0	10	8	0	2
Lake	1	6	1	8	7	0	1
Summit	4	0	0	4	0	0	4
TOTAL	5	16	1	22	15	0	7
6TH							
Archuleta	7	2	0	9	0	0	9
La Plata	7	20	13	40	22	14	4
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	14	22	13	49	22	14	13
7TH							
Delta	8	9	1	18	16	1	1
Gunnison	1	2	0	3	2	1	0
Hinsdale	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Montrose	4	32	5	41	29	8	4
Ouray	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	14	44	6	64	49	10	5
8TH							
Jackson	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
Larimer	7	50	1	58	51	1	6
TOTAL	8	50	1	59	51	1	7
9TH							
Garfield	45	6	2	53	6	0	47
Pitkin	3	4	0	7	2	0	5
Rio Blanco	0	2	0	2	2	0	0
TOTAL	48	12	2	62	10	0	52
10TH							
Pueblo	223	412	57	692	423	87	182
11TH							
Chaffee	3	6	0	9	6	0	3
Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fremont	14	33	9	56	39	15	2
Park	1	3	0	4	3	0	1
TOTAL	18	42	9	69	48	15	6

TABLE XXII(CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH							
Alamosa	3	32	1	36	24	4	8
Conejos	0	9	0	9	7	0	2
Costilla	2	4	1	7	6	0	1
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	3	7	3	13	8	2	3
Saguache	0	5	0	5	3	2	0
TOTAL	8	57	5	70	48	8	14
13TH							
Kit Carson	3	2	0	5	3	0	2
Logan	1	8	0	9	8	0	1
Morgan	1	19	2	22	18	1	3
Phillips	2	2	0	4	4	0	0
Sedgwick	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
Washington	3	1	0	4	0	2	2
Yuma	1	2	0	3	0	0	3
TOTAL	11	35	2	48	33	3	12
14TH							
Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moffat	2	8	0	10	8	2	0
Routt	3	1	0	4	3	0	1
TOTAL	5	9	0	14	11	2	1
15TH							
Baca	6	8	1	15	13	2	0
Cheyenne	0	3	0	3	1	0	2
Kiowa	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Prowers	3	1	1	21	16	5	0
TOTAL	10	28	2	40	30	8	2
16TH							
Bent	27	31	0	58	40	15	3
Crowley	0	3	0	3	2	0	1
Otero	4	21	0	25	18	0	7
TOTAL	31	55	0	86	60	15	11
17TH							
Adams	18	96	6	120	88	12	20
18TH							
Arapahoe	39	213	5	257	220	11	26
Douglas	3	3	0	6	3	0	3
Elbert	0	2	0	2	2	0	0
Lincoln	1	2	0	3	3	0	0
TOTAL	43	220	5	268	228	11	29
19TH							
Weld	7	0	0	7	0	0	7
20TH							
Boulder	169	92	0	261	59	9	193
21ST							
Mesa	13	79	6	98	72	12	14
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	9	0	0	9	2	6	1
TOTAL	9	0	0	9	2	6	1
STATE TOTAL	1280	2523	655	4458	2358	805	1295

TABLE XXIII. CRIMINAL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST							
Gilpin	13	14	6	33	14	6	13
Jefferson	752	1234	314	2300	973	396	931
TOTAL	765	1248	320	2333	987	402	944
2ND							
Den. District	2738	2417	813	5968	1525	1032	3411
Den. Superior	195	109	16	320	110	0	210
TOTAL	2933	2526	829	6288	1635	1032	3621
3RD							
Huerfano	52	62	7	121	49	15	57
Las Animas	121	165	32	318	159	14	145
TOTAL	173	227	39	439	208	29	202
4TH							
El Paso	1869	2387	925	5181	2119	722	2340
Teller	69	54	28	151	57	29	65
TOTAL	1938	2441	953	5332	2176	751	2405
5TH							
Clear Creek	26	42	14	82	29	14	39
Eagle	56	84	22	162	60	17	85
Lake	41	55	10	106	47	8	51
Summit	66	65	16	147	35	13	89
TOTAL	189	246	62	497	171	52	274
6TH							
Archuleta	37	11	2	50	4	2	44
La Plata	181	168	163	512	178	170	164
San Juan	4	7	1	12	6	1	5
TOTAL	222	186	166	574	188	173	213
7TH							
Delta	31	46	15	92	37	32	23
Gunnison	12	25	0	37	15	1	21
Hinsdale	1	2	1	4	0	0	4
Montrose	43	79	18	140	55	35	50
Ouray	4	2	0	6	2	1	3
San Miguel	13	13	0	26	10	7	9
TOTAL	104	167	34	305	119	76	110
8TH							
Jackson	11	3	3	17	3	0	14
Larimer	1155	860	189	2204	547	270	1387
TOTAL	1166	863	192	2221	550	270	1401
9TH							
Garfield	105	154	20	279	122	6	151
Pitkin	88	37	3	178	21	34	123
Rio Blanco	7	5	9	21	7	6	8
TOTAL	200	246	32	478	150	46	282
10TH							
Pueblo	314	332	148	794	333	164	297
11TH							
Chaffee	54	75	31	160	47	31	82
Custer	2	3	0	5	2	0	3
Fremont	168	209	104	481	185	112	184
Park	15	13	0	28	6	2	20
TOTAL	239	300	135	674	240	145	289

TABLE XXIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH							
Alamosa	33	42	24	99	34	25	40
Conejos	14	10	3	27	14	6	7
Costilla	10	11	0	21	11	1	9
Mineral	3	0	0	3	1	0	2
Rio Grande	43	17	11	71	28	12	31
Saguache	16	7	8	31	12	11	8
TOTAL	119	87	46	252	100	55	97
13TH							
Kit Carson	14	13	6	33	15	6	12
Logan	50	64	2	116	63	9	44
Morgan	66	108	22	196	74	28	94
Phillips	7	5	0	12	8	0	4
Sedgwick	12	3	1	16	12	2	2
Washington	8	3	2	13	5	1	7
Yuma	7	9	1	17	9	3	5
TOTAL	164	205	34	403	186	49	168
14TH							
Grand	38	28	6	72	29	7	36
Moffat	73	63	3	139	67	19	53
Routt	56	75	4	135	58	6	71
TOTAL	167	166	13	346	154	32	160
15TH							
Baca	29	24	4	57	30	0	27
Cheyenne	14	9	3	26	8	3	15
Kiowa	7	5	1	13	9	1	3
Prowers	52	63	15	130	58	16	56
TOTAL	102	101	23	226	105	20	101
16TH							
Bent	17	13	6	36	15	5	16
Crowley	6	11	5	22	15	0	7
Otero	49	109	45	203	100	46	57
TOTAL	72	133	56	261	130	51	80
17TH							
Adams	617	641	272	1530	562	293	675
18TH							
Arapahoe	1250	1311	192	2753	947	153	1653
Douglas	77	98	7	182	79	7	96
Elbert	11	4	3	18	10	3	5
Lincoln	13	25	9	45	18	10	17
TOTAL	1351	1436	211	2998	1054	173	1771
19TH							
Weld	820	831	188	1839	672	146	1021
20TH							
Boulder	704	671	103	1478	368	179	931
21ST							
Mesa	184	306	255	745	225	244	276
22ND							
Dolores	3	2	0	5	2	2	1
Montezuma	16	49	3	68	36	5	27
TOTAL	19	51	3	73	38	7	28
STATE TOTAL	12562	13410	4114	30086	10351	4389	15346

TABLE XXIV. TOTAL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST							
Gilpin	130	131	16	277	108	28	141
Jefferson	9451	11036	2530	23017	9210	2809	10998
TOTAL	9581	11167	2546	23294	9318	2837	11139
2ND							
Den. District	19305	19350	6094	44749	15919	6472	22358
Den. Superior	2991	2660	342	5993	1998	288	3707
Den. Juvenile	6835	3537	851	11223	2666 ^a	433	8124
Den. Probate	5516 ^b	2356	580	8452	2089	523	5840
TOTAL	34647 ^b	27903	7867	70417	26722	7716	40029
3RD							
Huerfano	328	295	55	678	277	64	337
Las Animas	1211	779	169	2159	844	201	1114
TOTAL	1539	1074	224	2837	1121	265	1451
4TH							
El Paso	10141	13255	3419	26815	10932	3754	12129
Teller	226	300	64	590	270	70	250
TOTAL	10367	13555	3483	27405	11202	3824	12379
5TH							
Clear Creek	277	261	45	583	277	61	245
Eagle	496	638	109	1243	471	93	679
Lake	231	389	77	697	387	55	255
Summit	660	555	90	1305	315	182	808
TOTAL	1664	1843	321	3828	1450	391	1987
6TH							
Archuleta	269	161	9	439	94	27	318
La Plata	924	1154	506	2584	1009	522	1053
San Juan	34	34	1	69	34	4	31
TOTAL	1227	1349	516	3092	1137	553	1402
7TH							
Delta	506	566	59	1131	514	162	455
Gunnison	286	337	14	637	296	25	316
Hinsdale	35	28	6	69	18	11	40
Montrose	600	782	88	1470	673	200	597
Ouray	63	64	15	142	74	3	65
San Miguel	225	163	9	397	46	54	297
TOTAL	1715	1940	191	3846	1621	455	1770
8TH							
Jackson	81	32	7	120	26	5	89
Larimer	3448	4696	852	8996	3524	947	4525
TOTAL	3529	4728	859	9116	3550	952	4614
9TH							
Garfield	1155	924	168	2247	696	121	1430
Pitkin	948	666	17	1631	220	228	1183
Rio Blanco	176	196	74	446	176	68	202
TOTAL	2279	1786	259	4324	1092	417	2815
10TH							
Pueblo	3521	5007	2114	10642	4716	2180	3546
11TH							
Chaffee	504	568	127	1199	602	111	486
Custer	37	47	5	89	33	6	50
Fremont	1094	1145	359	2598	1126	478	994
Park	204	255	53	512	188	92	232
TOTAL	1839	2015	544	4398	1949	687	1762

^a Estimated. Based on percentage of filings to terminations for adoptions and relinquishments.

^b Revised pending figure.

TABLE XXIV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH							
Alamosa	304	504	145	953	508	146	299
Conejos	166	156	42	364	177	55	132
Costilla	69	94	8	171	79	7	85
Mineral	26	14	1	41	15	4	22
Rio Grande	283	364	91	738	337	140	261
Sagvache	124	131	57	312	111	70	131
TOTAL	972	1263	344	2579	1227	422	930
13TH							
Kit Carson	166	164	10	340	164	14	162
Logan	455	500	92	1047	473	121	453
Morgan	512	851	131	1494	792	109	593
Phillips	138	97	15	250	107	14	129
Sedgwick	82	51	9	142	63	13	66
Washington	184	151	13	348	148	17	183
Yuma	461	209	25	695	172	44	479
TOTAL	1998	2023	295	4316	1919	332	2065
14TH							
Grand	270	258	42	570	176	44	350
Moffat	594	580	83	1257	555	204	498
Routt	559	591	43	1193	590	14	589
TOTAL	1423	1429	168	3020	1321	262	1437
15TH							
Baca	344	217	24	585	217	23	345
Cheyenne	109	63	11	183	71	13	99
Kiowa	75	69	6	150	64	6	80
Prowers	433	505	116	1054	478	90	486
TOTAL	961	854	157	1972	830	132	1010
16TH							
Bent	159	165	19	353	170	42	141
Crowley	72	69	6	147	60	3	84
Otero	527	893	164	1584	824	167	593
TOTAL	768	1127	189	2084	1054	212	818
17TH							
Adams	7077	8348	1825	17250	7283	2739	7228
18TH							
Arapahoe	6797	9157	2366	18320	7225	2560	8535
Douglas	554	792	99	1445	584	104	757
Elbert	154	151	66	371	126	76	169
Lincoln	110	135	29	274	134	26	114
TOTAL	7615	10235	2560	20410	8069	2766	9575
19TH							
Weld	4846	4220	603	9669	2809	802	6058
20TH							
Boulder	6438	6098	1102	13638	5012	1589	7037
21ST							
Mesa	2273	3118	1903	7294	2853	2075	2366
22ND							
Dolores	37	51	3	91	46	10	35
Montezuma	308	580	27	915	407	32	476
TOTAL	345	631	30	1006	453	42	511
STATE TOTAL	106624 ^b	111713	28100	246437	92658	31850	121929

TABLE XXV. DOMESTIC RELATIONS FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1979-80*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE ^a	LEGAL SEPARA- TION ^b	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE ^c	CHILD CUSTODY ^d	RECIPROCAL INTER-STATE	NON-SUPPORT ^e INTRA-STATE	MISC. ^f	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	10	0	0	0	12	2	0	24
Jefferson	3148	68	9	29	645	79	12	3990
TOTAL	3158	68	9	29	657	81	12	4014
2ND								
Denver District	5867	73	15	39	953	92	97	7116
3RD								
Huerfano	31	1	1	0	23	18	4	78
Las Animas	87	1	0	0	36	27	3	154
TOTAL	118	2	1	0	59	45	7	232
4TH								
El Paso	3178	78	13	37	1221	54	0	4581
Teller	41	0	0	0	23	1	1	66
TOTAL	3219	78	13	37	1244	55	1	4647
5TH								
Clear Creek	50	1	0	2	17	5	2	77
Eagle	101	2	0	0	28	9	2	142
Lake	93	1	1	0	31	12	0	138
Summit	74	0	1	0	32	4	2	113
TOTAL	318	4	2	2	108	30	6	470
6TH								
Archuleta	25	0	0	1	16	4	1	47
La Plata	220	4	0	2	56	17	2	301
San Juan	6	0	0	0	3	2	0	11
TOTAL	251	4	0	3	75	23	3	359
7TH								
Delta	154	2	0	2	48	20	9	235
Gunnison	86	0	0	3	16	6	1	112
Hinsdale	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
Montrose	200	3	1	0	68	8	2	282
Ouray	8	1	0	0	2	1	0	12
San Miguel	17	0	0	0	9	3	0	29
TOTAL	467	6	1	5	144	38	12	673
8TH								
Jackson	6	1	0	0	4	1	0	12
Larimer	1168	29	4	22	308	70	4	1605
TOTAL	1174	30	4	22	312	71	4	1617
9TH								
Garfield	237	1	1	3	31	7	1	282
Pitkin	97	1	0	0	19	3	24	144
Rio Blanco	57	0	0	1	24	4	1	87
TOTAL	391	3	1	4	74	14	26	513
10TH								
Pueblo	1038	10	3	14	216	38	3	1322
11TH								
Chaffee	140	4	2	0	41	20	1	208
Custer	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	9
Fremont	258	2	4	2	93	36	0	395
Park	29	0	0	1	12	5	6	53
TOTAL	433	6	6	3	149	61	7	665

*See page a65 for footnotes.

TABLE XXV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE ^a	LEGAL SEPARA- TION ^b	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE ^c	CHILD CUSTODY ^d	RECIPROCAL INTER-STATE	NON-SUPPORT ^e INTRA-STATE	MISC. ^f	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	158	0	3	1	16	5	1	184
Conejos	15	0	0	2	5	1	0	23
Costilla	7	0	0	0	1	3	0	13
Mineral	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Rio Grande	51	0	0	1	33	7	0	92
Saguache	10	1	0	0	3	3	1	18
TOTAL	246	1	3	4	60	19	2	335
13TH								
Kit Carson	36	1	0	0	8	3	0	48
Logan	117	0	1	1	19	6	0	144
Morgan	181	5	1	0	41	10	6	244
Phillips	20	0	0	1	4	7	0	32
Sedgwick	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	12
Washington	28	0	1	0	6	1	0	36
Yuma	51	0	0	0	12	3	2	68
TOTAL	444	6	3	2	91	30	8	584
14TH								
Grand	40	0	0	1	5	11	6	63
Moffat	137	6	3	5	40	18	1	210
Routt	126	2	0	1	13	10	1	153
TOTAL	303	8	3	7	58	39	8	426
15TH								
Baca	39	0	0	0	1	1	1	42
Cheyenne	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	9
Kiowa	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Prowers	92	0	0	0	13	5	3	113
TOTAL	140	0	0	0	16	6	4	166
16TH								
Bent	28	1	0	1	1	1	0	32
Crowley	10	1	0	0	5	1	1	18
Otero	175	4	2	5	36	28	1	251
TOTAL	213	6	2	6	42	30	2	301
17TH								
Adams	1987	19	9	25	811	104	0	2955
18TH								
Arapahoe	2086	65	11	11	627	31	26	2857
Douglas	137	3	0	0	29	1	2	172
Elbert	20	0	0	1	11	2	0	34
Lincoln	25	0	0	0	6	5	0	36
TOTAL	2268	68	11	12	673	39	28	3099
19TH								
Weld	789	18	3	14	99	25	223	1171
20TH								
Boulder	1747	66	10	34	327	54	116	2354
21ST								
Mesa	840	6	5	2	274	84	2	1213
22ND								
Dolores	10	0	0	0	5	0	0	15
Montezuma	164	1	1	2	72	17	1	258
TOTAL	174	1	1	2	77	17	1	273
STATE TOTAL	25585	483	105	266	6519	975	572	34505
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	74.1	1.4	.3	.8	18.9	2.8	1.7	100.0

TABLE XXVI. CIVIL CASE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1979-80*

DISTRICT & COUNTY	-----NEGLIGENCE-----				REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY ^b	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT. ^c	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISC. ^d	TOTAL ^e
	-PERSONAL INJURY- MOTOR VEHICLE ^a	OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH						
1ST										
Gilpin	0	1	0	0	28	0	14	0	10	53
Jefferson	183	155	88	16	617	70	1963	17	397	3506
TOTAL	183	156	88	16	645	70	1977	17	407	3559
2ND										
Den. Dist.	684	514	252	58	1687	147	5377	0	1098	9817
Den. Sup.	1	6	184	0	24	0	2282	21	33	2551
TOTAL	685	520	436	58	1711	147	7659	21	1131	12368
3RD										
Huerfano	1	0	3	0	27	0	25	0	7	63
Las Animas	6	3	4	0	32	5	92	0	14	156
TOTAL	7	3	7	0	59	5	117	0	21	219
4TH										
Ll. Paso	211	112	87	15	513	26	1709	1	383	3063
Teller	0	2	4	0	57	1	24	2	26	116
TOTAL	211	114	91	15	570	27	1733	3	409	3179
5TH										
Clear Creek	0	2	2	0	29	1	38	0	15	87
Eagle	7	11	3	0	87	8	170	1	42	329
Lake	2	7	7	0	38	1	22	0	21	94
Summit	6	6	10	0	87	7	181	1	44	342
TOTAL	15	26	18	0	241	17	411	2	122	852
6TH										
Archuleta	2	2	0	0	26	1	52	0	6	89
La Plata	17	12	13	0	139	7	215	0	41	444
San Juan	1	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	3	12
TOTAL	20	14	13	0	170	8	270	0	50	545
7TH										
Delta	1	3	2	0	28	0	74	0	19	127
Gunnison	4	3	3	1	18	0	93	5	20	147
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	10	0	3	0	3	16
Montrose	0	2	1	0	17	3	165	1	19	208
Ouray	0	0	1	0	15	0	7	0	6	29
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	20	0	58	1	27	106
TOTAL	5	8	7	1	108	3	400	7	94	633
8TH										
Jackson	1	1	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	9
Larimer	59	44	25	11	312	22	745	7	145	1370
TOTAL	60	45	25	11	316	22	748	7	145	1379
9TH										
Garfield	4	21	3	2	72	7	167	0	46	322
Pitkin	6	12	10	2	63	3	165	0	109	379
Rio Blanco	0	1	3	0	22	0	29	0	14	69
TOTAL	10	34	16	4	157	10	361	0	169	761
10TH										
Pueblo	68	57	46	9	194	14	583	9	176	1156
11TH										
Chaffee	1	4	2	1	40	2	54	0	41	145
Custer	0	0	0	0	22	0	5	0	1	28
Fremont	4	7	2	1	54	23	77	0	108	276
Park	1	2	1	0	97	6	29	0	11	147
TOTAL	6	13	5	2	213	31	165	0	161	596

*See page a65 for footnotes.

TABLE XXVI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT & COUNTY	-----NEGLIGENCE-----				REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY ^b	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT. ^c	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISC. ^d	TOTAL ^e
	-PERSONAL INJURY- MOTOR VEHICLE ^a	OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH						
12TH										
Alamosa	4	3	6	0	42	3	64	0	18	140
Conejos	3	0	0	0	17	0	17	0	11	48
Costilla	0	1	0	0	12	0	16	0	0	29
Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Rio Grande	1	6	4	0	19	0	68	1	12	111
Saguache	0	1	2	1	19	0	31	0	4	58
TOTAL	8	11	12	1	110	3	197	1	45	388
13TH										
Kit Carson	1	0	1	0	5	0	31	0	3	41
Logan	2	2	4	2	43	2	78	0	27	160
Morgan	6	3	0	2	44	11	105	0	29	200
Phillips	0	1	2	0	4	0	10	1	3	21
Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	3	0	10	0	1	15
Washington	1	0	0	0	11	1	9	0	7	29
Yuma	1	1	1	0	15	1	42	0	14	75
TOTAL	12	7	8	4	125	15	287	1	84	543
14TH										
Grand	2	1	0	0	34	0	38	0	25	100
Moffat	2	5	4	1	35	3	85	4	23	162
Routt	7	3	5	0	55	5	98	4	65	242
TOTAL	11	9	9	1	124	8	221	8	113	504
15TH										
Baca	1	0	0	0	27	0	16	0	12	56
Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	0	4	11
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	8	0	12	0	3	23
Prowers	1	3	4	0	28	0	71	0	28	135
TOTAL	2	3	5	0	65	0	103	0	47	225
16TH										
Bent	0	0	2	0	16	0	13	0	1	32
Crowley	0	0	0	0	5	0	6	0	1	12
Otero	4	6	7	1	54	2	101	0	18	193
TOTAL	4	6	9	1	75	2	120	0	20	237
17TH										
Adams	128	98	104	15	349	6	1182	2	923	2807
18TH										
Arapahoe	186	76	81	9	598	8	1513	5	438	2916
Douglas	13	7	3	2	154	7	169	2	51	408
Elbert	0	1	2	0	30	1	27	0	5	66
Lincoln	0	0	1	0	8	0	3	0	0	12
TOTAL	201	84	87	11	790	16	1712	7	494	3402
19TH										
Weld	51	17	44	5	217	14	500	2	136	1006
20TH										
Boulder	128	122	62	5	340	47	1177	13	186	2080
21ST										
Mesa	19	12	19	2	102	0	539	4	60	757
22ND										
Dolores	1	1	0	0	5	0	8	0	2	17
Montezuma	1	8	3	1	35	0	81	1	22	152
TOTAL	2	9	3	1	40	0	89	1	24	169
STATE TOTAL	1836	1368	1114	162	6741	465	20551	111	5017	37365
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	4.9	3.7	3.0	.4	18.0	1.3	55.0	.3	13.4	100.0

TABLE XXVII. PROBATE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1979-80*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS ^a	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC. ^b	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	2	3	1	0	0	7	13
Jefferson	116	317	64	130	17	18	664
TOTAL	120	320	65	130	17	25	677
2ND							
Denver Probate	337	1021	106	198	5	180	1817
3RD							
Huerfano	8	9	0	1	0	2	20
Las Animas	17	15	3	0	0	5	40
TOTAL	25	24	3	1	0	7	60
4TH							
El Paso	86	323	11	66	24	67	577
Teller	6	18	0	3	0	2	29
TOTAL	92	341	11	69	24	69	606
5TH							
Clear Creek	4	9	2	1	0	3	19
Eagle	3	4	1	1	0	7	17
Lake	6	9	3	2	16	4	40
Summit	2	2	0	1	0	0	5
TOTAL	15	24	6	5	17	14	81
6TH							
Archuleta	1	4	0	1	0	2	8
La Plata	22	47	5	6	6	6	92
San Juan	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	23	53	5	7	6	8	102
7TH							
Delta	12	41	7	4	0	8	70
Gunnison	5	10	1	0	0	9	25
Hinsdale	1	3	0	0	0	2	6
Montrose	13	27	3	10	0	0	53
Ouray	1	4	0	2	0	0	7
San Miguel	0	5	0	0	0	7	12
TOTAL	32	90	11	16	0	24	173
8TH							
Jackson	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
Larimer	47	173	11	41	0	9	286
TOTAL	49	176	11	41	0	9	291
9TH							
Garfield	11	39	1	5	1	10	67
Pitkin	6	12	1	1	0	13	33
Rio Blanco	3	19	0	0	2	1	25
TOTAL	20	60	2	6	3	24	115
10TH							
Pueblo	117	153	15	58	2	3	368
11TH							
Chaffee	14	39	2	4	1	3	53
Custer	0	1	0	3	0	1	5
Fremont	17	52	2	14	0	3	88
Park	8	7	0	1	0	0	16
TOTAL	39	89	4	22	1	7	162

* See page a65 for footnotes.

TABLE XXVII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS ^a	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC. ^b	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	2	19	2	5	0	0	28
Conejos	7	8	0	0	12	6	33
Costilla	5	3	2	1	0	3	14
Mineral	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Rio Grande	10	22	3	2	3	5	45
Saguache	12	10	1	0	1	0	24
TOTAL	36	64	8	8	17	14	147
13TH							
Kit Carson	11	15	3	0	6	3	38
Logan	18	37	2	5	0	7	69
Morgan	23	51	14	3	1	7	99
Phillips	10	17	0	2	0	0	29
Sedgwick	4	7	0	0	0	2	13
Washington	9	32	0	1	0	0	42
Yuma	24	18	0	3	0	1	46
TOTAL	99	177	19	14	7	20	336
14TH							
Grand	3	3	0	1	0	5	12
Moffat	7	15	2	3	1	15	43
Routt	3	17	3	6	0	17	46
TOTAL	13	35	5	10	1	37	101
15TH							
Baca	21	24	1	1	1	18	66
Cheyenne	6	13	0	1	0	7	27
Kiowa	12	21	0	1	0	5	39
Prowers	10	42	5	5	0	10	72
TOTAL	49	100	6	8	1	40	204
16TH							
Bent	6	23	1	1	0	2	33
Crowley	2	6	0	1	0	1	10
Otero	20	33	7	8	0	16	84
TOTAL	28	62	8	10	0	19	127
17TH							
Adams	102	169	24	48	23	4	370
18TH							
Arapahoe	69	240	16	76	8	22	431
Douglas	6	22	3	5	13	4	53
Elbert	7	5	2	1	2	4	21
Lincoln	11	17	1	1	1	5	36
TOTAL	93	284	22	83	24	35	541
19TH							
Weld	59	145	16	15	27	52	314
20TH							
Boulder	50	201	40	40	0	25	356
21ST							
Mesa	35	103	13	23	5	19	198
22ND							
Delores	2	7	0	1	2	0	12
Mortenson	15	31	1	7	2	9	65
TOTAL	17	38	1	8	4	9	77
STATE TOTAL	1450	3729	421	790	189	644	7223
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	20.1	51.6	5.8	11.0	2.6	8.9	100.0

TABLE XXVIII. JUVENILE CASE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE^a - FY 1979-80*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN- QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETI- TIONS ^b	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS ^c	RELINQUISH- MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT ^d	MISC. ^e	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	19	0	2	0	1	0	4	26
Jefferson	833	0	92	63	288	137	69	1482
TOTAL	852	0	94	63	289	137	73	1508
2ND								
Denver Juv.	1281	0	519	319	274	1100	44	3537
3RD								
Huerfano	10	4	2	0	5	33	6	60
Las Animas	89	1	15	4	14	71	31	225
TOTAL	99	5	17	4	19	104	37	285
4TH								
El Paso	723	28	515	75	299	381	125	2146
Teller	15	1	10	0	2	1	4	33
TOTAL	738	29	525	75	301	382	129	2179
5TH								
Clear Creek	26	1	2	0	2	3	2	36
Eagle	27	0	17	1	8	0	3	56
Lake	23	0	16	1	8	6	2	56
Summit	21	0	2	1	3	1	2	30
TOTAL	97	1	37	3	21	10	9	178
6TH								
Archuleta	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
La Plata	32	5	31	4	28	10	19	129
San Juan	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
TOTAL	32	5	31	6	30	11	20	135
7TH								
Delta	18	0	8	1	22	19	11	79
Gunnison	17	0	4	2	0	0	3	26
Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Montrose	34	23	18	4	28	11	10	128
Ouray	3	0	3	0	5	0	2	13
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3
TOTAL	73	23	33	7	57	31	26	250
8TH								
Jackson	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Larimer	173	0	68	14	94	123	53	525
TOTAL	176	0	68	14	94	123	53	528
9TH								
Garfield	40	1	11	3	29	11	8	103
Pitkin	3	0	0	1	7	0	17	28
Rio Blanco	2	0	1	0	4	0	1	8
TOTAL	45	1	12	4	40	11	26	139
10TH								
Pueblo	488	4	193	34	64	534	100	1417
11TH								
Chaffee	15	0	7	0	14	26	19	81
Custer	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Fremont	51	2	33	7	23	24	4	144
Park	15	0	3	0	4	1	0	23
TOTAL	81	2	43	7	42	51	24	250

^aSee page a65 for footnotes.

TABLE XXVIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN- QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETI- TIONS ^b	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS ^c	RELINQUISH- MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT ^d	MISC. ^e	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	25	1	6	6	12	19	9	78
Conejos	14	0	1	0	3	13	2	33
Costilla	4	1	0	1	1	14	0	23
Mineral	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
Rio Grande	22	2	12	3	8	22	23	92
Saguache	5	0	1	2	3	8	0	19
TOTAL	70	4	20	12	33	76	34	249
13TH								
Kit Carson	2	0	5	2	6	5	0	20
Logan	9	2	16	5	15	0	8	55
Morgan	22	0	41	4	18	79	17	181
Phillips	0	0	2	1	4	1	0	8
Sedgwick	0	0	3	0	3	1	0	7
Washington	6	0	12	1	8	11	2	40
Yuma	0	0	0	1	6	0	2	9
TOTAL	39	2	79	14	60	97	29	320
14TH								
Grand	41	5	1	1	4	0	3	55
Moffat	18	1	5	1	17	15	37	94
Routt	40	0	4	1	19	0	10	74
TOTAL	99	6	10	3	40	15	50	223
15TH								
Baca	5	0	1	0	15	0	0	21
Cheyenne	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prowers	39	0	12	6	20	14	14	105
TOTAL	46	0	13	6	36	14	15	130
16TH								
Bent	12	1	1	3	4	2	1	24
Crowley	3	0	3	0	6	1	2	15
Otero	48	0	43	6	28	95	15	235
TOTAL	63	1	47	9	38	98	18	274
17TH								
Adams	553	7	234	28	263	357	37	1479
18TH								
Arapahoe	734	0	197	31	227	205	35	1429
Douglas	21	2	0	3	22	10	0	58
Elbert	4	0	4	0	5	9	2	24
Lincoln	8	0	5	0	1	11	1	26
TOTAL	767	2	206	34	255	235	38	1537
19TH								
Weld	385	17	138	22	102	234	0	898
20TH								
Boulder	282	7	62	15	120	35	24	545
21ST								
Mesa	259	34	77	11	70	81	33	565
22ND								
Dolores	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	5
Montezuma	18	0	8	4	9	16	1	56
TOTAL	18	0	10	4	12	16	1	61
STATE TOTAL	6543	150	2468	694	2260	3752	820	16687
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	39.2	.9	14.8	4.2	13.5	22.5	4.9	100.0

TABLE XXIX. MENTAL HEALTH FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1979-80*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	-----MENTAL EVALUATION ^a	ILLNESS----- SHORT TERM ^b	LONG TERM ^c	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS ^d	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS ^e	MISC. ^f	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Jefferson	36	60	0	30	34	0	160
TOTAL	37	60	0	30	34	0	161
2ND							
Denver Probate	118	361	1	0	36	23	539
3RD							
Huerfano	5	7	0	0	0	0	12
Las Animas	15	5	0	0	0	19	39
TOTAL	20	12	0	0	0	19	51
4TH							
El Paso	150	184	1	0	143	23	501
Teller	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	151	185	1	0	143	23	503
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eagle	0	10	0	0	0	0	10
Lake	2	4	0	0	0	0	6
Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	14	0	0	0	0	16
6TH							
Archuleta	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
La Plata	10	7	0	0	3	0	20
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	10	7	0	0	5	0	22
7TH							
Delta	2	4	1	0	2	0	9
Gunnison	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	1	28	0	0	1	2	32
Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	3	34	1	0	3	3	44
8TH							
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer	4	19	0	0	27	0	50
TOTAL	4	19	0	0	27	0	50
9TH							
Garfield	2	1	0	0	1	2	6
Pitkin	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
Rio Blanco	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	4	5	0	0	1	2	12
10TH							
Pueblo	93	243	12	0	41	23	412
11TH							
Chaffee	0	5	0	0	1	0	6
Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fremont	0	29	0	0	0	4	33
Park	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL	0	37	0	0	1	4	42

* See page a66 for footnotes.

TABLE XXIX. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	-----MENTAL ILLNESS-----			DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS ^d	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS ^e	MISC. ^f	TOTAL
	EVALUATION ^a	SHORT TERM ^b	LONG TERM ^c				
12TH							
Alamosa	3	25	0	0	4	0	32
Conejos	4	5	0	0	0	0	9
Costilla	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	3	4	0	0	0	0	7
Saguache	0	3	0	0	0	2	5
TOTAL	11	40	0	0	4	2	57
13TH							
Kit Carson	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Logan	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
Morgan	17	0	0	0	2	0	19
Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Washington	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Yuma	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
TOTAL	31	1	0	1	2	0	35
14TH							
Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moffat	0	7	0	0	0	1	8
Routt	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	1	7	0	0	0	1	9
15TH							
Baca	6	0	0	0	2	0	8
Cheyenne	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prowers	3	8	0	0	3	3	17
TOTAL	10	10	0	0	5	3	28
16TH							
Bent	0	30	0	0	0	1	31
Crowley	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Otero	1	20	0	0	0	0	21
TOTAL	1	53	0	0	0	1	55
17TH							
Adams	18	51	0	1	20	6	96
18TH							
Arapahoe	199	3	0	0	8	3	213
Douglas	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Elbert	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Lincoln	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	201	7	1	0	8	3	220
19TH							
Weld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20TH							
Boulder	22	47	0	16	3	4	92
21ST							
Mesa	12	36	0	4	22	5	79
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATE TOTAL	749	1229	16	52	355	122	2523
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	29.7	48.7	.6	2.1	14.1	4.8	100.0

TABLE XXX. CRIMINAL OFFENSE FILINGS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE - FY 1979-80*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON ^a	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY ^b	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD ^c	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS ^d	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC. ^e OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
1ST							
Gilpin	9	1	0	1	0	2	13
Jefferson	150	529	67	17	111	306	1180
TOTAL	159	530	67	18	111	308	1193
2ND							
Denver Dist.	328	1207	221	6	226	196	2184
3RD							
Huerfano	8	12	4	1	21	12	58
Las Animas	63	62	10	5	12	0	152
TOTAL	71	74	14	6	33	12	210
4TH							
El Paso	456	1317	160	46	183	93	2255
Teller	8	25	1	2	1	15	52
TOTAL	464	1342	161	48	184	108	2307
5TH							
Clear Creek	6	21	3	1	0	8	39
Eagle	25	28	3	5	6	3	73
Lake	10	25	3	2	4	7	51
Summit	6	25	5	6	2	15	59
TOTAL	50	99	14	14	12	33	222
6TH							
Archuleta	3	3	0	0	0	3	9
La Plata	17	61	9	6	32	30	155
San Juan	2	1	1	0	1	0	5
TOTAL	22	65	10	6	33	33	169
7TH							
Delta	2	24	0	8	0	6	40
Gunnison	7	6	0	1	0	6	20
Hinsdale	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Montrose	11	37	7	13	2	9	79
Ouray	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
San Miguel	1	9	2	0	0	1	13
TOTAL	21	78	11	22	2	22	156
8TH							
Jackson	1	1	0	0	1	0	3
Larimer	143	482	83	31	50	46	835
TOTAL	144	483	83	31	51	46	838
9TH							
Garfield	21	63	20	20	8	5	137
Pitkin	18	21	8	3	0	36	86
Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
TOTAL	39	85	28	23	8	42	225
10TH							
Pueblo	47	146	25	5	25	69	317
11TH							
Chaffee	12	26	6	8	8	5	65
Custer	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Fremont	42	53	20	45	14	27	201
Park	3	2	1	0	0	7	13
TOTAL	58	83	27	53	22	39	282

* See page a66 for footnotes.

TABLE XXX. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON ^a	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY ^b	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD ^c	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS ^d	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC. ^e OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
12TH							
Alamosa	5	18	2	3	3	10	41
Conejos	2	5	0	0	0	2	9
Costilla	3	1	2	1	1	0	8
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	2	5	2	1	1	4	15
Saguache	1	2	1	1	0	1	6
TOTAL	13	31	7	6	5	17	79
13TH							
Kit Carson	1	5	0	2	1	2	11
Logan	4	22	6	0	2	16	50
Morgan	11	62	1	1	2	13	90
Phillips	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
Washington	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Yuma	2	3	1	0	1	0	7
TOTAL	18	95	8	3	8	33	165
14TH							
Grand	7	10	2	0	2	5	26
Moffat	7	16	8	0	2	7	40
Routt	10	29	4	3	18	3	67
TOTAL	24	55	14	3	22	15	133
15TH							
Baca	4	13	1	0	3	2	23
Cheyenne	1	7	0	0	0	0	8
Kiowa	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
Prowers	17	28	3	3	4	2	57
TOTAL	23	49	4	3	7	5	91
16TH							
Bent	5	7	0	0	0	0	12
Crowley	4	5	2	0	0	0	11
Otero	27	45	5	1	0	14	92
TOTAL	36	57	7	1	0	14	115
17TH							
Adams	88	302	21	6	32	168	617
18TH							
Arapahoe	174	701	113	17	76	191	1272
Douglas	20	38	2	5	1	18	84
Elbert	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
Lincoln	0	15	4	0	0	2	21
TOTAL	194	756	119	22	77	212	1380
19TH							
Weld	197	363	98	12	50	89	809
20TH							
Boulder	128	364	27	20	60	42	641
21ST							
Mesa	41	216	18	6	8	11	300
22ND							
Dolores	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Montezuma	16	17	5	0	2	2	42
TOTAL	17	18	5	0	2	2	44
STATE TOTAL	2182	6498	989	314	978	1516	12477
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	17.5	52.1	7.9	2.5	7.8	12.2	100.0

TABLE XXXI. OFFENSE AND NON-OFFENSE FILINGS PLUS
TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS - FY 1979-80*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON- OFFENSE FILINGS ^a	TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS
1ST				
Gilpin	13	1	0	14
Jefferson	1180	54	0	1234
TOTAL	1193	55	0	1248
2ND				
Denver District	2184	0	233	2417
Denver Superior	0	109	0	109
TOTAL	2184	109	233	2526
3RD				
Huerfano	58	3	1	62
Las Animas	152	3	10	165
TOTAL	210	6	11	227
4TH				
El Paso	2255	14	98	2387
Teller	52	2	0	54
TOTAL	2307	36	98	2441
5TH				
Clear Creek	39	3	0	42
Eagle	73	4	7	84
Lake	51	3	1	55
Summit	59	0	6	65
TOTAL	222	10	14	246
6TH				
Archuleta	9	0	2	11
La Plata	155	4	9	168
San Juan	5	0	2	7
TOTAL	169	4	13	186
7TH				
Delta	40	0	6	46
Gunnison	20	3	2	25
Hinsdale	2	0	0	2
Montrose	79	0	0	79
Ouray	2	0	0	2
San Miguel	13	0	0	13
TOTAL	156	3	8	167
8TH				
Jackson	3	0	0	3
Larimer	835	14	11	860
TOTAL	838	14	11	863
9TH				
Garfield	137	11	6	154
Pitkin	86	1	0	87
Rio Blanco	2	3	0	5
TOTAL	225	15	6	246
10TH				
Pueblo	317	15	0	332
11TH				
Chaffee	65	3	7	75
Custer	3	0	0	3
Fremont	201	2	6	209
Park	13	0	0	13
TOTAL	282	5	13	300

*See page a66 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON- OFFENSE FILINGS ^a	TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS
12TH				
Alamosa	41	1	0	42
Conejos	9	0	1	10
Costilla	8	0	3	11
Mineral	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	15	2	0	17
Saguache	6	0	1	7
TOTAL	79	3	5	87
13TH				
Kit Carson	11	0	2	13
Logan	50	6	8	64
Morgan	90	3	15	108
Phillips	3	2	0	5
Sedgwick	3	0	0	3
Washington	1	1	1	3
Yuma	7	0	2	9
TOTAL	165	12	28	205
14TH				
Grand	26	2	0	28
Moffat	40	10	13	63
Routt	67	7	1	75
TOTAL	133	19	14	166
15TH				
Baca	23	1	0	24
Cheyenne	8	0	1	9
Kiowa	3	2	0	5
Prowers	57	2	4	63
TOTAL	91	5	5	101
16TH				
Bent	12	0	1	13
Crowley	11	0	0	11
Otero	92	6	11	109
TOTAL	115	6	12	133
17TH				
Adams	617	24	0	641
18TH				
Arapahoe	1272	30	9	1311
Douglas	84	6	8	98
Elbert	3	0	1	4
Lincoln	21	1	1	23
TOTAL	1380	37	19	1436
19TH				
Weld	809	11	11	831
20TH				
Boulder	641	29	1	671
21ST				
Mesa	300	4	2	306
22ND				
Dolores	2	0	0	2
Montezuma	42	5	2	49
TOTAL	44	5	2	51
STATE TOTAL	12477	427	506	13410
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	93.0	3.2	3.8	100.0

TABLE XXXII. DOMESTIC RELATIONS* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE ^a	LEGAL SEPARA- TION ^b	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE ^c	CHILD CUSTODY ^d	RECIPROCAL NON-SUPPORT ^e		MISC. ^f	TOTAL
					INTER-STATE	INTRA-STATE		
1ST								
Gilpin	4	0	0	0	14	1	0	19
Jefferson	2603	66	15	14	628	73	38	3437
TOTAL	2607	66	15	14	642	74	38	3456
2ND								
Denver District	5554	86	21	31	932	58	28	6710
3RD								
Huerfano	32	1	0	0	21	21	0	75
Las Animas	78	0	1	2	31	25	2	139
TOTAL	110	1	1	2	52	46	2	214
4TH								
El Paso	2912	68	12	25	1071	45	16	4119
Teller	30	1	0	0	24	2	0	57
TOTAL	2942	69	12	25	1095	47	16	4206
5TH								
Clear Creek	57	0	0	1	20	6	1	85
Eagle	87	1	0	0	30	6	1	125
Lake	101	1	1	1	29	13	0	145
Summit	57	0	0	0	16	1	0	74
TOTAL	302	2	1	2	94	26	2	429
6TH								
Archuleta	18	0	0	1	16	3	1	39
La Plata	217	3	0	4	58	16	2	300
San Juan	8	0	0	0	3	2	0	13
TOTAL	243	3	0	5	77	21	3	352
7TH								
Delta	146	6	0	0	41	20	3	216
Gunnison	83	0	0	4	25	5	0	117
Hinsdale	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	5
Montrose	213	3	1	0	65	5	2	289
Ouray	5	1	0	0	2	0	6	15
San Miguel	10	0	0	0	5	1	0	16
TOTAL	461	10	1	4	139	32	11	658
8TH								
Jackson	5	0	0	0	2	1	0	8
Larimer	986	16	3	11	251	56	1	1324
TOTAL	991	16	3	11	253	57	1	1332
9TH								
Garfield	183	2	1	3	26	3	2	220
Pitkin	68	1	0	0	10	0	0	79
Rio Blanco	57	0	0	1	23	4	0	85
TOTAL	308	3	1	4	59	7	2	384
10TH								
Pueblo	1079	13	4	11	202	35	4	1348
11TH								
Chaffee	157	0	0	3	40	17	1	218
Custer	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
Fremont	270	2	2	5	108	30	2	419
Park	18	0	0	0	7	0	4	29
TOTAL	449	2	2	8	156	47	7	671

*See Domestic Relations filings footnotes (page a65).

-a50-

TABLE XXXII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE ^a	LEGAL SEPARA- TION ^b	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE ^c	CHILD CUSTODY ^d	RECIPROCAL INTER-STATE	NON-SUPPORT ^e INTRA-STATE	MISC. ^f	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	159	1	3	2	17	8	6	196
Conejos	15	1	0	0	7	1	0	24
Costilla	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	5
Mineral	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Rio Grande	54	0	0	0	28	4	1	87
Saguache	8	2	0	0	2	3	1	16
TOTAL	240	4	3	2	54	20	8	331
13TH								
Kit Carson	24	0	0	0	8	3	0	35
Logan	110	0	2	0	19	6	0	137
Morgan	182	9	1	1	34	9	2	238
Phillips	23	0	0	0	5	7	0	35
Sedgwick	15	0	0	0	2	1	0	18
Washington	17	1	1	0	3	1	0	23
Yuma	52	0	0	0	15	3	1	71
TOTAL	423	10	4	1	86	30	3	557
14TH								
Grand	37	0	0	1	3	9	2	52
Moffat	136	3	2	2	44	12	4	203
Routt	125	0	0	2	22	5	3	157
TOTAL	298	3	2	5	69	26	9	412
15TH								
Baca	27	0	0	0	1	2	0	30
Cheyenne	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Kiowa	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Prowers	89	0	0	0	16	9	1	115
TOTAL	129	0	0	0	17	11	1	158
16TH								
Bent	26	0	0	1	3	3	0	33
Crowley	8	1	0	0	2	3	0	14
Otero	165	1	3	5	37	29	1	241
TOTAL	199	2	3	6	42	35	1	288
17TH								
Adams	1841	36	8	19	701	76	14	2695
18TH								
Arapahoe	1989	52	3	1	430	20	15	2510
Douglas	109	2	0	2	24	0	1	138
Elbert	17	0	0	0	6	1	0	24
Lincoln	22	0	0	0	4	6	0	32
TOTAL	2137	54	3	3	464	27	16	2704
19TH								
Weld	668	8	4	5	79	12	7	783
20TH								
Boulder	1758	68	10	14	274	40	37	2201
21ST								
Mesa	823	4	4	6	196	65	5	1103
22ND								
Dolores	11	0	0	1	4	0	0	16
Montezuma	143	0	1	3	24	5	0	176
TOTAL	154	0	1	4	28	5	0	192
STATE TOTAL	23716	460	103	182	5711	797	215	31184
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	76.0	1.5	.3	.6	18.3	2.6	.7	100.0

TABLE XXXIII. CIVIL^a TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1979-80

DISTRICT & COUNTY	-----NEGLIGENCE-----				REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY ^b	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT. ^c	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISC. ^d	TOTAL ^e
	- PERSONAL INJURY- MOTOR VEHICLE ^a	OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH						
1ST										
Gilpin	0	3	0	0	22	0	9	0	5	43
Jefferson	143	90	75	8	513	82	1556	14	305	2786
TOTAL	143	93	75	8	535	82	1565	14	314	2829
2ND										
Den. Dist.	531	400	191	34	1319	110	4243	0	856	7684
Den. Sup.	1	5	126	0	9	0	1713	18	16	1888
TOTAL	532	405	317	34	1328	110	5956	18	872	9572
3RD										
Huerfano	0	0	1	0	20	1	18	0	7	47
Las Animas	3	3	5	0	27	3	74	0	11	126
TOTAL	3	3	6	0	47	4	92	0	18	173
4TH										
El Paso	140	87	82	5	414	25	1310	16	267	2346
Teller	0	2	3	0	52	2	28	3	14	104
TOTAL	140	89	85	5	466	27	1338	19	281	2450
5TH										
Clear Creek	0	5	2	0	32	8	32	0	16	95
Eagle	0	9	4	0	41	0	108	1	21	184
Lake	1	1	1	1	44	3	30	0	14	95
Summit	5	5	5	0	61	0	89	0	23	188
TOTAL	6	20	12	1	178	11	259	1	74	562
6TH										
Archuleta	2	0	0	0	10	1	17	0	6	36
La Plata	15	12	16	1	82	7	162	0	36	331
San Juan	0	1	0	0	6	0	4	0	1	12
TOTAL	17	13	16	1	98	8	183	0	43	379
7TH										
Delta	0	2	1	0	30	0	60	0	25	118
Gunnison	1	1	1	0	28	1	70	5	23	130
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	5
Montrose	0	3	0	0	32	7	133	1	15	191
Ouray	1	0	0	0	19	0	7	0	2	29
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	5	0	10	0	3	18
TOTAL	2	6	2	0	117	9	281	6	68	491
8TH										
Jackson	0	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	1	9
Larimer	26	29	10	2	231	16	515	5	108	942
TOTAL	26	29	10	2	237	16	517	5	109	951
9TH										
Garfield	5	11	4	0	45	1	114	0	27	207
Pitkin	4	9	2	1	19	5	56	0	10	106
Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	17	2	20	0	13	53
TOTAL	9	20	7	1	81	8	190	0	50	366
10TH										
Pueblo	76	54	39	3	162	15	538	10	85	982
11TH										
Chaffee	1	1	4	0	34	2	37	0	49	128
Custer	1	0	0	0	19	0	1	0	2	23
Fremont	4	8	2	2	53	18	90	1	78	256
Park	0	2	1	0	71	2	32	0	8	116
TOTAL	6	11	7	2	177	22	160	1	137	523

^a See Civil filings footnotes (page a65).

TABLE XXXIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT & COUNTY	-----NEGLIGENCE-----				REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY ^b	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT. ^c	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT		TOTAL ^e
	-PERSONAL INJURY- MOTOR VEHICLE ^a	OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH				APPEALS	MISC. ^d	
12TH										
Alamosa	4	1	10	0	40	3	72	0	12	142
Conejos	4	0	0	0	12	0	23	0	11	50
Costilla	0	1	0	0	11	0	11	0	2	25
Mineral	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	6
Rio Grande	1	7	3	0	19	0	61	0	15	106
Saguache	0	1	0	1	14	1	15	0	4	36
TOTAL	9	10	13	1	100	4	184	0	44	365
13TH										
Kit. Carson	0	0	0	0	12	0	17	0	2	31
Logan	2	3	2	2	38	1	57	1	20	126
Morgan	6	1	7	2	34	13	101	0	10	174
Phillips	0	1	0	0	7	0	11	2	5	26
Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	2	9
Washington	0	0	0	0	9	2	15	0	8	34
Yuma	1	2	0	1	22	1	31	0	9	67
TOTAL	9	7	9	5	126	17	235	3	56	467
14TH										
Grand	4	1	2	0	21	1	22	0	13	64
Moffat	2	1	1	1	30	8	74	1	19	137
Routt	7	7	4	0	74	7	102	1	35	237
TOTAL	13	9	7	1	125	16	198	2	67	438
15TH										
Baca	1	1	2	1	20	0	21	0	12	58
Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	6	0	3	0	4	13
Kiowa	0	0	2	0	9	0	3	0	1	15
Prowers	0	4	4	1	25	2	77	1	19	133
TOTAL	1	5	8	2	60	2	104	1	36	219
16TH										
Bent	1	0	1	0	12	0	19	0	0	33
Crowley	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	0	10
Otero	4	8	7	0	41	3	92	1	15	171
TOTAL	5	8	8	0	58	3	116	1	15	214
17TH										
Adams	103	109	54	17	273	3	943	9	861	2372
18TH										
Arapahoe	130	64	69	6	425	6	1143	3	310	2156
Douglas	7	6	0	0	133	6	82	1	33	268
Elbert	3	0	2	0	21	0	22	0	2	50
Lincoln	1	0	0	0	16	0	5	0	2	24
TOTAL	141	70	71	6	595	12	1252	4	147	2498
19TH										
Weld	40	13	21	1	146	11	325	2	94	653
20TH										
Boulder	125	105	57	5	317	46	933	13	190	1791
21ST										
Mesa	10	18	17	2	114	1	408	3	46	619
22ND										
Dolores	1	0	0	0	7	0	4	0	1	13
Montezuma	2	3	1	0	31	0	53	1	14	105
TOTAL	3	3	1	0	38	0	57	1	15	116
STATE TOTAL	1419	1100	842	97	5378	427	15834	113	1822	29032
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	4.9	3.8	2.9	.3	18.5	1.5	54.5	.4	13.2	100.0

TABLE XXXIV. PROBATE* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS ^a	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC. ^b	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	3	1	0	0	0	1	5
Jefferson	74	247	21	50	17	14	423
TOTAL	77	248	21	50	17	15	428
2ND							
Denver Probate	211	720	23	65	4	564 ^c	1587
3RD							
Huerfano	8	4	1	1	0	0	14
Las Animas	48	25	80	10	0	10	173
TOTAL	56	29	81	11	0	10	187
4TH							
El Paso	63	243	30	27	8	35	406
Teller	3	11	0	0	4	2	20
TOTAL	66	254	30	27	12	37	426
5TH							
Clear Creek	14	5	10	4	0	2	35
Eagle	3	4	0	0	1	16	24
Lake	3	4	3	0	16	4	30
Summit	2	0	1	0	0	1	4
TOTAL	22	13	14	4	17	23	93
6TH							
Archuleta	1	4	0	0	0	2	7
La Plata	15	24	6	1	6	7	59
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	16	28	6	1	6	9	66
7TH							
Delta	8	38	6	4	0	2	58
Gunnison	4	2	0	0	0	2	8
Hinsdale	0	5	0	0	0	1	6
Montrose	9	19	0	2	0	3	33
Ouray	1	11	0	2	0	0	14
San Miguel	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
TOTAL	23	75	6	8	0	9	121
8TH							
Jackson	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
Larimer	38	161	11	22	5	0	237
TOTAL	39	164	11	22	5	0	241
9TH							
Garfield	5	18	1	2	1	2	29
Pitkin	2	6	0	0	0	0	8
Rio Blanco	6	9	0	1	1	1	18
TOTAL	13	33	1	3	2	3	55
10TH							
Pueblo	97	145	20	44	2	9	317
11TH							
Chaffee	32	38	19	8	1	7	105
Custer	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
Fremont	17	46	2	6	0	3	74
Park	4	14	1	1	0	1	21
TOTAL	53	100	22	15	1	12	203

^cIncludes 475 terminations of cases filed prior to 1973. Case types are unknown, as these cases were not included in the automated data processing system.

*See Probate filings footnotes (page a65).

TABLE XXXIV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS ^a	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC. ^b	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	5	19	7	2	0	0	33
Conejos	7	3	1	0	13	7	31
Costilla	4	1	2	0	0	3	10
Mineral	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Rio Grande	3	16	3	1	3	3	29
Saguache	6	7	2	1	0	0	16
TOTAL	26	46	15	4	17	13	121
13TH							
Kit Carson	18	20	2	0	6	8	54
Logan	18	51	2	4	0	7	82
Morgan	12	53	11	0	1	7	84
Phillips	7	16	0	1	0	2	26
Sedgwick	3	8	1	0	0	6	18
Washington	10	26	0	0	0	13	49
Yuma	11	5	0	1	0	1	18
TOTAL	79	179	16	6	7	44	331
14TH							
Grand	1	1	0	1	0	0	3
Moffat	9	14	0	1	2	7	33
Routt	4	23	3	2	1	17	50
TOTAL	14	38	3	4	3	24	86
15TH							
Baca	19	26	1	0	1	17	64
Cheyenne	8	15	0	0	0	4	27
Kiowa	10	19	0	1	0	5	35
Prowers	13	38	2	3	0	9	65
TOTAL	50	98	3	4	1	35	191
16TH							
Bent	5	19	1	0	0	3	28
Crowley	1	3	0	0	0	1	5
Otero	23	46	15	3	0	13	100
TOTAL	29	68	16	3	0	17	133
17TH							
Adams	91	125	35	25	30	1	307
18TH							
Arapahoe	44	163	14	34	9	16	280
Douglas	2	15	1	2	8	0	28
Elbert	4	3	2	0	2	2	13
Lincoln	13	19	3	1	1	6	43
TOTAL	63	200	20	37	20	24	364
19TH							
Weld	45	121	4	60	28	7	265
20TH							
Boulder	39	133	9	20	0	10	211
21ST							
Mesa	70	127	30	22	6	9	264
22ND							
Dolores	2	8	2	0	2	0	14
Montezuma	8	23	1	6	1	1	40
TOTAL	10	31	3	6	3	1	54
STATE TOTAL	1189	2975	389	441	181	876 ^c	6051
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	19.6	49.2	6.4	7.3	3.0	14.5 ^c	100.0

TABLE XXXV. JUVENILE* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE^a FILED FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN- QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETI- TIONS ^b	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS ^c	RELINQUISH- MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT ^d	MISC. ^e	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	24	1	0	0	1	0	0	26
Jefferson	844	44	79	54	253	82	94	1450
TOTAL	868	45	79	54	254	82	94	1476
2ND								
Denver Juv.	633	19	318	322 ^f	247 ^f	945	182	2666
3RD								
Huerfano	17	5	4	0	4	34	5	69
Las Animas	75	14	31	4	25	38	16	203
TOTAL	92	19	35	4	29	72	21	272
4TH								
El Paso	558	87	324	59	271	186	30	1515
Teller	12	3	8	0	3	2	3	31
TOTAL	570	90	332	59	274	188	33	1546
5TH								
Clear Creek	24	2	0	0	6	0	1	33
Eagle	46	0	10	2	11	1	0	70
Lake	34	2	9	2	6	2	8	63
Summit	11	0	1	0	1	0	1	14
TOTAL	115	4	20	4	24	3	10	180
6TH								
Archuleta	1	0	1	2	1	2	1	8
La Flata	23	10	27	4	28	11	16	119
San Juan	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3
TOTAL	25	10	28	7	30	13	17	130
7TH								
Delta	14	4	6	3	24	13	5	69
Gunnison	13	0	0	2	9	0	0	24
Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Montrose	21	19	7	1	18	6	4	76
Ouray	1	1	3	0	6	2	0	13
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	50	24	16	6	57	21	9	183
8TH								
Jackson	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Larimer	148	22	35	7	114	78	19	423
TOTAL	150	22	35	7	114	78	19	425
9TH								
Garfield	51	1	7	3	26	6	18	112
Pitkin	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	4
Rio Blanco	3	0	4	0	4	0	0	11
TOTAL	55	1	11	3	33	6	18	127
10TH								
Pueblo	479	47	146	33	58	491	59	1313
11TH								
Chaffee	23	0	10	3	16	29	17	98
Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fremont	51	4	38	4	24	22	10	153
Park	7	0	2	0	3	1	0	13
TOTAL	81	4	50	7	43	52	27	264

^fEstimated. Based on percentage of filings to terminations.^aSee Juvenile filings footnotes (Page a65).

TABLE XXXV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN- QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETI- TIONS ^b	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS ^c	RELINQUISH- MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT ^d	MISC. ^e	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	23	0	8	6	15	21	6	79
Conejos	26	3	3	1	5	12	1	51
Costilla	2	4	1	2	2	11	0	22
Mineral	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
Rio Grande	21	4	11	2	4	32	5	79
Saguache	7	1	2	2	2	11	3	28
TOTAL	79	12	25	13	31	87	15	262
13TH								
Kit Carson	6	0	5	2	9	4	0	26
Logan	11	1	18	3	15	5	4	57
Morgan	33	0	44	3	17	67	40	204
Phillips	1	0	4	1	2	0	0	8
Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	6
Washington	5	2	12	1	4	11	2	37
Yuma	0	0	1	0	3	0	3	7
TOTAL	57	3	84	10	55	87	49	345
14TH								
Grand	11	8	1	1	5	2	0	28
Moffat	20	4	8	2	12	12	49	107
Routt	39	0	6	4	26	2	8	85
TOTAL	70	12	15	7	43	16	57	220
15TH								
Baca	5	0	1	1	15	0	0	22
Cheyenne	3	3	4	0	2	0	2	14
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prowers	28	0	25	2	17	16	3	91
TOTAL	36	3	30	3	34	16	5	127
16TH								
Bent	10	1	2	1	4	2	1	21
Crowley	2	0	2	0	6	3	1	14
Otero	50	1	26	5	28	75	9	194
TOTAL	62	2	30	6	38	80	11	229
17TH								
Adams	388	20	217	17	235	374	8	1259
18TH								
Arapahoe	536	8	158	30	206	148	26	1112
Douglas	27	2	0	3	27	9	0	68
Elbert	11	0	2	0	5	5	4	27
Lincoln	7	0	1	0	2	3	1	14
TOTAL	581	10	161	33	240	165	31	1221
19TH								
Weld	244	33	106	3	23	26	1	436
20TH								
Boulder	154	38	41	16	121	0	12	382
21ST								
Mesa	263	41	75	12	83	72	24	570
22ND								
Dolores	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Montezuma	18	0	6	3	11	10	0	48
TOTAL	18	0	7	3	11	10	0	49
STATE TOTAL	5070	459	1861	629	2077	2884	702	13682
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	37.1	3.3	13.6	4.6	15.2	21.1	5.1	100.0

TABLE XXXVI. MENTAL HEALTH* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	-----MENTAL ILLNESS----- EVALUATION ^a	SHORT TERM ^b	LONG TERM ^c	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS ^d	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS ^e	MISC. ^f	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Jefferson	50	63	0	1	25	2	141
TOTAL	51	63	0	1	25	2	142
2ND							
Denver Probate	108	343	2	0	38	11	502
3RD							
Huerfano	10	8	0	0	0	5	23
Las Animas	16	9	0	0	0	19	44
TOTAL	26	17	0	0	0	24	67
4TH							
El Paso	159	146	3	1	86	2	397
Teller	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	159	147	3	1	86	2	398
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eagle	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
Lake	1	6	0	0	0	0	7
Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	14	0	0	0	0	15
6TH							
Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
La Plata	13	6	0	0	3	0	22
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	13	6	0	0	3	0	22
7TH							
Delta	5	3	1	0	6	1	16
Gunnison	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Montrose	0	28	0	0	1	0	29
Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	5	35	1	0	7	1	49
8TH							
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer	5	19	2	0	25	0	51
TOTAL	5	19	2	0	25	0	51
9TH							
Garfield	2	0	0	0	2	2	6
Pitkin	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Rio Blanco	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	3	3	0	0	2	2	10
10TH							
Pueblo	104	221	31	11	36	20	423
11TH							
Chaffee	0	5	0	0	1	0	6
Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fremont	0	37	1	1	0	0	39
Park	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL	0	45	1	1	1	0	48

*See Mental Health filings footnotes (Page a66).

TABLE XXXVI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	-----MENTAL ILLNESS-----			DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS ^d	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS ^e	MISC. ^f	TOTAL
	EVALUATION ^a	SHORT TERM ^b	LONG TERM ^c				
12TH							
Alamosa	2	18	0	0	4	0	24
Conejos	3	4	0	0	0	0	7
Costilla	1	5	0	0	0	0	6
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	3	3	0	0	1	1	8
Saguache	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL	9	33	0	0	5	1	48
13TH							
Kit Carson	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
Logan	8	0	0	0	0	0	8
Morgan	16	0	0	0	2	0	18
Phillips	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	29	1	0	0	2	1	33
14TH							
Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moffat	0	7	0	0	0	1	8
Routt	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL	3	7	0	0	0	1	11
15TH							
Baca	9	2	0	0	2	0	13
Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prowers	3	10	0	0	3	0	16
TOTAL	13	12	0	0	5	0	30
16TH							
Bent	0	37	1	0	0	2	40
Crowley	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Otero	1	17	0	0	0	0	18
TOTAL	1	56	1	0	0	2	60
17TH							
Adams	15	51	0	0	20	2	88
18TH							
Arapahoe	206	6	0	0	6	2	220
Douglas	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Elbert	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Lincoln	1	0	1	1	0	0	3
TOTAL	208	10	1	1	6	2	228
19TH							
Weld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20TH							
Boulder	21	35	1	0	1	1	59
21ST							
Mesa	12	34	0	6	20	0	72
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
STATE TOTAL	788	1152	43	21	282	72	2158
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	33.4	48.9	1.8	.9	12.0	3.0	100.0

TABLE XXXVII. CRIMINAL OFFENSE* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON ^a	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY ^b	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD ^c	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS ^d	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC. ^e OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.
1ST							
Gilpin	9	2	0	0	0	3	14
Jefferson	118	392	59	19	92	260	940
TOTAL	127	394	59	19	92	263	954
2ND							
Denver Dist.	191	741	125	6	172	161	1396
3RD							
Huerfano	12	11	3	1	11	9	47
Las Animas	67	44	11	5	8	13	148
TOTAL	79	55	14	6	19	22	195
4TH							
El Paso	390	1085	166	45	168	184	2038
Teller	8	37	0	2	2	7	56
TOTAL	398	1122	166	47	170	191	2094
5TH							
Clear Creek	5	10	3	0	0	9	27
Eagle	11	21	1	1	2	16	52
Lake	7	17	3	1	3	11	42
Summit	1	10	1	3	3	10	28
TOTAL	24	58	8	5	8	46	149
6TH							
Archuleta	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
La Plata	28	74	10	5	21	31	169
San Juan	1	0	1	1	1	0	4
TOTAL	30	74	11	6	22	32	175
7TH							
Delta	2	15	1	8	0	8	34
Gunnison	3	2	0	1	0	4	10
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	11	28	4	4	4	4	55
Ouray	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
San Miguel	2	4	1	0	0	3	10
TOTAL	18	49	6	14	4	19	110
8TH							
Jackson	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Larimer	93	289	46	20	39	47	534
TOTAL	93	292	46	20	39	47	537
9TH							
Garfield	25	41	10	15	4	17	112
Pitkin	6	6	2	0	1	4	19
Rio Blanco	1	1	0	0	0	1	3
TOTAL	32	48	12	15	5	22	134
10TH							
Pueblo	44	155	2 ^e	10	16	64	314
11TH							
Chaffee	9	14	5	6	0	5	39
Custer	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Fremont	38	44	18	42	11	26	179
Park	1	1	2	0	1	1	6
TOTAL	48	61	25	48	12	32	226

* See Criminal Offense filings footnotes (Page a66).

TABLE XXXVII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON ^a	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY ^b	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD ^c	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS ^d	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC. ^e OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.
12TH							
Alamosa	8	8	3	5	0	9	33
Conejos	2	6	1	0	0	4	13
Costilla	1	3	1	1	0	0	6
Mineral	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Rio Grande	7	10	2	1	2	4	26
Saguache	2	4	2	1	0	1	10
TOTAL	20	32	9	8	2	18	89
13TH							
Kit Carson	1	6	2	2	1	1	13
Logan	4	21	1	0	0	14	40
Morgan	8	40	0	0	1	9	58
Phillips	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Sedgwick	1	4	1	0	1	5	12
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuma	1	2	0	0	1	2	6
TOTAL	15	78	4	2	4	31	134
14TH							
Grand	9	11	2	1	1	4	28
Moffat	6	13	5	0	3	11	38
Routt	7	19	7	4	3	11	51
TOTAL	22	43	14	5	7	26	117
15TH							
Baca	2	20	0	0	3	4	29
Cheyenne	0	4	1	1	0	1	7
Kiowa	2	2	0	0	0	1	5
Prowers	14	25	3	2	4	3	51
TOTAL	18	51	4	3	7	9	92
16TH							
Bent	7	6	0	0	0	1	14
Crowley	3	6	2	1	0	3	15
Otero	31	41	4	1	0	7	84
TOTAL	41	53	6	2	0	11	113
17TH							
Adams	73	252	24	4	25	148	526
18TH							
Arapahoe	117	519	87	8	47	136	914
Douglas	16	25	2	2	2	15	62
Elbert	4	2	2	0	0	1	9
Lincoln	3	8	2	0	0	1	14
TOTAL	140	554	93	10	49	153	999
19TH							
Weld	179	323	45	5	23	80	655
20TH							
Boulder	69	196	14	9	30	20	338
21ST							
Mesa	32	144	18	4	4	15	217
22ND							
Dolores	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Montezuma	11	14	3	0	1	1	30
TOTAL	12	15	3	0	1	1	32
STATE TOTAL	1705	4790	731	248	711	1411	9596
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	17.8	49.9	7.6	2.6	7.4	14.7	100.0

TABLE XXXVIII. OFFENSE AND NON-OFFENSE* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED
FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON- OFFENSE TERM. ^a	TOTAL CRIMINAL TERM.
1ST				
Gilpin	14	0	0	14
Jefferson	940	32	1	973
TOTAL	954	32	1	987
2ND				
Denver District	1396	0	129	1525
Denver Superior	0	104	6	110
TOTAL	1396	104	135	1635
3RD				
Huerfano	47	2	0	49
Las Animas	148	1	10	159
TOTAL	195	3	10	208
4TH				
El Paso	2038	24	57	2119
Teller	56	0	1	57
TOTAL	2094	24	58	2176
5TH				
Clear Creek	27	2	0	29
Eagle	52	3	5	60
Lake	42	4	1	47
Summit	28	2	5	35
TOTAL	149	11	11	171
6TH				
Archuleta	2	0	2	4
La Plata	169	1	8	178
San Juan	4	0	2	6
TOTAL	175	1	12	188
7TH				
Delta	34	0	3	37
Gunnison	10	3	2	15
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0
Montrose	55	0	0	55
Ouray	1	1	0	2
San Miguel	10	0	0	10
TOTAL	110	4	5	119
8TH				
Jackson	3	0	0	3
Larimer	534	3	10	547
TOTAL	537	3	10	550
9TH				
Garfield	112	6	4	122
Pitkin	19	2	0	21
Rio Blanco	3	4	0	7
TOTAL	134	12	4	150
10TH				
Pueblo	314	19	0	333
11TH				
Chaffee	39	4	4	47
Custer	2	0	0	2
Fremont	179	2	4	185
Park	6	0	0	6
TOTAL	226	6	8	240

* See Offense and Non-Offense filings footnotes (Page a66).

TABLE XXXVIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON- OFFENSE TERM. ^a	TOTAL CRIMINAL TERM.
12TH				
Alamosa	33	1	0	34
Conejos	13	0	1	14
Costilla	6	1	4	11
Mineral	1	0	0	1
Rio Grande	26	2	0	28
Saguache	10	1	1	12
TOTAL	89	5	6	100
13TH				
Kit Carson	13	0	2	15
Logan	40	16	7	63
Morgan	58	5	11	74
Phillips	5	3	0	8
Sedgwick	12	0	0	12
Washington	0	5	0	5
Yuma	6	1	2	9
TOTAL	134	30	22	186
14TH				
Grand	28	1	0	29
Moffat	38	15	14	67
Routt	51	6	1	58
TOTAL	117	22	15	154
15TH				
Baca	29	0	1	30
Cheyenne	7	1	0	8
Kiowa	5	4	0	9
Prowers	51	3	4	58
TOTAL	92	8	5	105
16TH				
Bent	14	0	1	15
Crowley	15	0	0	15
Otero	84	2	14	100
TOTAL	113	2	15	130
17TH				
Adams	526	36	0	562
18TH				
Arapahoe	914	19	14	947
Douglas	62	10	7	79
Elbert	9	0	1	10
Lincoln	14	2	2	18
TOTAL	999	31	24	1054
19TH				
Weld	655	11	6	672
20TH				
Boulder	338	30	0	368
21ST				
Mesa	217	5	3	225
22ND				
Dolores	2	0	0	2
Montezuma	30	5	1	36
TOTAL	32	5	1	38
STATE TOTAL	9596	404	351	10351
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	92.7	3.9	3.4	100.0

Footnotes for
THE DISTRICT COURTS

Table XXV. Domestic Relations Filings
by Type of Case - FY 1979-80

- ^aPrior to January 1, 1972, known as Divorce.
- ^bPrior to January 1, 1972, known as Separate Maintenance.
- ^cPrior to January 1, 1972, known as Annulment.
- ^dSeparate petitions for custody proceedings, not to be confused with custody hearings within dissolution actions.
- ^ePetitions under the Uniform Reciprocal Support Act.
- ^fIncludes determination of status and other miscellaneous cases.

Table XXVI. Civil Case Filings by
Type of Case - FY 1979-80

- ^aIncludes related property damage.
- ^bIncludes Quiet Titles, Condemnations, Replevins, Foreclosures, Mechanics' Liens, etc.
- ^cIncludes reviews of P.U.C. and other administrative or regulatory agencies, cases involving city and county government, etc.
- ^dIncludes Habeas Corpus, Mandamus and other Remedial Writs, Injunctions, etc.
- ^eDoes not include water cases filed in water courts.

Table XXVII. Probate Filings by Type of Case
FY 1979-80

- ^aIncludes both juvenile and adult guardianships.
- ^bIncludes foreign wills, separate trusteeships, etc.

Table XXVIII. Juvenile Case Filings by Type
of Case FY 1979-80

- ^aDoes not include Informal Adjustment cases handled by the probation department and not by the court.
- ^bChildren in Need of Oversight petitions.
- ^cIncludes Child Abuse cases.
- ^dIncludes Paternity only, Support only, and Paternity and Support cases.
- ^eIncludes Guardianships, Contributing to Delinquency, Requests for Marriage, etc.

Table XXIX. Mental Health Filings by
Type of Case FY 1979-80

- ^aPetition for Evaluation.
- ^bCertification for Short-term Treatment.
- ^cPetitions for Long-term Treatment.
- ^dIncludes Short and Long-term Treatment Admission.
- ^ePetition for Involuntary Commitment of Alcoholic.
- ^fIncludes Other, Petition for Sterilization, and Objection to Admission or Retention.

Table XXX. Criminal Offense Filings by
Type of Offense FY 1979-80

- ^aIncludes murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, etc.
- ^bIncludes arson, burglary, theft, robbery, etc.
- ^cIncludes forgery, fraud by credit card, bad checks, etc.
- ^dIncludes escape and offenses relating to custody, bribery and corrupt influences, perjury, and other Article 8 offenses.
- ^eIncludes offenses involving the Family Relation; Offenses Relating to Morals; Offenses Against Public Peace, Order and Decency; Criminal Non-Support, etc.

Table XXXI. Offense and Non-Offense Filings
Plus Total Criminal Filings FY 1979-80

- ^aIncludes extraditions, revocations, parole and release from commitment hearings, etc.

THE WATER COURTS


TABLE XXXIX. CASELOAD OF THE COURTS - FY 1976-77 to FY 1979-80

	FY 76-77 ^a	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80
DIVISION ONE				
Cases Pending July 1	1,885	1,181	1,511	1,532
New Cases Filed	363	716	450	399
Post Judgment Actions	43	49	98	50
TOTAL Caseload	<u>2,291</u>	<u>1,946</u>	<u>2,059</u>	<u>1,981</u>
Cases Terminated	1,110	352	515	534
Post Judgment Terminations		83	12	36
Cases Pending June 30	1,181	1,511	1,532	1,411
DIVISION TWO				
Cases Pending July 1	280	198	249	280
New Cases Filed	217	268	229	136
Post Judgment Actions	33	16	34	6
TOTAL Caseload	<u>530</u>	<u>482</u>	<u>512</u>	<u>422</u>
Cases Terminated	332	211	193	179
Post Judgment Terminations		22	39	19
Cases Pending June 30	198	249	280	224
DIVISION THREE				
Cases Pending July 1	658	576	542	445
New Cases Filed	172	176	137	83
Post Judgment Actions	23	234	38	19
TOTAL Caseload	<u>853</u>	<u>986</u>	<u>717</u>	<u>547</u>
Cases Terminated	277	194	253	233
Post Judgment Terminations		250	19	28
Cases Pending June 30	576	542	445	286
DIVISION FOUR				
Cases Pending July 1	213	203	368	334
New Cases Filed	291	330	380	342
Post Judgment Actions	72	81	0	53
TOTAL Caseload	<u>576</u>	<u>614</u>	<u>748</u>	<u>729</u>
Cases Terminated	373	234	414	417
Post Judgment Terminations		12	0	55
Cases Pending June 30	203	368	334	257
DIVISION FIVE				
Cases Pending July 1	473	522	676	750
New Cases Filed	278	478	377	507
Post Judgment Actions	4	30	37	59
TOTAL Caseload	<u>755</u>	<u>1,030</u>	<u>1,090</u>	<u>1,316</u>
Cases Terminated	233	353	326	484
Post Judgment Terminations		1	14	12
Cases Pending June 30	522	676	750	820
DIVISION SIX				
Cases Pending July 1	153	184	402	368
New Cases Filed	145	305	161	238
Post Judgment Actions	24	0	24	127
TOTAL Caseload	<u>322</u>	<u>489</u>	<u>587</u>	<u>733</u>
Cases Terminated	138	87	139	205
Post Judgment Terminations		0	80	143
Cases Pending June 30	184	402	368	385
DIVISION SEVEN				
Cases Pending July 1	102	226	247	308
New Cases Filed	216	135	140	194
Post Judgment Actions	159	50	29	13
TOTAL Caseload	<u>477</u>	<u>411</u>	<u>416</u>	<u>515</u>
Cases Terminated	251	105	77	90
Post Judgment Terminations		59	31	20
Cases Pending June 30	226	247	308	405
TOTAL				
Cases Pending July 1	3,764	3,090	3,995	4,017
New Cases Filed	1,682	2,408	1,874	1,899
Post Judgment Actions	358	460	260	327
TOTAL Caseload	<u>5,804</u>	<u>5,958</u>	<u>6,129</u>	<u>6,243</u>
Cases Terminated	2,714	1,536	1,917	2,142
Post Judgment Terminations		427	195	313
Cases Pending June 30	3,090	3,995	4,017	3,788

^aTerminations and post judgment terminations are combined.

TABLE XL. NUMBER OF FILINGS AND NUMBER OF NEW CLAIMS IN
COLORADO WATER COURTS, FY 1976-77 THROUGH FY 1979-1980

DIVISION	FY 1976-77		FY 1977-78		FY 1978-79		FY 1979-80	
	FILINGS	CLAIMS	FILINGS	CLAIMS	FILINGS	CLAIMS	FILINGS	CLAIMS
1	363	1782	716	1579	450	6006	399	1556
2	217	825	268	1483	229	3771	136	875
3	172	367	176	1126	137	240	83	267
4	291	395	330	681	380	582	342	439
5	278	603	478	789	377	673	507	1063
6	145	296	305	368	161	246	238	430
7	216	291	135	201	140	343	194	299
TOTAL	1682	4559	2408	6227	1874	11861	1899	4900
PERCENT CHANGE			+43.2	+36.6	-22.2	+90.5	+1.3	-58.4



THE COUNTY COURTS

TABLE XLI. COUNTY COURTS^a CASELOAD
FY 1973-74 TO FY 1979-80

	FY 73-74	FY 74-75	FY 75-76	FY 76-77	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80
CIVIL							
Cases Pending July 1	5,370	6,438	8,248	8,695	9,486	8,906 ^c	10,552 ^d
New Cases Filed	19,256	24,281	30,643	32,268	32,508	35,952	40,211
Total Caseload	24,626	30,719	38,891	40,963	41,994	44,858	50,763
Cases Terminated	18,188	22,471	30,196	31,477	30,691	34,295	37,513
Cases Pending June 30	6,438	8,248	8,695	9,486	11,303	10,563	13,250
SMALL CLAIMS^b							
Cases Pending July 1				0	1,324	1,657 ^c	2,533 ^d
New Cases Filed				5,181	7,955	9,502	10,319
Total Caseload				5,181	9,279	11,159	12,852
Cases Terminated				3,857	6,987	8,616	9,114
Cases Pending June 30				1,324	2,292	2,543	3,738
TRAFFIC							
Cases Pending July 1	25,517	30,273	35,982	34,759	41,419	43,802 ^c	48,889 ^d
New Cases Filed	126,472	139,311	132,768	138,792	144,990	152,805	161,817
Total Caseload	151,989	169,584	168,750	173,551	186,409	196,607	210,706
Cases Terminated	121,716	133,602	133,991	132,132	140,912	147,653	150,888
Cases Pending June 30	30,273	35,982	34,759	41,419	45,497	48,954	59,818
MISDEMEANOR							
Cases Pending July 1	7,188	9,030	11,022	11,226	12,208	11,152 ^c	12,256 ^d
New Cases Filed	19,247	22,308	23,823	24,169	25,340	27,081	29,299
Total Caseload	26,435	31,338	34,845	35,395	37,548	38,233	41,555
Cases Terminated	17,405	20,316	23,619	23,187	23,561	25,963	26,776
Cases Pending June 30	9,030	11,022	11,226	12,208	13,987	12,270	14,779
TOTAL							
Cases Pending July 1	38,075	45,741	55,252	54,680	64,437	65,517 ^c	74,230 ^d
New Cases Filed	164,975	185,900	187,234	200,410	210,793	225,340	241,646
Total Caseload	203,050	231,641	242,486	255,090	275,230	290,857	315,876
Cases Terminated	157,309	176,389	187,806	190,653	202,151	216,527	224,291
Cases Pending June 30	45,741	55,252	54,680	64,437	73,079	74,330	91,585

^aExcludes Denver County Court.

^bSince the Small Claims division was created October 1, 1976, FY 1976-77 figures are for nine months.

^cDue to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978 pending count is a mathematically derived figure.

^dRevised pending figure.

TABLE XLII. CIVIL CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS^a
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST					
Gilpin	14	20	34	20	14
Jefferson	309	5313	5622	5108	514
TOTAL	323	5333	5656	5128	528
2ND					
Denver	2935	21792	24727	10960	13767
3RD					
Huerfano	64	99	163	83	80
Las Animas	250	237	487	191	296
TOTAL	314	336	650	274	376
4TH					
El Paso	680	5283	5963	4981	982
Teller	12	53	65	51	14
TOTAL	692	5336	6028	5032	996
5TH					
Clear Creek	5	42	47	40	7
Eagle (Eagle)	130	112	242	151 ^b	91
Eagle (Basalt)	3	33	36	15	21
Lake	18	121	139	118	21
Summit	96	183	279	162	117
TOTAL	252	491	743	486	257
6TH					
Archuleta	75	75	150	85	65
La Plata	106	432	538	350	188
San Juan	5	10	15	14	1
TOTAL	186	517	703	449	254
7TH					
Delta	48	248	296	161	135
Gunnison	94	251	345	239	106
Hinsdale	3	2	5	5	0
Montrose (Montrose)	24	285	309	252	57
Montrose (Nucla)	7	61	68	49	19
Ouray	7	9	16	11	5
San Miguel	13	22	35	17	18
TOTAL	196	878	1074	734	340
8TH					
Jackson	2	8	10	5	5
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	254	1212	1466	1157	309
Larimer (Estes Park)	2	37	39	24	15
Larimer (Loveland)	77	450	527	410	117
TOTAL	335	1707	2042	1596	446
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	37 ^c	173	210	142	68
Garfield (Rifle)	42	40	82	67	15
Pitkin	41	199	240	162	78
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	24	15	39	17	22
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	6	47	53	46	7
TOTAL	150 ^c	474	624	434	190
10TH					
Pueblo	442	2793	3235	2790	445
11TH					
Chaffee	12	122	134	105	29
Custer	2	3	5	4	1
Fremont	71	128	199	109	90
Park	25	24	49	33	16
TOTAL	110	277	387	251	136

^aSmall claims cases not included.

^bProjected based on nine months.

^cRevised pending figure.

TABLE XLII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH					
Alamosa	32	138	170	136	34
Conejos	18	45 ^d	63	55 ^d	8
Costilla	9	3	12	4	8
Mineral	1	1	2	2	0
Rio Grande	22	137	159	102	57
Saguache	14	21	35	30	5
TOTAL	96	345	441	329	112
13TH					
Kit Carson	9	83	92	74	18
Logan	75	250	325	212	113
Morgan	180	284	464	339	125
Phillips	9	26	35	25	10
Sedgwick	2	11	13	11	2
Washington	19	35	54	43	11
Yuma	37	106	143	111	32
TOTAL	331	795	1126	815	311
14TH					
Grant	40	46	86	44	42
Moffat (Craig)	83	341	424	332	92
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	1	1	1	0
Routt	105	131	236	97	139
TOTAL	228	519	747	474	273
15TH					
Baca	12	31	43	26	17
Cheyenne	11	27	38	12	26
Kiowa	7	3	10	6	4
Prowers	77	221	298	179	119
TOTAL	107	282	389	223	166
16TH					
Bent	8	18	26	20	6
Crowley	3	10	13	8	5
Otero	92	178	270	126	144
TOTAL	103	206	309	154	155
17TH					
Adams	2766	6891	9657	6718	2939
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	235	1309	1544	1211	333
Arapahoe (Aurora)	754	4453	5207	4315	892
Douglas	49	132	181	142	39
Elbert	22	34	56	24	32
Lincoln	14	31	45	31	14
TOTAL	1074	5959	7033	5723	1310
19TH					
Weld	813	1807	2620	1677	943
20TH					
Boulder	498	3223	3721	2485	1236
21ST					
Mesa	1511	1885	3396	1616	1780
22ND					
Dolores	0	3	3	2	1
Montezuma	25	154	179	123	56
TOTAL	25	157	182	125	57
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	10552 ^C	40211	50763	37513	13250
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	13487 ^C	62003	75490	48473	27017

^dProjected based on eleven months.

TABLE XLIII. SMALL CLAIMS CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST					
Gilpin	1	6	7	6	1
Jefferson	234	1369	1603	1229	374
TOTAL	235	1375	1610	1235	375
2ND					
Denver	448	2717	3165	1655	1510
3RD					
Huerfano	14	31	45	15	30
Las Animas	14	108	122	92	30
TOTAL	28	139	167	107	60
4TH					
El Paso	203	1237	1440	1098	342
Teller	7	43	50	44	6
TOTAL	210	1280	1490	1142	348
5TH					
Clear Creek	9	40	49	44	5
Eagle (Eagle)	35	85	120	113 ^a	7
Eagle (Basalt)	1	23	24	12	12
Lake	11	43	54	47	7
Summit	43	102	145	97	48
TOTAL	99	293	392	313	79
6TH					
Archuleta	5	10	15	13	2
La Plata	57	330	387	258	129
San Juan	0	6	6	6	0
TOTAL	62	346	408	277	131
7TH					
Delta	13	128	141	105	36
Gunnison	20	120	140	85	55
Hinsdale	0	7	7	6	1
Montrose (Montrose)	6	105	111	99	12
Montrose (Nucla)	9	19	28	15	13
Ouray	3	6	9	8	1
San Miguel	12	40	52	32	20
TOTAL	63	425	488	350	138
8TH					
Jackson	4	14	18	7	11
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	130	616	755	522	233
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer (Loveland)	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	143	630	773	529	244
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	30 ^b	119	149	114	35
Garfield (Rifle)	14	43	57	36	21
Pitkin	92	194	286	169	117
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	7	33	40	29	11
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	0	25	25	23	2
TOTAL	143 ^b	414	557	371	186
10TH					
Pueblo	106	457	563	419	144
11TH					
Chaffee	5	38	43	41	2
Custer	2	13	15	13	2
Fremont	6	103	109	51	58
Park	2	20	22	16	6
TOTAL	15	174	189	121	68

^a Projected based on nine months.

^b Revised pending figure.

TABLE XLIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH					
Alamosa	16	71	87	74	13
Conejos	5	45 ^c	50	37 ^c	13
Costilla	9	13	22	11	11
Mineral	0	1	1	1	0
Rio Grande	6	58	64	59	5
Saguache	3	25	28	26	2
TOTAL	39	213	252	208	44
13TH					
Kit Carson	1	11	12	10	2
Logan	13	73	86	66	20
Morgan	25	67	92	50	42
Phillips	4	55	59	50	9
Sedgwick	5	17	22	15	7
Washington	2	16	18	12	6
Yuma	3	20	23	16	7
TOTAL	53	259	312	219	93
14TH					
Grand	3	39	42	37	5
Moffat (Craig)	12	33	45	37	8
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	3	3	3	0
Routt	28	97	125	79	46
TOTAL	43	172	215	156	59
15TH					
Baca	10	37	47	36	11
Cheyenne	2	4	6	3	3
Kiowa	0	7	7	7	0
Prowers	23	49	72	39	33
TOTAL	35	97	132	85	47
16TH					
Bent	8	24	32	29	3
Crowley	1	13	14	8	6
Otero	56	141	197	137	60
TOTAL	65	178	243	174	69
17TH					
Adams	413	800	1213	670	543
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	119	704	823	676	147
Arapahoe (Aurora)	54	454	508	348	160
Douglas	22 ^b	89	111	79	32
Elbert	15	52	67	41	26
Lincoln	4	17	21	14	7
TOTAL	214 ^b	1316	1530	1158	372
19TH					
Weld	140	368	508	383	125
20TH					
Boulder	243	1050	1293	921	372
21ST					
Mesa	177	268	445	214	231
22ND					
Dolores	0	9	9	9	0
Montezuma	7	56	63	53	10
TOTAL	7	65	72	62	10
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	2533 ^b	10319	12852	9114	3738
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	2981 ^b	13036	16017	10769	5248

^cProjected based on eleven months.

TABLE XLIV. TRAFFIC CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST					
Gilpin	134	545	679	508	171
Jefferson	4294	16005	20299	15087	5212
TOTAL	4428	16550	20978	15595	5383
2ND					
Denver	2775	17785	20560	16149	4411
3RD					
Huerfano	610	1555	2165	1144	1021
Las Animas	614 ^a	1507	2121	1532	589
TOTAL	1224 ^a	3062	4286	2676	1610
4TH					
El Paso	4654	15531	20185	15062	5123
Teller	113	475	588	491	97
TOTAL	4767	16006	20773	15553	5220
5TH					
Clear Creek	1091	2666	3757	2849	908
Eagle (Eagle)	852	2442	3294	1927 ^b	1367
Eagle (Basalt)	45	236	281	156	125
Lake	135	860	995	837	158
Summit	821	2662	3483	2443	1040
TOTAL	2944	8866	11810	8212	3598
6TH					
Archuleta	107	481	588	473	115
La Plata	717	2565	3282	2388	894
San Juan	8	54	62	44	18
TOTAL	832	3100	3932	2905	1027
7TH					
Delta	256	1210	1466	1079	387
Gunnison	585	1231	1816	1362	454
Hinsdale	1	18	19	18	1
Montrose (Montrose)	206	1173	1379	1068	311
Montrose (Nucla)	125	415	540	329	211
Ouray	61	216	277	224	53
San Miguel	81	367	448	156	292
TOTAL	1315	4630	5945	4236	1709
8TH					
Jackson	43	152	195	112	83
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	1537	5595	7132	5001	2131
Larimer (Estes Park)	134	640	774	540	234
Larimer (Loveland)	1106	2877	3983	2749	1234
TOTAL	2820	9264	12084	8402	3682
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	316 ^a	1471	1787	1346	441
Garfield (Rifle)	195	1200	1395	1047	348
Pitkin	241	744	985	649	336
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	96	551	647	450	197
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	65	335	400	303	97
TOTAL	913 ^a	4301	5214	3795	1419
10TH					
Pueblo	1869	7678	9547	7529	2018
11TH					
Chaffee	395	1654	2049	1653	396
Custer	4	61	65	61	4
Fremont	780	2249	3029	2154	875
Park	243	776	1019	667	352
TOTAL	1422	4740	6162	4535	1627

^aRevised pending figure.

^bProjected based on nine months.

TABLE XLIV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH					
Alamosa	263	1056	1319	1037	282
Conejos	159	473 ^c	632	422 ^c	210
Costilla	86	536	622	476	146
Mineral	53	260	313	207	106
Rio Grande	221	989	1210	931	279
Saguache	104	285	389	334	55
TOTAL	886	3599	4485	3407	1078
13TH					
Kit Carson	236	583	819	474	345
Logan	940	2924	3864	2971	893
Morgan	757	2359	3116	2339	777
Phillips	20	196	216	160	56
Sedgwick	138	362	500	317	183
Washington	72	309	381	275	106
Yuma	140	481	621	425	196
TOTAL	2303	7214	9517	6961	2556
14TH					
Grand	348	1318	1666	1132	534
Moffat (Craig)	529	1513	2042	1520	542
Moffat (Dinosaur)	18	169	187	101	86
Routt	425	1822	2247	1579	668
TOTAL	1320	4842	6162	4332	1830
15TH					
Baca	71	219	290	238	52
Cheyenne	111	211	322	193	129
Kiowa	124	384	508	333	175
Prowers	204	852	1056	740	316
TOTAL	510	1666	2176	1504	672
16TH					
Bent	156	523	679	470	209
Crowley	53	239	292	246	46
Otero	565	1858	2423	1807	616
TOTAL	774	2620	3394	2523	871
17TH					
Adams	5262	12881	18143	12603	5540
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	1935	8348	10283	7333	2950
Arapahoe (Aurora)	1630	6050	7680	4802	2878
Douglas	1901	6672	8573	6636	1937
Elbert	125 ^a	470	595	481	114
Lincoln	152	760	912	716	196
TOTAL	5743 ^a	22300	28043	19968	8075
19TH					
Weld	2998	9511	12509	9320	3189
20TH					
Boulder	4798	12813	17611	11372	6239
21ST					
Mesa	1575	4780	6355	4143	2212
22ND					
Dolores	13	99	112	97	15
Montezuma	173	1295	1468	1220	248
TOTAL	186	1394	1580	1317	263
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	48889 ^a	161817	210706	150888	59818
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	51664 ^a	179602	231266	167037	64229

^cProjected based on eleven months.

TABLE XLV. MISDEMEANOR CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST					
Gilpin	75	102	177	139	38
Jefferson	1066	2744	3810	2520	1290
TOTAL	1141	2846	3987	2659	1328
2ND					
Denver	872	9101	9973	7255	2718
3RD					
Huerfano	82	109	191	92	99
Las Animas	183	345	528	381	147
TOTAL	265	454	719	473	246
4TH					
El Paso	2023	5984	8007	5563	2444
Teller	52	124	176	124	52
TOTAL	2075	6108	8183	5687	2496
5TH					
Clear Creek	54	186	240	171	69
Eagle (Eagle)	66	228	294	135 ^a	159
Eagle (Basalt)	5	35	40	30	10
Lake	26	188	214	186	28
Summit	76	319	395	257	138
TOTAL	227	956	1183	779	404
6TH					
Archuleta	12	54	66	53	13
La Plata	120	316	436	297	139
San Juan	4	14	18	12	6
TOTAL	136	384	520	362	158
7TH					
Delta	19	168	187	120	67
Gunnison	150	308	458	266	192
Hinsdale	2	7	9	6	3
Montrose (Montrose)	61	136	197	145	52
Montrose (Nucila)	24	26	50	19	31
Ouray	8	15	23	21	2
San Miguel	11	49	60	15	45
TOTAL	275	709	984	592	392
8TH					
Jackson	29	75	104	50	54
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	980	1972	2952	1905	1047
Larimer (Estes Park)	22	113	135	109	26
Larimer (Loveland)	268	463	731	369	362
TOTAL	1299	2623	3922	2433	1489
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	56 ^b	288	344	250	94
Garfield (Rifle)	29	116	145	119	26
Pitkin	81	227	310	225	85
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	13	66	79	54	25
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	7	41	48	31	17
TOTAL	188 ^b	738	926	679	247
10TH					
Pueblo	666	1460	2126	1318	808
11TH					
Chaffee	26	177	203	157	46
Custer	5	24	29	23	6
Fremont	167	350	517	318	199
Park	53	189	242	176	66
TOTAL	251	740	991	674	317

^a Projected based on nine months.

^b Revised pending figure.

TABLE XLV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH					
Alamosa	64	268	332	261	71
Conejos	27	59 ^c	86	59 ^c	27
Costilla	28	100	128	101	27
Mineral	1	7	8	7	1
Rio Grande	40	62	102	66	36
Saguache	11	66	77	55	22
TOTAL	171	562	733	549	184
13TH					
Kit Carson	12	43	55	31	24
Logan	34	278	312	159	153
Morgan	105	333	438	291	147
Phillips	9	38	47	34	13
Sedgwick	9	24	33	26	7
Washington	5	23	28	22	6
Yuma	19	42	61	48	13
TOTAL	193	781	974	611	363
14TH					
Grand	81	274	355	227	128
Moffat (Craig)	93	149	242	203	39
Moffat (Dinosaur)	1	6	7	5	2
Routt	81	370	451	267	184
TOTAL	256	799	1055	702	353
15TH					
Baca	26	28	54	34	20
Cheyenne	3	6	9	6	3
Kiowa	3	5	8	3	5
Prowers	52	74	126	58	68
TOTAL	84	113	197	101	96
16TH					
Bent	9	29	38	25	13
Crowley	19	18	37	28	9
Otero	70	318	388	325	63
TOTAL	98	365	463	378	85
17TH					
Adams	873	1100	1973	1492	481
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	602	1365	1967	1240	727
Arapahoe (Aurora)	540	1199	1739	969	770
Douglas	142	399	541	414	127
Elbert	3 ^b	20	23	18	5
Lincoln	9	52	61	44	17
TOTAL	1296 ^b	3035	4331	2685	1646
19TH					
Weld	821	1693	2514	1501	1013
20TH					
Boulder	1346	2550	3896	2021	1875
21ST					
Mesa	514	1049	1563	863	700
22ND					
Dolores	0	17	17	13	4
Montezuma	81	217	298	204	94
TOTAL	81	234	315	217	98
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	12256 ^b	29299	41555	26776	14779
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	13128 ^b	38460	51528	34031	17497

^c Projected based on eleven months.

TABLE XLVI. TOTAL CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
1ST					
Gilpin	224	673	897	673	224
Jefferson	5903	25431	31334	23944	7390
TOTAL	6127	26104	32231	24617	7614
2ND					
Denver	7030	51395	58425	36019	22406
3RD					
Huerfano	770	1794	2564	1334	4230
Las Animas	1061 ^a	2197	3258	2196	1062
TOTAL	1831 ^a	3991	5822	3530	2292
4TH					
El Paso	7560	28035	35595	26704	8891
Teller	184	695	879	710	169
TOTAL	7744	28730	36474	27414	9060
5TH					
Clear Creek	1159	2934	4093	3104 ^b	989
Eagle (Eagle)	1083	2867	3950	2326	1624
Eagle (Basalt)	54	327	381	213	168
Lake	190	1212	1402	1188	214
Summit	1036	3266	4302	2959	1343
TOTAL	3522	10606	14128	9790	4338
6TH					
Archuleta	199	620	819	624	195
La Plata	1000	3643	4643	3293	1350
San Juan	17	84	101	76	25
TOTAL	1216	4347	5563	3993	1570
7TH					
Delta	336	1754	2090	1465	625
Gunnison	849	1910	2759	1952	807
Hinsdale	6	34	40	35	5
Montrose (Montrose)	297	1699	1996	1564	432
Montrose (Nucla)	165	521	686	412	274
Ouray	79	246	325	264	61
San Miguel	117	478	595	220	375
TOTAL	1849	6642	8491	5912	2570
8TH					
Jackson	78	249	327	174	153
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	2910	9395	12305	8585	3720
Larimer (Estes Park)	158	790	948	673	275
Larimer (Loveland)	1451	3790	5241	3528	1713
TOTAL	4597	14224	18821	12960	5861
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	439 ^a	2051	2490	1852	638
Garfield (Rifle)	280	1399	1679	1269	410
Pitkin	457	1364	1821	1205	616
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	140	665	805	550	255
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	78	448	526	403	123
TOTAL	1394 ^a	5927	7321	5279	2042
10TH					
Pueblo	3083	12388	15471	12056	3415
11TH					
Chaffee	438	1991	2429	1956	473
Custer	13	101	114	101	13
Fremont	1024	2830	3854	2632	1222
Park	323	1009	1332	892	440
TOTAL	1798	5931	7729	5581	2148

^aRevised pending figure.

^bProjected based on nine months.

TABLE XLVI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/1/79	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/80
12TH					
Alamosa	375	1533	1908	1508	400
Conejos	209	622 ^c	831	573 ^c	258
Costilla	132	652	784	592	192
Mineral	55	269	324	217	107
Rio Grande	289	1246	1535	1158	377
Saguache	132	397	529	445	84
TOTAL	1192	4719	5911	4493	1418
13TH					
Kit Carson	258	720	978	589	389
Logan	1062	3525	4587	3408	1179
Morgan	1067	3043	4110	3019	1091
Phillips	42	315	357	269	88
Sedgwick	154	414	568	369	199
Washington	98	383	481	352	129
Yuma	199	649	848	600	248
TOTAL	2880	9049	11929	8606	3323
14TH					
Grand	472	1677	2149	1440	709
Moffat (Craig)	717	2056	2773	2092	681
Moffat (Dinosaur)	19	179	198	110	88
Routt	639	2420	3059	2022	1037
TOTAL	1847	6332	8179	5664	2515
15TH					
Baca	119	315	434	334	100
Cheyenne	127	248	375	214	161
Kiowa	134	399	533	349	184
Prowers	356	1196	1552	1016	536
TOTAL	736	2158	2894	1913	981
16TH					
Bent	181	594	775	544	231
Crowley	76	280	356	290	66
Otero	783	2495	3278	2395	883
TOTAL	1040	3369	4409	3229	1180
17TH					
Adams	9314	21672	30986	21483	9503
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	2891	11726	14617	10460	4157
Arapahoe (Aurora)	2978	12156	15134	10434	4700
Douglas	2114 ^a	7292	9406	7271	2135
Elbert	165 ^a	576	741	564	177
Lincoln	179	860	1039	805	234
TOTAL	8327 ^a	32610	40937	29534	11403
19TH					
Weld	4772	13379	18151	12881	5270
20TH					
Boulder	6885	19636	26521	16799	9722
21ST					
Mesa	3779	7982	11759	6836	4923
22ND					
Dolores	13	128	141	121	20
Montezuma	286	1722	2008	1600	408
TOTAL	299	1850	2149	1721	428
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	74230 ^a	241646	315876	224291	91585
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	81260 ^a	293041	374301	260310	113991

^cProjected based on eleven months.

TABLE XLVII. FELONY PRELIMINARIES IN THE COUNTY COURT BY TYPE - FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL FELONY ADVISEMENTS	FELONY COMPLAINTS LODGED	PRELIMINARY HEARINGS
1ST			
Gilpin	58	43	6
Jefferson	2154	1777	356
TOTAL	2212	1820	362
2ND			
Denver	1519 ^a	2688	1395
3RD			
Huerfano	2	0	0
Las Animas	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	0	0
4TH			
El Paso	3205	0	0
Teller	14	0	0
TOTAL	3219	0	0
5TH			
Clear Creek	80	93	7
Eagle (Eagle) ^b	87	212	35
Eagle (Basalt)	4	11	0
Lake	63	70	27
Summit	93	185	23
TOTAL	327	571	92
6TH			
Archuleta	0	0	0
La Plata	26	17	2
San Juan	0	0	0
TOTAL	26	17	2
7TH			
Delta	62	101	30
Gunnison	56	100	10
Hinsdale	2	5	2
Montrose (Montrose)	154	174	17
Montrose (Nucla)	13	25	7
Ouray	1	1	1
San Miguel	39	37	7
TOTAL	327	443	74
8TH			
Jackson	11	9	2
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	778	1	0
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0	0
Larimer (Loveland)	0	0	0
TOTAL	789	10	2
9TH			
Garfield (Glenwood)	5	1	0
Garfield (Rifle)	1	1	1
Pitkin	124	120	16
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	17	16	5
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	15	14	0
TOTAL	162	152	22
10TH			
Pueblo	681	309	209
11TH			
Chaffee	26	6	0
Custer	1	1	1
Fremont	2	0	2
Park	11	12	7
TOTAL	40	19	10

^aNot available for first six months.^bProjected based on nine months.

TABLE XLVII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL FELONY ADVISEMENTS	FELONY COMPLAINTS LODGED	PRELIMINARY HEARINGS
12TH			
Alamosa	58	70	27
Conejos ^c	31	33	2
Costilla	12	15	0
Mineral	0	3	0
Rio Grande	38	64	10
Saguache	25	24	6
TOTAL	164	209	45
13TH			
Kit Carson	30	17	0
Logan	6	3	0
Morgan	9	0	0
Phillips	6	6	3
Sedgwick	2	3	0
Washington	1	0	0
Yuma	6	10	0
TOTAL	60	39	3
14TH			
Grand	46	81	10
Moffat (Craig)	59	83	5
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	0	0
Routt	95	136	38
TOTAL	200	300	53
15TH			
Baca	16	15	5
Cheyenne	6	5	0
Kiowa	1	2	0
Prowers	66	78	25
TOTAL	89	100	30
16TH			
Bent	0	0	0
Crowley	0	0	0
Otero	2	0	0
TOTAL	2	0	0
17TH			
Adams	1512	1391	231
18TH			
Arapahoe (Littleton)	336	0	0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	364	0	1
Douglas	59	1	0
Elbert	8	12	2
Lincoln	33	27	4
TOTAL	800	40	7
19TH			
Weld	322	1	1
20TH			
Boulder	950	218	51
21ST			
Mesa	331	496	119
22ND			
Dolores	1	0	0
Montezuma	63	70	24
TOTAL	64	70	24
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	12279	6205	1337
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	13798	8893	2732

^cProjected based on eleven months.

TABLE XLVIII. COUNTY COURT FILINGS AND PERCENT CHANGE, FY 1975-76 TO FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FY 1975-76	FY 1976-77 ^a	FY 1977-78	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	PERCENT CHANGE	
						78-79 79-80	75-76 79-80
1ST							
Gilpin	583	636	826	835	673	-19.4	15.4
Jefferson	19132	21181	21495	24169	25431	5.2	32.9
TOTAL	19715	21817	22321	25004	26104	4.4	32.4
2ND							
Denver	28545	32329	33728	39107	51395	31.4	80.1
3RD							
Huerfano	1148	1185	1270	1586	1794	13.1	56.3
Las Animas	1936	1879	2011	2029	2197	8.3	13.5
TOTAL	3084	3064	3281	3615	3991	10.4	29.4
4TH							
El Paso	23367	22949	24813	27147	28035	3.3	20.0
Teller	508	520	595	635	695	9.5	36.8
TOTAL	23875	23469	25408	27782	28730	3.4	20.3
5TH							
Clear Creek	4171	4111	3608	3723	2934	-21.2	-29.7
Eagle	1968	2085	2255	2648	3194	20.6	62.3
Lake	1180	1393	1349	1364	1212	-11.1	2.7
Summit	2181	2746	2956	3125	3266	4.5	49.8
TOTAL	9500	10335	10168	10860	10606	-2.3	11.6
6TH							
Archuleta	443	577	479	535	620	15.9	40.0
La Plata	3038	2958	2631	2635	3643	38.3	19.9
San Juan	65	70	57	66	84	27.3	29.2
TOTAL	3546	3605	3167	3236	4347	34.3	22.6
7TH							
Delta	1264	1296	1422	1541	1754	13.8	38.8
Gunnison	1365	1325	1723	1887	1910	1.2	39.9
Hinsdale	22	21	48	25	34	36.0	54.6
Montrose	1944	1948	2134	1841	2220	20.6	14.2
Ouray	279	253	256	250	246	-1.6	-11.8
San Miguel	385	333	286	388	478	23.2	24.2
TOTAL	5259	5176	5869	5932	6642	12.0	26.3
8TH							
Jackson	154	209	183	307	249	-18.9	61.7
Larimer	9908	10578	12199	12953	13975	7.9	41.1
TOTAL	10062	10787	12382	13260	14224	7.3	41.4
9TH							
Garfield	1821	2073	2296	2595	3450	33.0	89.5
Pitkin	1011	1185	1254	1325	1364	2.9	34.9
Rio Blanco	500	522	806	944	1113	17.9	122.6
TOTAL	3332	3780	4356	4864	5927	21.9	77.9
10TH							
Pueblo	11487	12263	10685	11624	12388	6.6	7.8
11TH							
Chaffee	1792	1782	1812	1916	1991	3.9	11.1
Custer	68	73	79	95	101	6.3	48.5
Fremont	2170	2024	2122	2491	2830	13.6	30.4
Park	775	989	1170	808	1009	24.9	30.2
TOTAL	4805	4868	5183	5310	5931	11.7	23.4

^a Includes small claims cases for nine months.

TABLE XLVIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FY 1975-76	FY 1976-77	FY 1977-78	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	PERCENT CHANGE	
						78-79 79-80	75-76 79-80
12TH							
Alamosa	1448	1499	1441	1327	1533	15.5	5.9
Conejos	950	862	953	695	622 ^b	-10.5	-34.5
Costilla	555	759	651	564	652	15.6	17.5
Mineral	114	146	151	153	269	75.8	136.0
Rio Grande	1307	1024	1040	1047	1246	19.0	-4.7
Saguache	420	530	564	542	397	-26.8	-5.5
TOTAL	4794	4820	4800	4328	4719	9.0	-1.6
13TH							
Kit Carson	789	814	910	678	720	6.2	-8.8
Logan	2337	2356	2714	3320	3525	6.2	50.8
Morgan	2812	3572	3529	3628	3043	-16.1	8.2
Phillips	145	170	160	152	315	107.2	117.2
Sedgwick	781	689	755	558	414	-25.8	-47.0
Washington	423	340	334	334	383	14.7	-9.5
Yuma	515	704	831	583	649	11.3	26.0
TOTAL	7802	8645	9233	9253	9049	-2.2	16.0
14TH							
Grand	1105	1181	1271	1729	1677	-3.0	51.8
Moffat	1363	1453	1763	1956	2235	14.3	64.0
Routt	1410	1618	1459	1672	2420	44.7	69.2
TOTAL	3898	4252	4493	5357	6332	18.2	62.4
15TH							
Baca	460	425	355	298	315	5.7	-31.5
Cheyenne	291	260	290	250	248	-1.8	-14.8
Kiowa	263	351	330	405	399	-1.5	51.7
Prowers	1357	1343	1201	1355	1196	-11.7	-11.9
TOTAL	2371	2379	2176	2308	2158	-6.5	-9.0
16TH							
Bent	456	440	471	522	594	13.8	30.3
Crowley	246	179	278	224	280	25.0	13.8
Otero	2219	2214	2452	2221	2495	12.3	12.4
TOTAL	2921	2833	3201	2967	3369	13.6	15.3
17TH							
Adams	18884	20180	21436	21716	21672	-0.2	14.8
18TH							
Arapahoe	16054	18208	19624	21186	23882	12.7	48.8
Douglas	5562	6242	6180	6486	7292	12.4	31.1
Elbert	558	596	581	576	576	0.0	3.2
Lincoln	712	925	972	818	860	5.1	20.8
TOTAL	22886	25971	27357	29066	32610	12.2	42.5
19TH							
Weld	11044	10840	11934	12990	13379	3.0	21.1
20TH							
Boulder	11875	13206	14674	17421	19636	12.7	65.4
21ST							
Mesa	4549	6492	7105	6630	7982	20.4	75.5
22ND							
Dolores	205	168	130	135	128	-5.1	-37.6
Montezuma	1340	1460	1434	1682	1722	2.4	28.5
TOTAL	1545	1628	1564	1817	1850	1.8	19.7
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	187234	200410	210793	225340	241646	7.2	29.1
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	215779	232739	244521	264447	293041	10.8	35.8

^bProjected based on eleven months.

TABLE XLIX. COURT TRIALS AND JURY TRIALS IN THE COUNTY COURT
FY DISTRICT AND COUNTY - FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	CIVIL		SMALL CLAIMS COURT	TRAFFIC		MISDEMEANOR		TOTAL	
	COURT	JURY		COURT	JURY	COURT	JURY	COURT	JURY
1ST									
Gilpin	1	1	0	7	1	2	0	10	2
Jefferson	164	1	489	123	50	42	38	818	89
TOTAL	165	2	489	130	51	44	38	828	91
2ND									
Denver	1479	11	953	285	125	404	43	3121	179
3RD									
Huerfano	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Las Animas	6	0	7	37	2	14	1	64	3
TOTAL	6	0	7	37	2	14	1	64	3
4TH									
El Paso	239	5	432	434	27	261	35	1366	67
Teller	3	0	17	36	3	7	0	63	3
TOTAL	242	5	449	470	30	268	35	1429	70
5TH									
Clear Creek	11	0	9	8	1	1	0	29	1
Eagle (Eagle)	11	0	25	207	0	13	0	256	0
Eagle (Basalt)	11	0	12	50	0	20	0	93	0
Lake	13	0	18	111	4	27	0	169	4
Summit	17	0	37	63	13	9	3	126	16
TOTAL	63	0	101	439	18	70	3	673	21
6TH									
Archuleta	12	0	5	159	1	20	0	196	1
La Plata	34	0	96	158	4	11	5	299	9
San Juan	3	0	2	16	0	7	0	28	0
TOTAL	49	0	103	333	5	38	5	523	10
7TH									
Delta	32	0	87	198	7	21	1	338	8
Gunnison	5	0	24	39	2	12	1	80	3
Hinsdale	3	0	5	9	0	6	0	23	0
Montrose (Montrose)	18	1	26	135	10	11	1	190	12
Montrose (Nucla)	4	0	7	16	7	0	0	27	7
Ouray	4	0	0	41	3	2	1	47	4
San Miguel	5	0	42	84	3	10	0	141	3
TOTAL	71	1	191	522	32	62	4	846	37
8TH									
Jackson	0	0	1	6	1	2	0	9	1
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	47	5	173	174	21	34	13	428	39
Larimer (Estes Park)	3	0	0	27	1	5	0	35	1
Larimer (Loveland)	18	1	0	129	6	18	5	165	12
TOTAL	68	6	174	336	29	59	18	637	53
9TH									
Garfield (Glenwood)	17	0	33	39	4	11	1	100	5
Garfield (Rifle)	8	1	9	35	2	2	1	54	4
Pitkin	68	0	68	34	5	9	4	179	9
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	5	0	5	92	3	15	1	117	4
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	4	0	4	74	0	9	0	91	0
TOTAL	102	1	119	274	14	46	7	541	22
10TH									
Pueblo	84	5	135	178	51	47	29	444	85
11TH									
Chaffee	11	0	41	184	1	27	0	263	1
Custer	0	0	8	7	1	3	1	18	2
Fremont	13	0	13	113	12	28	2	167	14
Park	20	0	12	261	6	47	3	340	9
TOTAL	44	0	74	565	20	105	6	788	26

NA - Not Available.

TABLE XLIX. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	CIVIL		SMALL CLAIMS COURT	TRAFFIC		MISDEMEANOR		TOTAL	
	COURT	JURY		COURT	JURY	COURT	JURY	COURT	JURY
12TH									
Alamosa	6	0	11	155	2	25	1	197	3
Conejos	5	0	8	19	2	1	1	33	3
Costilla	2	0	4	96	1	23	1	125	2
Mineral	0	0	0	12	0	3	0	15	0
Rio Grande	9	1	4	30	0	1	0	44	1
Saguache	5	0	19	54	0	10	0	88	0
TOTAL	27	1	46	366	5	63	3	502	9
13TH									
Kit Carson	3	0	0	39	3	1	1	43	4
Logan	22	0	12	381	5	29	1	444	6
Morgan	10	1	4	171	9	26	0	211	10
Phillips	4	0	21	48	3	24	0	97	3
Sedgwick	4	0	7	64	1	19	0	94	1
Washington	4	0	0	55	10	11	0	70	10
Yuma	65	0	8	207	1	35	0	315	1
TOTAL	112	1	52	965	32	145	2	1274	35
14TH									
Grant	17	0	30	78	19	2	1	127	20
Moffat (Craig)	32	1	13	150	4	13	0	208	5
Moffat (Dinosaur)	1	0	1	7	0	4	0	13	0
Routt	18	0	55	35	8	5	1	113	9
TOTAL	68	1	99	270	31	24	2	461	34
15TH									
Baca	2	0	3	6	2	0	0	11	2
Cheyenne	0	0	0	32	5	2	1	34	6
Kiowa	0	0	4	28	2	2	0	34	2
Prowers	3	0	17	39	7	1	0	60	7
TOTAL	5	0	24	105	16	5	1	139	17
16TH									
Bent	4	0	6	39	2	2	0	51	2
Crowley	2	0	1	30	0	4	1	37	1
Otero	6	0	26	114	8	21	5	167	13
TOTAL	12	0	33	183	10	27	6	255	16
17TH									
Adams	34	0	60	160	82	24	20	278	102
18TH									
Arapahoe (Littleton)	63	6	158	54	31	1	6	276	43
Arapahoe (Aurora)	113	1	86	47	20	0	4	246	25
Douglas	37	0	35	149	18	6	1	227	19
Elbert	9	0	23	27	4	0	0	59	4
Lincoln	2	0	3	17	7	1	0	23	7
TOTAL	224	7	305	294	80	8	11	831	98
19TH									
Weld	49	0	120	297	53	36	12	502	65
20TH									
Boulder	133	0	339	211	46	42	8	725	54
21ST									
Mesa	133	0	74	486	20	103	17	796	37
22ND									
Dolores	2	0	6	66	0	14	0	98	0
Montezuma	9	0	15	67	3	26	1	117	4
TOTAL	11	0	21	133	3	40	1	205	4
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	1702	30	3015	6754	630	1270	229	12741	889
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	3181	41	3968	7039	755	1674	272	15862	1068

TABLE L. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF
COUNTY COURT CIVIL^a CASE FILINGS - BY MONEY AMOUNT -- FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	--UNDER \$500--		\$500 TO \$1,000		NONMONEY CASES ^b		-----TOTAL-----	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1ST								
Gilpin	1	5.0	2	10.0	17	85.0	20	100.0
Jefferson	3935	74.1	1357	25.5	21	.4	5313	100.0
TOTAL	3936	73.8	1359	25.5	38	.7	5333	100.0
2ND								
Denver	15703	72.0	5442	25.0	647	3.0	21792	100.0
3RD								
Huerfano	64	65.0	24	23.9	11	11.1	99	100.0
Las Animas	146	61.6	65	27.4	26	11.0	237	100.0
TOTAL	210	62.5	89	26.5	37	11.0	336	100.0
4TH								
El Paso	3357	63.5	1561	29.5	365	7.0	5283	100.0
Teller	20	37.7	15	28.3	18	34.0	53	100.0
TOTAL	3377	63.3	1576	29.5	383	7.2	5336	100.0
5TH								
Clear Creek	19	45.2	16	38.1	7	16.7	42	100.0
Eagle (Eagle)	37	33.0	58	51.8	17	15.2	112	100.0
Eagle (Basalt)	6	18.2	8	24.2	19	57.6	33	100.0
Lake	84	69.4	22	18.2	15	12.4	121	100.0
Summit	101	55.2	58	31.7	24	13.1	183	100.0
TOTAL	247	50.3	162	33.0	82	16.7	491	100.0
6TH								
Archuleta	50	66.7	21	28.0	4	5.3	75	100.0
La Plata	256	59.3	127	29.4	49	11.3	432	100.0
San Juan	7	70.0	2	20.0	1	10.0	10	100.0
TOTAL	313	60.5	150	29.0	54	10.5	517	100.0
7TH								
Delta	91	36.7	87	35.1	70	28.2	248	100.0
Gunnison	181	72.1	52	20.7	18	7.2	251	100.0
Hinsdale	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
Montrose (Montrose)	145	50.9	88	30.9	52	18.2	285	100.0
Montrose (Nucla)	52	85.2	7	11.5	2	3.3	61	100.0
Ouray	5	55.6	3	33.3	1	11.1	9	100.0
San Miguel	9	40.9	7	31.8	6	27.3	22	100.0
TOTAL	484	55.1	245	27.9	149	17.0	878	100.0
8TH								
Jackson	1	12.5	4	50.0	3	37.5	8	100.0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	689	56.9	376	31.0	147	12.1	1212	100.0
Larimer (Estes Park)	16	43.2	15	40.6	6	16.2	37	100.0
Larimer (Loveland)	292	65.0	123	27.3	35	7.7	450	100.0
TOTAL	998	58.5	518	30.3	191	11.2	1707	100.0
9TH								
Garfield (Glenwood)	58	33.5	61	35.3	54	31.2	173	100.0
Garfield (Rifle)	12	30.0	18	45.0	10	25.0	40	100.0
Pitkin	51	25.6	88	44.2	60	30.2	199	100.0
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	4	26.7	6	40.0	5	33.3	15	100.0
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	26	55.3	17	36.2	4	8.5	47	100.0
TOTAL	151	31.9	190	40.1	133	28.0	474	100.0
10TH								
Pueblo	2006	71.8	660	23.6	127	4.6	2793	100.0
11TH								
Chaffee	98	80.3	20	16.4	4	3.3	122	100.0
Custer	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	3	100.0
Fremont	55	43.0	51	39.8	22	17.2	128	100.0
Park	4	16.7	11	45.8	9	37.5	24	100.0
TOTAL	157	56.7	83	30.0	37	13.3	277	100.0

^aSmall claims cases not included.

^bIncludes 199 temporary restraining orders.

TABLE L. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	--UNDER \$500--		\$500 TO \$1,000		NONMONEY CASES		-----TOTAL-----	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
12TH								
Alamosa	66	47.8	49	35.5	23	16.7	138	100.0
Conejos	32	71.1	10	22.2	3	6.7	45	100.0
Costilla	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
Mineral	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
Rio Grande	82	59.9	38	27.7	17	12.4	137	100.0
Saguache	6	28.6	14	66.7	1	4.7	21	100.0
TOTAL	189	54.8	112	37.5	44	12.7	345	100.0
13TH								
Kit Carson	59	71.1	21	25.3	3	3.6	83	100.0
Logan	131	52.4	110	44.0	9	3.6	250	100.0
Morgan	190	66.9	84	29.6	10	3.5	284	100.0
Phillips	11	42.3	13	50.0	2	7.7	26	100.0
Sedgwick	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0	11	100.0
Washington	23	65.7	6	17.1	6	17.2	35	100.0
Yuma	76	71.7	24	22.6	6	5.7	106	100.0
TOTAL	499	62.8	260	32.7	36	4.5	795	100.0
14TH								
Grand	22	47.8	17	37.0	7	15.2	46	100.0
Moffat (Craig)	201	59.0	85	24.9	55	16.1	341	100.0
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
Routt	43	32.8	66	50.4	22	16.8	131	100.0
TOTAL	266	51.2	169	32.6	84	16.2	519	100.0
15TH								
Baca	15	48.4	13	41.9	3	9.7	31	100.0
Cheyenne	19	70.4	7	25.9	1	3.7	27	100.0
Kiowa	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
Prowers	164	74.2	46	20.8	11	5.0	221	100.0
TOTAL	201	71.3	66	23.4	15	5.3	282	100.0
16TH								
Bent	4	22.2	9	50.0	5	27.8	18	100.0
Crowley	4	40.0	3	30.0	3	30.0	10	100.0
Otero	107	60.1	49	27.5	22	12.4	178	100.0
TOTAL	115	55.0	61	29.6	30	14.6	206	100.0
17TH								
Adams	6148	89.2	540	7.8	203	3.0	6891	100.0
18TH								
Arapahoe (Littleton)	371	28.3	403	30.8	535	40.9	1309	100.0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	1569	35.2	1203	27.0	1681	37.8	4453	100.0
Douglas	43	32.6	58	43.9	31	23.5	132	100.0
Elbert	14	41.2	13	38.2	7	20.6	34	100.0
Lincoln	20	64.5	4	12.9	7	22.6	31	100.0
TOTAL	2017	33.9	1681	28.2	2261	37.9	5959	100.0
19TH								
Weld	1020	56.5	510	28.2	277	15.3	1807	100.0
20TH								
Boulder	1485	46.1	661	20.5	1077	31.4	3223	100.0
21ST								
Mesa	1105	58.6	589	31.3	191	10.1	1885	100.0
22ND								
Dolores	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0
Montezuma	75	48.7	69	44.8	10	6.5	154	100.0
TOTAL	75	47.8	71	45.2	11	7.0	157	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	24999	62.1	9752	24.3	5460	13.6	40211	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	40702	65.6	15194	24.5	6107	9.9	62003	100.0

TABLE LI. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF
COUNTY COURT SMALL CLAIMS FILINGS - BY MONEY AMOUNT-FY 1979-80

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	---UNDER \$100---		\$100 TO \$300		\$301 TO \$500		-----TOTAL-----	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1ST								
Gilpin	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0	6	100.0
Jefferson	252	18.4	494	36.1	623	45.5	1369	100.0
TOTAL	253	18.4	499	36.3	623	45.3	1375	100.0
2ND								
Denver	382	14.1	1090	40.1	1245	45.8	2717	100.0
3RD								
Huerfano	8	25.9	17	55.6	6	19.5	31	100.0
Las Animas	32	29.6	51	47.2	25	23.2	108	100.0
TOTAL	40	28.8	68	48.9	31	22.3	139	100.0
4TH								
El Paso	21	17.3	491	39.7	532	43.0	1237	100.0
Teller	9	14.0	20	46.5	17	39.5	43	100.0
TOTAL	220	17.2	511	39.9	549	42.9	1280	100.0
5TH								
Clear Creek	8	20.0	19	47.5	13	32.5	40	100.0
Eagle (Eagle)	23	27.0	31	36.5	31	36.5	85	100.0
Eagle (Basalt)	0	0.0	13	52.2	11	47.8	23	100.0
Lake	10	23.3	20	46.5	13	30.2	43	100.0
Summit	16	15.7	47	46.1	39	38.2	102	100.0
TOTAL	57	19.5	129	44.0	107	36.5	293	100.0
6TH								
Archuleta	3	30.0	5	50.0	2	20.0	10	100.0
La Plata	63	19.1	158	47.9	109	33.0	330	100.0
San Juan	4	66.6	1	16.7	1	16.7	6	100.0
TOTAL	70	20.2	164	47.4	112	32.4	346	100.0
7TH								
Delta	34	26.6	64	50.0	30	23.4	128	100.0
Gunnison	31	25.8	57	47.5	32	26.7	120	100.0
Hinsdale	1	14.3	4	57.1	2	28.6	7	100.0
Montrose (Montrose)	30	28.5	52	49.5	23	22.0	105	100.0
Montrose (Nucila)	6	31.6	9	47.4	4	21.0	19	100.0
Ouray	2	33.3	2	33.3	2	33.3	6	100.0
San Miguel	10	25.0	18	45.0	12	30.0	40	100.0
TOTAL	114	26.8	206	48.5	105	24.7	425	100.0
8TH								
Jackson	4	28.6	4	28.6	6	42.8	14	100.0
Larimer(Ft. Collins)	111	18.0	260	42.2	245	39.8	616	100.0
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	100.0
Larimer (Loveland)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	100.0
TOTAL	115	18.3	264	41.9	251	39.8	630	100.0
9TH								
Garfield (Glenwood)	20	16.8	57	47.9	42	35.3	119	100.0
Garfield (Rifle)	10	23.3	15	34.9	18	41.8	43	100.0
Pitkin	36	18.6	81	41.7	77	39.7	194	100.0
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	11	33.3	17	51.5	5	15.2	33	100.0
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	9	36.0	11	44.0	5	20.0	25	100.0
TOTAL	86	20.8	181	43.7	147	35.5	414	100.0
10TH								
Pueblo	88	19.3	185	40.5	184	40.2	457	100.0
11TH								
Chaffee	12	31.6	18	47.4	8	21.0	38	100.0
Custer	1	7.7	8	61.5	4	30.8	13	100.0
Fremont	27	26.2	43	41.8	33	32.0	103	100.0
Park	2	10.0	10	50.0	8	40.0	20	100.0
TOTAL	42	24.1	79	45.4	53	30.5	174	100.0

TABLE LI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	---UNDER \$100---		\$100 TO \$300		\$301 TO \$500		-----TOTAL-----	
	No.	\$	No.		No.	\$	No.	\$
12TH								
Alamosa	20	28.2	31	43.6	20	28.2	71	100.0
Conejos	23	51.1	18	40.0	4	8.9	45 ^a	100.0
Costilla	7	53.8	3	23.1	3	23.1	13	100.0
Mineral	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
Rio Grande	20	14.5	21	36.2	17	29.3	58	100.0
Saguache	6	24.0	12	48.0	7	28.0	25	100.0
TOTAL	77	36.2	85	39.9	51	23.9	213	100.0
13TH								
Kit Carson	1	9.1	7	63.6	3	27.3	11	100.0
Logan	16	21.9	32	43.8	25	34.3	73	100.0
Morgan	10	14.9	36	53.7	21	31.4	67	100.0
Phillips	13	23.6	29	52.7	13	23.7	55	100.0
Sedgwick	7	41.2	6	35.3	4	23.5	17	100.0
Washington	7	43.8	5	31.2	4	25.0	16	100.0
Yuma	4	20.0	9	45.0	7	35.0	20	100.0
TOTAL	58	22.4	124	47.9	77	29.7	259	100.0
14TH								
Grand	10	25.6	20	51.3	9	23.1	39	100.0
Moffat (Craig)	12	36.4	12	36.4	9	27.2	33	100.0
Moffat (Dinosaur)	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	3	100.0
Routt	19	19.6	36	37.1	42	43.3	97	100.0
TOTAL	43	25.0	69	40.1	60	34.9	172	100.0
15TH								
Baca	11	29.8	13	35.1	13	35.1	37	100.0
Cheyenne	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	4	100.0
Kiowa	2	28.6	4	57.1	1	14.3	7	100.0
Prowers	9	18.4	24	49.0	16	32.6	49	100.0
TOTAL	24	24.8	43	44.3	30	30.9	97	100.0
16TH								
Bent	8	33.3	8	33.3	8	33.3	24	100.0
Crowley	4	30.8	5	38.4	4	30.8	13	100.0
Otero	38	27.0	63	44.7	40	28.3	141	100.0
TOTAL	50	28.1	76	42.7	52	29.2	178	100.0
17TH								
Adams	95	11.9	347	43.4	358	44.7	800	100.0
18TH								
Arapahoe (Littleton)	103	14.6	284	40.4	317	45.0	704	100.0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	63	13.9	191	42.1	200	44.0	454	100.0
Douglas	14	15.7	28	31.5	47	52.8	89	100.0
Elbert	18	34.6	21	40.4	13	25.0	52	100.0
Lincoln	6	35.3	6	35.3	5	29.4	17	100.0
TOTAL	204	15.5	530	40.3	582	44.2	1316	100.0
19TH								
Weld	63	17.1	165	44.9	140	38.0	368	100.0
20TH								
Boulder	182	17.3	421	40.1	447	42.6	1050	100.0
21ST								
Mesa	48	17.9	124	46.3	96	35.8	268	100.0
22ND								
Dolores	0	0.0	9	100.0	0	0.0	9	100.0
Montezuma	5	8.9	38	67.9	13	23.2	56	100.0
TOTAL	5	7.7	47	72.3	13	20.0	65	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	1934	18.8	4317	41.8	4068	39.4	10319	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	2316	17.8	5407	41.5	5313	40.7	13036	100.0

^a Projected based on eleven months.

TABLE LII. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF FELONY COMPLAINTS DISPOSED OF IN COUNTY COURT¹ - FY 1979-80

	NUMBER OF FELONY COMPLAINTS DISPOSED OF	PERCENT DISTRIBUTION
Dismissals		3.8
At Preliminary Hearing	401	26.8
W/O Preliminary Hearing	2870	30.6
TOTAL	3271	
Reductions to a Misdemeanor		3.7
At Preliminary Hearing	390	9.4
W/O Preliminary Hearing	1009	13.1
TOTAL	1399	
Bindovers to District Court		18.1
At Preliminary Hearing	1941	38.2
W/O Preliminary Hearing	4086	56.3
TOTAL	6027	
Total Felony Dispositions		25.6
At Preliminary Hearing	2732	74.4
W/Out Preliminary Hearing	7965	100.0
TOTAL	10697	

¹ Includes Denver



PROBATION

TABLE LIII. PROBATION DEPARTMENT SUPERVISION
AND INVESTIGATION CASELOADS - STATE TOTALS
FY 1976-77 TO FY 1979-80

	FY 76-77	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	PERCENT CHANGE 78-79 79-80
ADULT					
On Supervision July 1	8,779	10,498 ^a	12,121 ^a	13,424	10.8
New Cases	8,380	10,025	11,288	9,476	-16.1
Total Caseload	17,159	20,523	23,409	22,900	-2.2
Terminations	6,588	8,443	9,985	10,662	6.8
On Supervision June 30	10,571	12,080	13,424	12,238	-8.8
Total Investigations	14,491	14,535	15,403	9,647	-37.4
JUVENILE					
On Supervision July 1	4,489 ^a	4,861	5,100 ^a	4,847	-5.0
New Cases	4,864	5,449	5,285	4,630	-12.4
Total Caseload	9,353	10,310	10,385	9,477	-8.7
Terminations	4,492	5,324	5,538	5,674	2.5
On Supervision June 30	4,861	4,986	4,847	3,803	-21.5
Total Investigations	19,913	19,856	19,641	14,767	-24.8
TOTAL					
On Supervision July 1	13,268 ^a	15,359 ^a	17,221 ^a	18,271	6.1
New Cases	13,244	15,474	16,573	14,106	-14.9
Total Caseload	26,512	30,833	33,794	32,377	-4.2
Terminations	11,080	13,767	15,523	16,336	5.2
On Supervision June 30	15,432	17,066	18,271	16,041	-12.2
Total Investigations	34,404	34,391	35,044	24,414	-30.3

^aRevised pending figure.

TABLE LIV. PROBATION DEPARTMENT SUPERVISION
CASELOADS BY DISTRICT - FY 1979-80

	1st		2nd		3rd		4th		5th		6th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	1433	768	2078	1088	65	26	1795	371	147	26	175	51
New Cases	1073	624	1434	655	67	49	1302	686	173	51	97	43
Total Caseload	2506	1392	3512	1743	132	75	3097	1057	320	77	272	94
Terminations	1328	1072	1209	967	40	36	1678	677	141	41	58	19
On Suprvsn. 6/30	1178	320	2303	776	92	39	1419	380	179	36	214	75
	7th		8th		9th		10th		11th		12th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	399	50	311	255	174	42	898	249	252	201	264	90
New Cases	361	57	181	198	129	29	502	270	227	209	190	78
Total Caseload	760	107	492	453	303	71	1400	519	479	410	454	168
Terminations	322	47	134	264	138	42	683	263	162	218	188	88
On Suprvsn. 6/30	438	60	358	189	165	29	717	256	317	192	266	80
	13th		14th		15th		16th		17th		18th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	132	56	94	51	86	29	126	39	1359	439	1487	379
New Cases	83	77	74	56	66	41	64	37	827	322	1021	293
Total Caseload	215	133	168	107	152	70	190	76	2186	761	2508	672
Terminations	92	80	64	44	62	40	96	40	1421	454	1272	395
On Suprvsn. 6/30	123	53	104	63	90	30	94	36	765	307	1236	277
	19th		20th		21st		22nd		State			
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	840	118	871	330	406	160	32	29	13424	4847		
New Cases	302	197	959	373	315	271	29	14	9476	4630		
Total Caseload	1142	315	1830	703	721	431	61	43	22900	9477		
Terminations	422	188	830	393	303	288	19	18	10662	5674		
On Suprvsn. 6/30	720	127	1000	310	418	143	42	25	12238	3803		

TABLE LV. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATIONS BY DISTRICT, FY 1979-80

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
District Ct. Pre-Sentence	507	1013	44	901	78	75	53	236	61	206	73	34
County Court Pre-Sentence	296	209	9	452	37	35	81	3	22	307	109	116
District Ct. Def. Pros./Sent.	0	680	4	348	49	1	0	0	29	0	20	5
County Court Def. Pros./Sent.	0	0	1	7	2	2	0	0	0	0	15	1
Domestic Relations	0	186	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	1
Other	39	0	12	252	4	0	0	22	0	115	10	4
Total Investigations	842	2088	70	1960	172	113	134	261	112	629	232	161
District Ct. Pre-Sentence	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th	20th	21st	22nd	State Total	
County Court Pre-Sentence	53	23	11	37	309	399	252	157	114	20	4656	
District Ct. Def. Pros./Sent.	2	3	0	16	219	135	50	184	49	2	2336	
County Court Def. Pros./Sent.	9	0	0	11	79	9	87	195	102	9	1637	
Domestic Relations	0	0	0	1	21	0	1	3	3	0	57	
Other	0	0	0	0	88	133	2	0	0	0	418	
Total Investigations	64	33	11	65	716	685	421	556	276	46	543	9647

TABLE LVI. JUVENILE PROBATION DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATIONS BY DISTRICT, FY 1979-80

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
Inter-Agency	0	0	2	0	0	7	0	21	0	0	11	0
Social Summary	263	732	16	545	44	32	25	2	30	91	14	15
Detention	0	4443	28	38	14	15	0	9	0	0	49	20
Preliminary	0	666	21	129	0	2	0	2	0	0	55	81
Intake	0	503	10	185	32	34	0	18	0	0	225	4
Transfer to Criminal Court	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Custody	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	5	0
Other	0	569	3	12	7	0	0	70	0	0	10	4
Total Investigations	263	6913	80	911	97	95	25	125	30	91	369	124
Inter-Agency	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th	20th	21st	22nd	State Total	
Social Summary	0	2	0	0	1757	39	1098	0	16	0	2953	
Detention	9	14	10	24	297	193	146	103	125	19	2749	
Preliminary	0	0	5	5	21	0	162	282	31	0	5122	
Intake	19	28	0	6	20	0	0	331	18	10	1388	
Transfer to Criminal Court	9	26	22	10	27	35	155	304	114	3	1716	
Custody	0	0	6	1	1	4	0	1	0	0	19	
Other	0	0	2	0	63	0	0	0	5	0	79	
Total Investigations	37	70	45	46	2199	281	1564	1021	344	37	741	14767

TABLE LVII.
 RESTITUTION PAID TO VICTIMS
 BY JUVENILE AND ADULT PROBATIONERS
 FY 1979-80

<u>District</u>	<u>Amount Disbursed</u>
1	\$215,862.56
Denver Adult	205,697.71
Denver Juvenile	36,910.42
3	11,362.35
4	300,548.63
5	62,794.50
6	18,436.39
7	60,003.96
8	85,076.91
9	44,736.36
10	61,643.50
11	21,366.82
12	74,545.23
13	36,783.14
14	49,967.35
15	21,129.17
16	12,960.24
17	178,508.55
18	170,194.93
19	89,176.75
20	64,091.73
21	107,269.76
22	14,679.47
State Total	\$1,943,746.43

TABLE I.VIII.
RESTITUTION PAID TO VICTIMS

BY
JUVENILE AND ADULT PROBATIONERS

FY 1974-75 - FY 1979-80




TABLE LIX.
COMPARISON BETWEEN PROBATION CASELOAD AND
RESTITUTION COLLECTED FROM PROBATIONERS
FY 1974-75 THROUGH FY 1979-80

